Peer victimization and its impact on adolescent brain development and psychopathology

Running title: Peer victimization impacts anxiety via the brain

Erin Burke Quinlan Ph.D. ¹, Edward D. Barker Ph.D. ², Qiang Luo Ph.D. ^{3,4}, Tobias Banaschewski M.D., Ph.D. ⁵; Arun L.W. Bokde Ph.D. ⁶; Uli Bromberg Dipl.-Psych. ⁷; Christian Büchel M.D. ⁷; Sylvane Desrivières Ph.D. ¹; Herta Flor Ph.D. ^{8,9}; Vincent Frouin Ph.D. ¹⁰; Hugh Garavan Ph.D. ¹¹; Bader Chaarani Ph.D. ¹¹; Penny Gowland Ph.D. ¹²; Andreas Heinz M.D., Ph.D. ¹³; Rüdiger Brühl Ph.D. ¹⁴; Jean-Luc Martinot M.D., Ph.D. ¹⁵, Marie-Laure Paillère Martinot M.D., Ph.D. ¹⁶; Frauke Nees Ph.D. ^{5,8}; Dimitri Papadopoulos Orfanos Ph.D. ¹⁰; Tomáš Paus M.D., Ph.D. ¹⁷; Luise Poustka M.D. ^{18, 19}; Sarah Hohmann M.D. ⁵; Michael N. Smolka M.D. ²⁰; Juliane H. Fröhner M.Sc ²⁰; Henrik Walter M.D., Ph.D. ¹³; Robert Whelan Ph.D. ²¹; Gunter Schumann M.D. ¹; IMAGEN Consortium

Affiliations:

¹Medical Research Council - Social, Genetic and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, United Kingdom;

²Department of Psychology, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology, & Neuroscience, King's College London, United Kingdom;

³Institute of Science and Technology for Brain-Inspired Intelligence (ISTBI), Fudan University, Shanghai, China;

⁴School of Life Sciences and Institute of Science and Technology for Brain-Inspired Intelligence, Fudan University, Shanghai, China;

⁵Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Central Institute of Mental Health, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Square J5, 68159 Mannheim, Germany;

⁶Discipline of Psychiatry, School of Medicine and Trinity College Institute of Neuroscience, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland;

⁷University Medical Centre Hamburg-Eppendorf, House W34, 3.OG, Martinistr. 52, 20246, Hamburg, Germany;

⁸Department of Cognitive and Clinical Neuroscience, Central Institute of Mental Health, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Square J5, Mannheim, Germany;

- ⁹ Department of Psychology, School of Social Sciences, University of Mannheim, 68131 Mannheim, Germany;
- ¹⁰ NeuroSpin, CEA, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France;
- ