Journal of Hand Surgery: European Volume

The outcome of bone graft surgery for nonunion of fractures of the scaphoid --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	JHSE-D-19-00070R2
Full Title:	The outcome of bone graft surgery for nonunion of fractures of the scaphoid
Article Type:	Full Length Article
Keywords:	Scaphoid fracture; Nonunion; bone graft surgery; outcome
Corresponding Author:	Tim Davis Queens Medical Centre Nottingham, UNITED KINGDOM
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Queens Medical Centre
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Mohannad Basil Ammori, MBChB, MSc (Dist), MRCS
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	Mohannad Basil Ammori, MBChB, MSc (Dist), MRCS
	Michael Elvey
	Samer S Mahmoud
	Alex J Nicholls
	Simon Robinson
	Clare Rowan
	Stephanie Spence
	Ryckie G Wade
	Alexia Karantana
	The BSSH Scaphoid Nonunion Group
	Tim RC Davis
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Abstract:	Data on 806 patients undergoing bone graft surgery for a scaphoid fracture nonunion were retrospectively collected at 19 centres in the United Kingdom. Each centre contributed at least 30 cases. 462 cases had sufficient data to study factors which influenced the outcome of surgery. The overall union rate was at least 69%, and the nonunion rate was at least 22%, with 9% of cases having "uncertain union status". The union rate appeared to be adversely influenced by smoking and time between acute scaphoid fracture and nonunion surgery with adjusted odds ratios of 1.8 and 2.4 respectively, but neither achieved the pre-determined significance level of 0.003. Type of bone graft (vascular v non-vascular; iliac crest v distal radius) did not appear to influence outcome. Further large multicentre prospective studies with clear definitions of "union" and other factors are needed to clarify whether modification of surgical technique can influence the union rate.

1	The outcome of bone graft surgery for nonunion of fractures of the scaphoid
2	
3	Mohannad B Ammori, Michael Elvey, Samer S Mahmoud, Alex J Nicholls, Simon Robinson, Clare
4	Rowan, Stephanie Spence, Ryckie G Wade, Alexia Karantana, the British Society for Surgery of the
5	Hand Scaphoid Nonunion Group, Tim RC Davis.
6	
7	Institutions
8	Chesterfield Royal Hospital; Derriford Hospital, Plymouth; East Surrey Hospital, Redhill; Glasgow
9	Royal Infirmary; Great Western Hospital, Swindon; James Cook University Hospital, South Tees; John
10	Radcliffe and Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre, Oxford; Leeds General Infirmary; Leighton Hospital,
11	Crewe; New Cross Hospital, Wolverhampton; Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital; Nottingham
12	University Hospitals; Southampton General Hospital; Great Western Hospital, Queen Elizabeth
13	Hospital, Birmingham; Queen Elizabeth University Hospital, Glasgow; Swindon; Royal Bolton
14	Hospital; Royal Cornwall Hospital, Truro; Royal Orthopaedic Hospital, Birmingham; Royal Sussex
15	County Hospital, Guildford; Sunderland Royal Hospital; University Hospital of North Tees; University
16	Hospital of Wales, Cardiff.
17	
18	Corresponding Author
19	Tim RC Davis, Consultant Hand Surgeon,
20	Queens Medical Campus,
21	Nottingham University Hospitals,
22	Nottingham, NG7 2UH, UK
23	tim.davis@nuh.nhs.uk
24	
25	Keywords; Scaphoid fracture; nonunion; bone graft surgery; outcome

26 ABSTRACT

Data on 806 patients undergoing bone graft surgery for a scaphoid fracture nonunion were 27 retrospectively collected at 19 centres in the United Kingdom. Each centre contributed at least 30 cases. 28 29 Sufficient data were available in 462 cases to study factors which influenced the outcome of surgery. Overall union occurred in at least 69%, and nonunion in at least 22%, with 9% of cases having 30 "uncertain union status". Union appeared to be adversely influenced by smoking and the time between 31 32 acute scaphoid fracture and nonunion surgery, with adjusted odds ratios of 1.8 and 2.4 respectively, but neither achieved the pre-determined significance level of 0.003. The type of bone graft (vascular vs 33 non-vascular; iliac crest vs distal radius) did not appear to influence outcome. Further large multicentre 34 prospective studies with clear definitions of "union" and other factors are needed to clarify whether 35 modification of surgical technique can influence union. 36

37 Level of evidence: IV

38

40 INTRODUCTION

Symptomatic scaphoid fracture nonunion is typically treated operatively with bone grafting and internal 41 fixation, unless significant wrist arthritis has developed as a consequence of the nonunion. Previous 42 43 small studies suggest that the outcome of bone grafting and fixation of scaphoid fracture nonunion is affected by patient factors including smoking (Little et al., 2006), fracture factors such as the site of 44 fracture (proximal pole or waist), the time interval between the acute fracture and the nonunion surgery 45 (Inoue et al., 1997; Merrell et al., 2002; Nakamura et al., 1993; Trezies et al., 2000) and bone graft 46 factors including the donor site and graft vascularity (Braga-Silva et al., 2008; Goyal et al., 2013). 47 Two recent systematic reviews have investigated factors which affect the outcome of scaphoid fracture 48 nonunion surgery and how successful it is in achieving union. One (Pinder et al., 2015) found high 49 frequencies of union with both vascularized and non-vascularized bone grafts but did not consider 50 51 confounding factors. The other (Ferguson et al., 2016) resolved that it was difficult to draw any conclusions because most studies contained few cases, confounding factors were rarely considered and 52 53 there were inconsistencies between studies, including the definition of union and variable length of 54 follow-up after surgery which sometimes was only a few weeks. 55 This retrospective study investigated the outcome of the treatment of scaphoid fracture nonunion by

bone grafting in 19 centres within the United Kingdom.

