













































Supplementary document

Table 1 Risk of bias of included studies and applicability assessed using QUADAS-2 tool (1)

Study	RISK OF BIAS				APPLICABILITY CONCERNS		
	PATIENT SELECTION	INDEX TEST	REFERENCE STANDARD	FLOW AND TIMING	PATIENT SELECTION	INDEX TEST	REFERENCE STANDARD
Chen et al.							
Choi et al.							
Newman- Toker et al.							
Batuecas-Caletrio et al.							
Kerber et al.							
Carmona et al.							

 Low Risk

 High Risk



 Unclear Risk

Figure 1 Graph showing proportion of studies with risk of bias and applicability (%) (1)



REFERENCE

1. Whiting PF, Rutjes AW, Westwood ME, et al. QUADAS-2: a revised tool for the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies. *Ann Intern Med.* 2011;155(8):529-36.