Flowcharts to aid student comprehension of Nernst equation calculations
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The ability to understand calculations involving the Nernst equation is a fundamental skill expected of all students studying excitable membranes. The typical scenario encountered by students involves an intracellular compartment separated from the extracellular fluid by a semipermeable membrane (7). Under these conditions the Nernst equation can be expressed as:

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}=\frac{\mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{zF}} \ln \frac{[\mathrm{X}]_{0}}{[\mathrm{X}]_{\mathrm{i}}}=\frac{61.5}{\mathrm{z}} \log _{10} \frac{[\mathrm{X}]_{0}}{[\mathrm{X}]_{\mathrm{i}}}
$$

where $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{X}}$ is the reversal potential, $[\mathrm{X}]_{\circ}$ and $[\mathrm{X}]_{\mathrm{i}}$ are the extracellular and intracellular ion concentrations, respectively, $z$ is the valence of the ion, and $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{T}$ and F have their usual meanings (7), initially reducing to the factor 26.7 mV at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then to 61.5 mV if $\log _{10}$ rather than $\ln$ is used, since $\log _{10} \mathrm{x}=\ln \mathrm{x} / \ln 10$. The equation and its utility in determining membrane potential is considered sufficiently important to justify dedicated chapters in textbooks $(2,8,11,12)$, and has been the subject of numerous articles in this $(3-6,9,10,13,14,16)$ and other journals $(1,15)$. Although the Nernst equation contains only 3 parameters, it is the cause of much student anguish, an issue I attempted to address in a recent publication regarding the logarithmic transformation that lies at the heart of the Nernst equation (13). Reflecting on that publication whilst teaching the topic to current $2^{\text {nd }}$ year undergraduate neuroscience students prompted me to develop a flowchart to help students understand the logical sequence of steps that is required to satisfactorily implement calculations involving the Nernst equation. This chart can be used as a template to calculate any of the three parameters in the Nernst equation, providing the two other parameters are known. Thus, when $[\mathrm{X}]_{0}$ and $[\mathrm{X}]_{\mathrm{i}}$
are known $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{X}}$ can be calculated, and $[\mathrm{X}]_{0}$ or $[\mathrm{X}]_{\mathrm{i}}$ can be calculated if $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{X}}$ and the other compartmental ion concentration are known.

The flowchart, illustrated in Fig 1, requires as an initial step identifying the valence of the ion under investigation, followed by selection of the parameter to be calculated ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{X}}$ or $[\mathrm{X}]_{o}$ ), which dictates the direction of flow. For the sake of clarity I have assumed that all calculations are carried out at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. If we assume that $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{X}}$ is the unknown parameter and $[\mathrm{X}]_{0}$ and $[\mathrm{X}]_{\mathrm{i}}$ are known (left branch of the chart), the factor 61.5 mV must be modified according to the ions valence (i). The ratio of $[\mathrm{X}]_{o}$ and $[\mathrm{X}]_{\mathrm{i}}$ is calculated (ii), the result of which is then logarithmically transformed (iii). It is then a simple matter of multiplying the result of steps (i) and (iii) to return the value for $E_{X}$ (iv). This procedure works for anions and cations of any valence. The alternate calculation initially appears more complex since $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{X}}$ and the ion concentration in only one compartment are known (right branch of the chart). This calculation requires rearrangement of the standard form in which the Nernst equation is usually expressed (see above), such that the ratio of $[\mathrm{X}]_{\Omega} /[\mathrm{X}]_{\mathrm{i}}$ is isolated on one side of the equation. $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{X}}$ is then divided by the factor 61.5 scaled according to the valence of the ion (v), then the ratio of $[\mathrm{X}]_{0}$ and $[\mathrm{X}]_{\mathrm{i}}$ that yields $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{X}}$ forms the exponent of the base $10(\mathrm{vi})$, since $\log _{10} \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{a}$ is equivalent to $10^{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{x}$. Retaining natural logarithms in the Nernst equation has two consequences in our scheme, requiring the factor 61.5 mV be divided by $\ln 10$ to revert to 26.7 mV (see above), and transforming step (vi) to $\mathrm{e}^{(v)}$, since $\ln \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{a}$ is equivalent to $e^{a}=x$. Whether $[X]_{o}$ or $[X]_{i}$ is the unknown quantity (vii) determines the subsequent scaling of the known ion concentration (viii) by the result calculated in (vi). When teaching students calculations involving base 10 logs I have found that maintaining an order of magnitude
difference between $[\mathrm{X}]_{o}$ and $[\mathrm{X}]_{\mathrm{i}}$ e.g. 20 mM and 200 mM , simplifies the arithmetic to the extent that calculators are not required.

A flowchart that allows investigation of the effect of temperature on $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{X}}$ is illustrated in Fig 2. As above the initial stage is to identify the valence of the ion, followed by rearrangement of the equation to isolate T from the other constants (i). Sequential solving of $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{zF}$ (ii), calculating the ratio of $[\mathrm{X}]_{0} /[\mathrm{X}]_{\mathrm{i}}$ (iii), logarithmically transforming this ratio (iv), then multiplying by (ii), produces a quantity that when multiplied by the desired temperature allows the calculation of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}$ as a factor of temperature (v).

In conclusion, I suggest that these templates be used in conjunction with recently published articles in this journal that describe the concept of the Nernst equation (3), logarithmic transformation as applied to the Nernst equation (13) and the direction of ion flow that occurs when Ex is not equal to Em (5).

## Figure Legends

Figure 1
The template, which follows conventional flowchart symbols, illustrates the sequence of steps and decisions required to complete the data flow process. The Roman numerals in parentheses represent the individual steps in the process, which are used to calculate any of the three parameters in the Nernst equation if the two other parameters are known. The equations, contained within the dotted boxes, are placed underneath the corresponding steps, and denote progress of the calculation. The parameters in the equation are replaced by the relevant Roman numerals
upon sequential completion of the appropriate step. A $\log _{10}$ conversion scale is included to facilitate steps iii and vi.

## Figure 2

A template for investigating the effect of temperature on $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{X}}$. The sequential steps are completed in the manner described for Figure 1.
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