57

58 METHODS

This study was defined as a multicentre retrospective evaluation of service by the Research and 59 Innovation Department of the Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, and thus did not require 60 ethical committee approval. This definition of the study was confirmed at each recruiting centre. 61 Surgeons at 19 centres throughout the United Kingdom agreed to provide data on patients with 62 scaphoid fracture nonunions treated with surgery to achieve union and thus improve wrist function. A 63 trainee surgeon at each centre identified patients who had undergone such surgery before October 2014 64 by searching hospital records starting in September 2014 and working backwards in time, until a 65 66 minimum of 30 cases were identified. Cases of revision bone grafting and fixation after a previous 67 failed bone graft procedure were excluded. Relevant data on these cases were extracted from the hospital case notes and radiographs during October to December 2016. Thus, the minimum time 68 69 between surgery and data collection from the medical records was 2 years. For this study a nonunion 70 was defined as a fracture which had not united within 12 weeks of the acute injury based on plain 71 radiographs or computed tomography (CT) scans. It was also decided that a minimum radiological 72 follow-up of 12 weeks after the nonunion was required to determine whether a scaphoid fracture had, 73 or had not, united.

74 Eligibility

75 Data was collected on 806 cases. Specific cases were excluded for the following reasons:

- patient age <16: (*n*=27);
- age at surgery not recorded (*n*=10);
- nonunion part of a trans-scaphoid perilunate dislocation (*n*=2);
- site (waist, distal or proximal pole) was not recorded (*n*=8);
- type of bone graft not recorded (*n*=11);
- was treated with synthetic bone graft (*n*=1);

- time from acute fracture to nonunion surgery could not be calculated (n=93);
- bone graft surgery occurred within 12 weeks of the acute fracture (n=65);
- time from surgery to last radiological follow-up not recorded (*n*=17);
- 85
- less than 12 weeks postoperative radiological follow-up (*n*=120).

This left 462 cases as some had more than one reason for exclusion. These 462 fracture nonunions were categorized as proximal if within the proximal 20% of the length of the scaphoid and distal if within the distal 20% of the length of the scaphoid. Those in the central 60% of the scaphoid were categorized as nonunion of the waist of the scaphoid.

The outcome of the "nonunion" surgery was union status. This was categorized as united, persistent 90 91 nonunion or "uncertain whether united", based on the impressions of the treating surgical team as recorded in the medical notes. The trainee assessor at each of the 19 centres also assessed the last 92 available postoperative radiographs, CT or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of each patient and 93 categorized the outcome as united, persistent nonunion or "uncertain whether united". This was 94 according to the absence or presence of adverse features such as: a gap at the fracture site or graft 95 96 interface; lucency around, or movement (backing out) of, the implant; and displacement of the graft or 97 the fracture (Dias, 2001).

98 Analysis

99 This study was designed as hypothesis-generating research, so no sample size calculation was done.
100 Descriptive statistics are provided as frequencies with percentages (%). Age was skewed so the
101 geometric mean and 95% confidence intervals (CI) are reported and compared using natural-log
102 transformed data in a one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction. Proportional differences were
103 examined using the chi-squared or Fisher's exact tests as appropriate. Agreement between the surgeon
104 and the trainee assessor was determined using Cohen's kappa.

Union as diagnosed by the treating surgeon was the outcome of interest. Uncertain cases were 105 classified as persistent nonunion. Logistic regression was used to estimate the odds ratio (OR) and 95% 106 CI for persistent nonunion after surgery. To adjust for known confounders, multivariable logistic 107 108 regression was used with the pre-selected co-variables of age as a continuous measure, and smoking, fracture pattern, method of fixation and time from acute fracture to nonunion surgery as categorical co-109 variates. We chose to examine the type of bone graft used through effect modification. Separate logistic 110 111 regressions were also used to estimate the outcomes for patients with proximal pole and waist fracture nonunions; there was insufficient data to model distal pole fractures separately. All models were 112 internally validated by lossless non-parametric bootstrapping by resampling with replacement, with 113 1000 iterations (Collins et al., 2015). All tests were two-sided. To improve the reliability of our results, 114 the family-wise error rate was revised from p < 0.05 to p < 0.003 (Sidak, 1967). 115

117 **RESULTS**

The baseline characteristics of the patients and their nonunions, treatments and outcomes are summarized in Table 1. The surgery was unsuccessful, leaving persistent nonunion in 22% per cent of patients (*n*=104). In another 41 (9 %) of cases there was uncertainty as to whether the nonunion had united or not. Non-vascularized bone graft was the most common method of grafting for every type of scaphoid fracture and was used with increasing frequency from the proximal pole (51%) to the waist

123 (77%) to the distal pole (89%).

Plain radiographs were the most commonly employed test for union (n=321, 60%). Comparison of assessments of postoperative union by the treating surgical team and the trainee assessors in this study showed excellent agreement (90%, k=0.8, p<0.001).

Descriptive statistics of the variables associated with persistent nonunion are reported in Table 2. Table 127 128 3 shows the unadjusted (univariable) and adjusted (multivariable) ORs which suggest that smoking and 129 delays to nonunion surgery are associated with a worse outcome. Smoking at the time of surgery nearly 130 doubled the odds of a persistent nonunion (i.e. treatment failure); this association remained strong in 131 our multivariable modelling which was also independent of age, time from injury to surgery and the 132 method of fixation. Similarly, delay of 1-2 years from injury to nonunion surgery was independently 133 associated with 40% higher odds of persistent nonunion, whereas a delay of more than 2 years 134 increased the odds by 140%. The variability of these estimates suggests that the longer the delay, the 135 lower the probability of achieving union. Using effect modifiers in our multivariable model, the use of vascularized bone (as either a local or free flap) did not significantly alter the odds of achieving union 136 137 for smokers (p=0.5), proximal pole nonunion (p=0.2) or nonunion treated more than 1 year after the acute fracture (p=0.2). Resampling did not change any of these estimates. We recommend caution in 138 interpreting these models because, whilst they appear clinically significant, they are not statistically 139 140 significant according to the family-wise error rate of p < 0.003.

- 141 When examining the different fracture patterns individually, we again identified smoking and delays to
- 142 nonunion surgery as potentially significant factors. Concerning proximal pole fractures, our
- bootstrapped multivariable logistic regression identified the patient's smoking status as the only factor
- potentially associated with persistent nonunion (OR 4.3 [95% CI 1.2 to 15]; re-sampled *p*=0.03).
- 145 Similarly, concerning waist fractures, our bootstrapped multivariable logistic regression suggested that
- the time between acute fracture and nonunion surgery was independently associated with treatment
- 147 failure whereby a delay of 1-2 years increased the odds by 110% (OR 2.1 [95% CI 1.5 to 13]) and
- delays over 2 years increased the odds by 440% (OR 4.4 [95% CI 1.5 to 13]; re-sampled p=0.007).
- 149 However, these estimates should be interpreted with caution because they are not statistically
- significant with respect to the family-wise error rate of p < 0.003.

152 **DISCUSSION**

Shortcomings of the existing evidence on outcomes of scaphoid fracture nonunion surgery include the 153 lack of large prospective studies and a multitude of small studies which have used different criteria to 154 155 define union and nonunion, failed to consider the impact of confounders such as smoking, and used different types of bone graft according to characteristics of the nonunion such as site, deformity and 156 vascularity. This has resulted in different studies coming to different conclusions about which factors 157 influence the success of bone graft surgery. This study also has many of these shortcomings as it was a 158 retrospective survey of practice in 19 centres within the United Kingdom. However, 462 of the 806 159 collected cases satisfied our preselected definitions of nonunion (fracture not united after 12 weeks), 160 and had sufficient follow-up (more than 12 weeks) to analyse the influence of factors such as smoking 161 and time since acute fracture on outcome with adjustment for confounders. 162

163 Union occurred in 69% of cases after bone graft surgery in the 19 centres overall. This is lower than reported in many single centre studies and less than the 79% union recently reported for low-intensity 164 165 pulsed ultrasound (LIPUS) (Seger et al., 2017). It is also lower than the overall frequency of union in 166 over 80% reported by two systematic reviews (Ferguson et al., 2016; Pinder et al., 2015). This might 167 indicate surgical failings, but all the 19 centres regularly manage this clinical problem. It could also be 168 an underestimate due to us categorizing some fractures as having "uncertain union status". If all these 169 fracture nonunions had actually united, then overall 78% would have been classed as united. It is perhaps surprising that other studies have not reported difficulties determining whether union had, or 170 had not, occurred in some instances. Other possible explanations are the use of different criteria for 171 172 defining union (Dias, 2001), different lengths of follow-up, or even reporting bias, in which only case series with high percentages of unions have been submitted for publication. 173

174 A recent meta-analysis found that smokers have twice the risk of experiencing a nonunion after a

- number of trauma and elective orthopaedic operations (Pearson et al., 2016). Its mechanism for
- inhibiting fracture healing is not known but could be due to nicotine (Feitelson et al., 2003; Gaston and

Simpson, 2007) or carbon monoxide (Sorensen et al., 2009) within the inhaled smoke. Two studies 177 reported 82% and 88% union after bone graft surgery for scaphoid fracture nonunion in non-smokers 178 compared with 40% and 57% in smokers respectively (Dinah and Vickers, 2007; Little et al., 2006). 179 180 Our data suggest that smoking is probably associated with a doubling of the odds of persistent nonunion after bone graft surgery, which is consistent with these two studies. 181 Separate analysis of proximal pole and waist fractures suggested that smoking may particularly affect 182 the outcome of proximal pole fracture nonunion (non-smokers vs smokers: 77% vs 43%; p=0.01). 183 However this finding is not significant, given the revision of our family-wise error rate (p < 0.003). 184 185 Also, it was based on univariate analyses which do not take into account confounding factors such as time since the acute fracture. 186 Merrell et al. (2002) reported union of scaphoid fracture nonunions in 90% after surgery within a year 187 188 and 80% after surgery more than 1 year after injury. Another study of 160 patients found that one of the 189 factors associated with poorer outcomes was delay before surgery (Inoue et al., 1997). Nakamura et al. 190 (1993) found delays to surgery of over 5 years were associated with poorer outcomes after nonunion 191 surgery, as did Inaparthy and Nichol (2008). However, others have found no impact from delay 192 (Trezies et al., 2000). Our data suggest that the outcome of bone graft surgery is time dependant, with 193 patients with a delay of 1-2 years having 40% and those with a delay of more than 2 years having a 194 140%, higher odds of treatment failure than those who underwent surgery 3 to 6 months after the acute fracture. We recommend that future researchers measure time on a continuous scale (e.g. in days, 195 weeks or months) rather than categorizing data into time blocks. This will allow a more accurate 196 197 estimation of the impact of specific delays on the likelihood of union.

The type of bone graft used for scaphoid fracture nonunion surgery remains a subject of debate and may depend on characteristics of the nonunion, including the vascularity of the proximal fracture fragment and deformity at the nonunion. Current reports show tendencies to use tricortical wedge (corticocancellous) bone grafts for unstable nonunion, vascularized bone grafts for nonunion with

202 evidence of avascular necrosis of the proximal fragment, and cancellous bone graft for stable nonunion (Merrell et al., 2002; Munk and Larsen, 2004; Uesato et al., 2017). Our study did not distinguish 203 between different corticocancellous and cancellous non-vascularized grafts, but a recent review by 204 205 Sayegh and Strauch (2014) suggested the former resulted in better restoration of the height of the scaphoid and carpal alignment, and significantly better Mayo wrist scores. They found that cancellous 206 grafts were associated with shorter time to union, but there was no difference in the overall percentages 207 208 of union between these grafts. Our data suggest that non-vascularized iliac crest bone graft is the most 209 common choice for scaphoid nonunion surgery in the United Kingdom. Vascularized bone grafts appear to be used most frequently for proximal pole (32%), rather than waist (17%) nonunions. Our 210 univariate analyses suggested that vascularized local bone graft may influence the union of proximal 211 pole (vascularized vs non-vascularized: 82% and 58%; p=0.04) but not waist fracture nonunion, though 212 213 again these findings were not statistically significant. Also, analysis of the whole group of 462 scaphoid fractures (distal pole, waist and proximal pole) revealed no benefit to vascularized bone 214 215 grafts. This is in agreement with the findings of recent systematic reviews which reported similar union 216 results for vascularized and non-vascularized bone grafts (92% and 88%, respectively) (Ferguson et al., 217 2016; Pinder et al., 2015). We did not however independently assess the preoperative rationale for the 218 use of vascularized grafting or any preoperative imaging for evidence of avascular necrosis (AVN). 219 This therefore may introduce a risk of selection bias affecting any benefit of vascularized grafting in 220 the setting of AVN. We also found no benefit of iliac crest bone graft over a graft from the distal radius, which concurs 221

with one systematic review (Pinder et al., 2015). Harvest of iliac crest bone graft may cause
complications, and for this reason, use of non-vascularized bone from the distal radius might be the
preferred choice when restoration of scaphoid height restoration is not required, or the nonunion is
within the proximal pole (Arrington et al., 1996; Goulet et al., 1997). However, we accept our study

analyses are probably underpowered to detect differences in outcomes between the different types ofbone grafts.

Avascular necrosis of the proximal fracture fragment is thought to increase the failure rate of bone graft 228 229 surgery although ischaemia alone, without AVN of the proximal fragment, may not influence the success of grafting (Rancy et al., 2018). The reference standard to assess vascularity is intra-operative 230 assessment of punctate bleeding from the proximal fracture fragment (Green, 1985). It can be assessed 231 preoperatively with MRI, but its value in predicting the outcome of surgery is uncertain (Cerezal et al., 232 233 2000; Singh et al., 2004). AVN of the proximal fragment was not studied in this evaluation of service as the presence of punctate bleeding is frequently not recorded in the operation notes and MRI is not 234 normally used preoperatively in the United Kingdom. Also, cases of avascular necrosis causing 235 collapse and fragmentation of the proximal pole would have been excluded from our study as they are 236 237 not suitable for bone graft reconstruction of the scaphoid.

There is no consensus on the definition of a scaphoid nonunion, which makes comparisons between 238 239 published papers impossible. A recent systematic review (Ferguson et al., 2016) looked at 144 studies 240 of scaphoid nonunion and found that only 17 defined the time since the acute fracture after which a 241 failure of union indicated a nonunion. The time intervals suggested ranged from 12 weeks to 1 year. In 242 this study we required a minimum period of 12 weeks after acute fracture, in keeping with current 243 practice and other researchers (Murase et al., 2005; Schuind et al., 1999). Many surgeons feel that union is unlikely to occur 12 weeks or more after injury, either spontaneously or with further 244 immobilization of the wrist in plaster, and patients are reluctant to tolerate long periods in a cast. Every 245 246 case that was included in our study had the diagnosis of nonunion confirmed at the time of surgery. There is also no consensus on the definition of radiological union after scaphoid fracture nonunion 247 surgery. One systematic review found that the radiological features used to diagnose a nonunion, such 248 as absence of bridging trabeculae, both before or after bone graft surgery, were not described in any of 249 144 studies (Ferguson et al., 2016). Plain radiographs are most commonly used and the absence of a 250

251 complete gap between the fracture fragments on any image, as well as no evidence of loosening of the fixation screw or wires (if present) suggest union, such that nonunion is a diagnosis by exclusion (Dias, 252 2001). Persistent nonunion may give the appearance of bridging trabeculae due to overlap of the distal 253 254 and proximal fracture fragments unless the X-ray beam for at least one view is in the plane of the fracture. The timing of the radiographs is also important as graft resorption may make a nonunion 255 evident at 12 weeks after surgery, whereas radiographs taken at 6 weeks (before bone graft resorption) 256 may suggest union. It was for this reason we excluded all cases with a radiological follow-up of less 257 258 than 12 weeks from our analyses. CT scanning probably allows a more reliable assessment of union, 259 but the presence of a metallic fixation device (screw or wires) may distort the images and make this assessment difficult. 260

This study was a retrospective service evaluation, and thus has several failings, including a high percentage of case exclusions (344/806 = 43%) for the reasons previously given. A systematic review of scaphoid fracture nonunion surgery revealed that the outcome of bone graft surgery had been reported in 5464 cases in 144 studies of ten or more cases published in peer reviewed journals before 2015 (Ferguson et al., 2016). Therefore, despite the number of exclusions, our 462 cases are equal to 7.8% of the previously reported outcomes of scaphoid fracture nonunion surgery.

267 Another issue with the present study is the possibility that the different centres involved used different 268 criteria for determining whether the surgery had succeeded in achieving union because the primary outcome in this study was the conclusion of the treating surgical team. Despite the potential for bias, 269 this outcome was selected as it allowed consideration of the clinical, as well as the radiographic, 270 271 presentation. An assessment of the postoperative imaging of the scaphoid fracture nonunions by the trainee assessors in each centre which used defined criteria (Dias, 2001) demonstrated 95% agreement 272 with the conclusions of the treating surgical team. Although the trainee assessors were not blinded to 273 the treating surgical team's assessment of union when making their assessments, this instils some 274 confidence in the accuracy of the assessments of union, especially as we included an additional, 275

"uncertain", category of "union state" for cases where the observers felt unable to categorize the
outcome as "united" or "not united" with reasonable certainty. Future prospective studies should be
designed to allow longer follow-up or further imaging with CT scans to elucidate the outcome of these
cases.

280

In conclusion this study suggests that the previously published values for union (>80%) after bone 281 282 grafting of scaphoid fracture nonunions may not reflect the outcome of current practice in the United Kingdom. It supports the hypotheses that both smoking and the time interval between acute fracture 283 and nonunion surgery influence the outcome of bone graft surgery. Both these factors are often beyond 284 the control of the surgeon and will act as confounders during data analysis. Any conclusions regarding 285 the impact of variations of surgical technique on the outcome of bone graft surgery for scaphoid 286 287 nonunion must be considered unsound, and potentially incorrect, if these factors are not considered. Future studies of the outcome of bone graft surgery for scaphoid fracture nonunion should be 288 prospective to allow complete data collection and sufficiently large to allow for the management of 289 290 potential confounders such as smoking. They should also report their definitions of a nonunion and 291 union after surgery and have a standardized imaging protocol for assessing union and nonunion. Ideally 292 these definitions and imaging requirements should be standardized for all future studies as previously 293 suggested (Ferguson et al., 2016). Only when the results of such studies are available will we know 294 whether surgical techniques can be modified to improve the outcome of bone graft surgery for scaphoid 295 fracture nonunion.

296

297 Acknowledgements

- 298 British Society for Surgery of the Hand Scaphoid Nonunion Group Members: M. Ammori, M. David,
- 299 S. Dustagheer, M. Elvey, A. Fowler, A. Gibson, T. Hardwick, M. Horwitz, A. Karantana, S. Mahmoud,
- A. Nicholls, M. Philpott, N. Riley, A. Roberton, S. Robinson, J. Rooker, C. Rowan, S. Spence, R.
- 301 Wade, H. Williams, W. Yum.
- 302 Each collected a minimum of 50 cases of scaphoid fracture nonunion for this evaluation of service.
- We thank the surgeons of the following hospital for allowing their cases to be included in thisevaluation of service:
- 305 Chesterfield Royal Hospital; Derriford Hospital, Plymouth; East Surrey Hospital, Redhill; Glasgow
- 306 Royal Infirmary; Great Western Hospital, Swindon; James Cook University Hospital, South Tees; John
- 307 Radcliffe and Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre, Oxford; Leeds General Infirmary; Leighton Hospital,
- 308 Crewe; New Cross Hospital, Wolverhampton; Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital; Nottingham
- 309 University Hospitals; Southampton General Hospital; Great Western Hospital, Queen Elizabeth
- 310 Hospital, Birmingham; Queen Elizabeth University Hospital, Glasgow; Swindon; Royal Bolton
- Hospital; Royal Cornwall Hospital, Truro; Royal Orthopaedic Hospital, Birmingham; Royal Sussex
- 312 County Hospital, Guildford; Sunderland Royal Hospital; University Hospital of North Tees; University
- 313 Hospital of Wales, Cardiff.

314 Conflict of Interest

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

317 Funding Statement

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

320 **REFERENCES**

- 321 Arrington ED, Smith WJ, Chambers HG, Bucknell AL, Davino NA. Complications of iliac crest bone
- graft harvesting. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1996, 329: 300-9.
- 323 Braga-Silva J, Peruchi FM, Moschen GM, Gehlen D, Padoin AV. A comparison of the use of distal
- radius vascularised bone graft and non-vascularised iliac crest bone graft in the treatment of non-union
- of scaphoid fractures. J Hand Surg Eur. 2008, 33: 636-40.
- 326 Cerezal L, Abascal F, Canga A, Garcia-Valtuille R, Bustamante M, del Pinal F. Usefulness of
- 327 gadolinium-enhanced mr imaging in the evaluation of the vascularity of scaphoid nonunions. AJR Am
- 328 J Roentgenol. 2000, 174: 141-9.
- 329 Collins GS, Reitsma JB, Altman DG, Moons KGM, Members of the TRIPOD group. Transparent
- reporting of a multivariable prediction model for individual prognosis or diagnosis (TRIPOD): The
- 331 TRIPOD statement. Eur Urol. 2015, 67: 1142-51.
- 332 Dias JJ. Definition of union after acute fracture and surgery for fracture nonunion of the scaphoid. J
- 333 Hand Surg Br. 2001, 26: 321-5.
- Dinah AF, Vickers RH. Smoking increases failure rate of operation for established non-union of the
 scaphoid bone. Int Orthop. 2007, 31: 503-5.
- 336 Feitelson JB, Rowell PP, Roberts CS, Fleming JT. Two week nicotine treatment selectively increases
- bone vascular constriction in response to norepinephrine. J Orthop Res. 2003, 21: 497-502.
- 338 Ferguson DO, Shanbhag V, Hedley H, Reichert I, Lipscombe S, Davis TR. Scaphoid fracture non-
- union: A systematic review of surgical treatment using bone graft. J Hand Surg Eur. 2016, 41: 492-500.
- Gaston MS, Simpson AH. Inhibition of fracture healing. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2007, 89: 1553-60.
- 341 Goulet JA, Senunas LE, DeSilva GL, Greenfield ML. Autogenous iliac crest bone graft. Complications
- and functional assessment. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1997, 339: 76-81.
- 343 Goyal T, Sankineani SR, Tripathy SK. Local distal radius bone graft versus iliac crest bone graft for
- scaphoid nonunion: A comparative study. Musculoskelet Surg. 2013, 97: 109-14.

345 Green DP. The effect of avascular necrosis on Russe bone grafting for scaphoid nonunion. J Hand Surg

346 Am. 1985, 10: 597-605.

- Inaparthy PK, Nicholl JE. Treatment of delayed/nonunion of scaphoid waist with Synthes cannulated
 scaphoid screw and bone graft. Hand (N Y). 2008, 3: 292-6.
- 349 Inoue G, Shionoya K, Kuwahata Y. Herbert screw fixation for scaphoid nonunions. An analysis of
- actors influencing outcome. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1997, 343: 99-106.
- Little CP, Burston BJ, Hopkinson-Woolley J, Burge P. Failure of surgery for scaphoid non-union is
- associated with smoking. J Hand Surg Br. 2006, 31: 252-5.
- 353 Merrell GA, Wolfe SW, Slade JF. Treatment of scaphoid nonunions: Quantitative meta-analysis of the
- 354 literature. J Hand Surg Am. 2002, 27: 685-91.
- 355 Munk B, Larsen CF. Bone grafting the scaphoid nonunion: A systematic review of 147 publications
- including 5,246 cases of scaphoid nonunion. Acta Orthop Scand. 2004, 75: 618-29.
- 357 Murase T, Moritomo H, Goto A, Sugamoto K, Yoshikawa H. Does three-dimensional computer
- simulation improve results of scaphoid nonunion surgery? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2005, 434: 143-50.
- 359 Nakamura R, Horii E, Watanabe K, Tsunoda K, Miura T. Scaphoid non-union: Factors affecting the
- 360 functional outcome of open reduction and wedge grafting with Herbert screw fixation. J Hand Surg Br.
- 361 1993, 18: 219-24.
- 362 Pearson RG, Clement RG, Edwards KL, Scammell BE. Do smokers have greater risk of delayed and
- 363 non-union after fracture, osteotomy and arthrodesis? A systematic review with meta-analysis. BMJ
- 364 Open. 2016, 6: e010303.
- Pinder RM, Brkljac M, Rix L, Muir L, Brewster M. Treatment of scaphoid nonunion: A systematic
 review of the existing evidence. J Hand Surg Am. 2015, 40: 1797-805 e3.
- 367 Rancy SK, Swanstrom MM, DiCarlo EF et al. Success of scaphoid nonunion surgery is independent of
- proximal pole vascularity. J Hand Surg Eur . 2018, 43: 32-40.

- 369 Sayegh ET, Strauch RJ. Graft choice in the management of unstable scaphoid nonunion: A systematic
- 370 review. J Hand Surg Am. 2014, 39: 1500-6 .e7.
- 371 Schuind F, Haentjens P, Van Innis F, Vander Maren C, Garcia-Elias M, Sennwald G. Prognostic
- factors in the treatment of carpal scaphoid nonunions. J Hand Surg Am. 1999, 24: 761-76.
- 373 Seger EW, Jauregui JJ, Horton SA, Davalos G, Kuehn E, Stracher MA. Low-intensity pulsed
- ultrasound for nonoperative treatment of scaphoid nonunions: A meta-analysis. Hand (N Y). 2017:
- 375 1558944717702470. doi: 10.1177/1558944717702470
- Sidak Z. Rectangular confidence regions for means of multivariate normal distributions. J Am Stat
 Assoc. 1967, 62: 626-&.
- 378 Singh AK, Davis TR, Dawson JS, Oni JA, Downing ND. Gadolinium enhanced mr assessment of
- 379 proximal fragment vascularity in nonunions after scaphoid fracture: Does it predict the outcome of
- reconstructive surgery? J Hand Surg Br. 2004, 29: 444-8.
- 381 Sorensen LT, Jorgensen S, Petersen LJ et al. Acute effects of nicotine and smoking on blood flow,
- tissue oxygen, and aerobe metabolism of the skin and subcutis. J Surg Res. 2009, 152: 224-30.
- 383 Trezies AJ, Davis TR, Barton NJ. Factors influencing the outcome of bone grafting surgery for
- scaphoid fracture non-union. Injury. 2000, 31: 605-7.
- Uesato R, Toh S, Hayashi Y, Maniwa K, Ishibashi Y. Non-vascularized bone grafting in scaphoid
- nonunion: Principles and type of fixation. Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol. 2017, 27: 11-21.

Table 1. Summary of patient characteristics.

		Total	Proximal pole	Waist	Distal pole
		(<i>n</i> =462)	(<i>n</i> =119)	(<i>n</i> =316)	(<i>n</i> =27)
Mean age (95% CI)		26 (26 to 28)	26 (24 to 27)	26 (25 to 26)	26 (23 to 29)
Sex (%)	Female	33 (7)	5 (4)	24 (8)	4 (15)
	Male	427 (93)	114 (96)	290 (92)	23 (85)
Smoker at the time of	Yes	125 (27)	30 (25)	90 (29)	5 (19)
surgery (%)	No	185 (40)	40 (41)	125 (40)	12 (44)
	Unable to ascertain from notes	152 (33)	41 (34)	101 (32)	12 (44)
Time from acute fracture	3-6 months	99 (22)	25 (21)	68 (21)	6 (22)
to nonunion surgery (%)	6-12 months	135 (29)	40 (34)	91 (29)	4 (15)
	1-2 years	125 (27)	30 (25)	86 (27)	9 (33)

Table 1

	More than 2 years	103 (22)	24 (20)	71 (23)	8 (30)
Type of bone graft used	None	36 (8)	18 (15)	18 (6)	0 (0)
(%)	Non-vascularized distal radial graft/ulnar graft	136 (29)	36 (30)	89 (28)	11 (41)
	Non-vascularized iliac crest graft	193 (42)	25 (21)	155 (49)	13 (48)
	Vascularized local bone flap (pedicle)	91 (20)	38 (32)	50 (16)	3 (11)
	Free vascularized bone flap	6 (1)	2 (2)	4 (1)	0
Type of fixation (%)	No fixation	4 (1)	0	4 (1)	0
	Kirschner wires	35 (8)	1 (1)	32 (10)	2 (7)
	Cannulated screw	422 (91)	117 (98)	280 (89)	25 (93)
	Non-cannulated screw	1 (0)	1 (1)	0	0
Postoperative follow-	3-6 months	179 (39)	38 (32)	127 (41)	14 (52

up (%)	6-12 months	149 (32)	39 (33)	104 (33)	6 (22)
	1-2 years	91 (20)	27 (23)	58 (18)	6 (22)
	More than 2 years	43 (9)	15 (13)	27 (9)	1 (4)
Union as stated in the	Not United	104 (22)	32 (27)	65 (21)	7 (26)
medical records, (%)	Uncertain	41 (9)	8 (7)	31 (10)	2 (7)
	United	317 (69)	79 (66)	220 (69)	18 (67)
	Radiographs unavailable	1 (0)	1 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Union as assessed by	Not United	114 (25)	36 (23)	72 (25)	6 (22)
trainee (%)	Uncertain	29 (6)	6 (7)	23 (6)	0 (0)
	United	306 (66)	73 (68)	214 (66)	19 (70)
	Radiographs unavailable	13 (3)	4 (2)	7 (3)	2 (7)

to diagnosis postoperative union CT scan 120 (26) 32 (27) 82 (26) 6 (22) MR scan 6 (1) 3 (3) 3 (1) 0 (0) Uncertain 5 (1) 1 (0) 3 (1) 1 (4)	Imaging technique used	Plain radiographs	331 (72)	83 (70)	288 (72)	20 (74)
MR scan 6 (1) 3 (3) 3 (1) 0 (0)		CT scan	120 (26)	32 (27)	82 (26)	6 (22)
Uncertain 5 (1) 1 (0) 3 (1) 1 (4)		MR scan	6 (1)	3 (3)	3 (1)	0 (0)
		Uncertain	5 (1)	1 (0)	3 (1)	1 (4)

CI: confidence interval; CT: computed tomography; MR: magnetic resonance.

Table 2. Postoperative union and baseline factors (n=462).

		Union	Persistent	Uncertain	<i>p</i> -value
		(<i>n</i> =317)	nonunion	(<i>n</i> =41)	
			(<i>n</i> =104)		
Mean age (95%	CI)	25 (24 to 26)	27 (26 to 28)	25 (22 to 28)	0.3
Sex (%)	Male	287 (91)	100 (96)	40 (98)	0.12
	Female	28 (9)	4 (4)	1 (2)	0.13
Smoker at the	No	134 (43)	39 (38)	12 (29)	
time of surgery	Unable to tell	106 (34)	24 (23)	17 (42)	0.01
(%)	Yes	73 (23)	40 (39)	12 (29)	
Fracture	Proximal pole	79 (25)	32 (31)	8 (20)	
oattern (%)	Waist	220 (69)	65 (73)	31 (76)	0.6
	Distal pole	18 (6)	7 (7)	2 (5)	
Fime from	3-6 months	72 (23)	19 (18)	8 (20)	0.05

acute fracture	6-12 months	104 (33)	23 (22)	8 (20)	
to nonunion	1-2 years	82 (26)	29 (28)	14 (34)	
surgery (%)	>2 years	59 (19)	33 (32)	11 (26)	
Type of bone	No bone graft	23 (7)	9 (9)	4 (10)	
graft used (%)	Non-vascularized distal radius/ulna bone graft	92 (29)	30 (29)	14 (34)	
	Non-vascularized iliac crest bone graft	129 (41)	43 (41)	21 (51)	0.3
	Pedicled (local) vascularized bone flap	69 (22)	20 (19)	2 (5)	
	Free vascularized bone flap	4 (1)	2 (2)	0 (0)	
Type of	None	2 (1)	2 (10)	0 (0)	
fixation (%)	Kirschner wire(s)	24 (8)	7 (7)	4 (10)	0.7
	Screw	291 (92)	95 (91)	37 (90)	
Imaging	Plain radiographs	221 (71)	76 (73)	34 (83)	0.4
technique to	СТ	87 (28)	26 (25)	7 (17)	0.4

postoperative 6-12 months 116 (37) 22 (21) 11 (27) union (%) 1-2 years 61 (19) 28 (27) 2 (5)	diagnose	MRI	4 (1)	2 (2)	0 (0)
1-2 years 61 (19) 28 (27) 2 (5)		6-12 months	116 (37)	22 (21)	11 (27)
		1-2 years	61 (19)	28 (27)	2 (5)
>2 years 19 (6) 22 (21) 2 (5)		>2 years	19 (6)	22 (21)	2 (5)

CI: confidence interval; CT: computed tomography; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging.

	Univariable OR (95% Cl)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value*
	1.0 (1.0 to 1.0)	1.0 (1.0 to 1.0)	0.3
	2.4 (0.8 to 7.1)	2.3 (0.8 to 7.1)	0.1
No	1 (referent)	1 (referent)	
Unclear	0.8 (0.4 to 1.4)	0.7 (0.4 to 1.3)	0.01
Yes	1.9 (1.1 to 3.2)	1.8 (1.0 to 3.1)	
3-6 months	1 (referent)	1 (referent)	
6-12 months	0.8 (0.4 to 1.6)	0.9 (0.4 to 1.7)	0.01
1-2 years	1.3 (0.7 to 2.6)	1.4 (0.7 to 2.8)	0.01
>2 years	2.1 (1.1 to 4.1)	2.4 (1.2 to 4.8)	
Distal pole	1 (referent)	1 (referent)	
Waist	0.8 (0.3 to 1.9)	0.7 (0.3 to 1.9)	0.3
Proximal pole	1.0 (0.4 to 2.7)	1.1 (0.4 to 3.0)	
Screw	1 (referent)	1 (referent)	
Kirschner Wires	0.9 (0.4 to 2.1)	0.8 (0.3 to 2.1)	0.4
None	3.0 (0.4 to 22)	4.0 (0.5 to 31)	
	Unclear Yes 3-6 months 6-12 months 1-2 years >2 years >2 years Distal pole Waist Proximal pole Screw Kirschner Wires None	1.0 (1.0 to 1.0) 2.4 (0.8 to 7.1) No 1 (referent) Unclear 0.8 (0.4 to 1.4) Yes 1.9 (1.1 to 3.2) 3-6 months 1 (referent) 6-12 months 0.8 (0.4 to 1.6) 1-2 years 1.3 (0.7 to 2.6) >2 years 2.1 (1.1 to 4.1) Vaist 0.8 (0.3 to 1.9) Proximal pole 1.0 (0.4 to 2.7) Screw 1 (referent) Kirschner Wires 0.9 (0.4 to 2.1) None 3.0 (0.4 to 22)	1.0 (1.0 to 1.0) 1.0 (1.0 to 1.0) 2.4 (0.8 to 7.1) 2.3 (0.8 to 7.1) No 1 (referent) 1 (referent) Unclear 0.8 (0.4 to 1.4) 0.7 (0.4 to 1.3) Yes 1.9 (1.1 to 3.2) 1.8 (1.0 to 3.1) 3-6 months 1 (referent) 1 (referent) 6-12 months 0.8 (0.4 to 1.6) 0.9 (0.4 to 1.7) 1-2 years 1.3 (0.7 to 2.6) 1.4 (0.7 to 2.8) >2 years 2.1 (1.1 to 4.1) 2.4 (1.2 to 4.8) Distal pole 1 (referent) 1 (referent) Naist 0.8 (0.3 to 1.9) 0.7 (0.3 to 1.9) Proximal pole 1.0 (0.4 to 2.7) 1.1 (0.4 to 3.0) Screw 1 (referent) 1 (referent) Kirschner Wires 0.9 (0.4 to 2.1) 0.8 (0.3 to 2.1)

Table 3. Risk factors for persistent nonunion after surgery (n=462).

*Derived from multivariable logistic regression. CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio.

Dear Editor,

All the authors have approved the final contents of the submission, been actively involved in the planning and enactment of the study, and have also assisted with the preparation of the submitted article. The article has not been submitted elsewhere. The references have been checked and are correct. The authors have read the Submission Guidelines and the paper conforms to this Guide in all respects.

We, the authors of this submission confirm that we have not published the same or a very similar study with the same or very similar results and major conclusions in any other journals. These include English or non-English language journals and journals that are indexed or not indexed in PubMed, regardless of different words being used in the article titles, introduction and discussion. The authors of this submission understand that dual submission refers to publication in any language and that dual submission will result in academic sanctions which will include the blocking of all authors to prevent their future submissions to the JHS-E.

Yours sincerely,

TRC Paris

Professor Tim Davis

Dear Geoff,

I have been through this all. I was uncertain about some of your bracketing and have left comments to highlight this. Also I have made a few tweaks in tracked changes.

I hope this is OK

BW

Tim

Title Page

Title

The outcome of bone graft surgery for nonunion of fractures of the scaphoid

Authors

Mohannad B Ammori, Michael Elvey, Samer S Mahmoud, Alex J Nicholls, Simon Robinson, Clare Rowan, Stephanie Spence, Ryckie G Wade, Alexia Karantana, the British Society for Surgery of the Hand Scaphoid Nonunion Group, Tim RC Davis.

Institutions

Chesterfield Royal Hospital; Derriford Hospital, Plymouth; East Surrey Hospital, Redhill; Glasgow Royal Infirmary; Great Western Hospital, Swindon; James Cook University Hospital, South Tees; John Radcliffe and Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre, Oxford; Leeds General Infirmary; Leighton Hospital, Crewe; New Cross Hospital, Wolverhampton; Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital; Nottingham University Hospitals; Southampton General Hospital; Great Western Hospital, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Birmingham; Queen Elizabeth University Hospital, Glasgow; Swindon; Royal Bolton Hospital; Royal Cornwall Hospital, Truro; Royal Orthopaedic Hospital, Birmingham; Royal Sussex County Hospital, Guildford; Sunderland Royal Hospital; University Hospital of North Tees; University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff.

Corresponding Author

Tim RC Davis, Consultant Hand Surgeon, Queens Medical Campus, Nottingham University Hospitals, Nottingham, NG7 2UH, United Kingdom.

Telephone: 0115 924 9924 Ext 64337

Email: tim.davis@nuh.nhs.uk

Keywords

Scaphoid fracture; nonunion; bone graft surgery; outcome

Acknowledgements

British Society for Surgery of the Hand Scaphoid Nonunion Group Members: M. Ammori, M. David, S. Dustagheer, M. Elvey, A. Fowler, A. Gibson, T. Hardwick, M. Horwitz, A. Karantana, S. Mahmoud, A. Nicholls, M. Philpott, N. Riley, A. Roberton, S. Robinson, J. Rooker, C. Rowan, S. Spence, R. Wade, H. Williams, W. Yum.

Each collected a minimum of 50 cases of scaphoid fracture nonunion for this evaluation of service. We thank the surgeons of the following hospital for allowing their cases to be included in this evaluation of service:

Chesterfield Royal Hospital; Derriford Hospital, Plymouth; East Surrey Hospital, Redhill; Glasgow Royal Infirmary; Great Western Hospital, Swindon; James Cook University Hospital, South Tees; John Radcliffe and Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre, Oxford; Leeds General Infirmary; Leighton Hospital, Crewe; New Cross Hospital, Wolverhampton; Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital; Nottingham University Hospitals; Southampton General Hospital; Great Western Hospital, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Birmingham; Queen Elizabeth University Hospital, Glasgow; Swindon; Royal Bolton Hospital; Royal Cornwall Hospital, Truro; Royal Orthopaedic Hospital, Birmingham; Royal Sussex County Hospital, Guildford; Sunderland Royal Hospital; University Hospital of North Tees; University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding Statement

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.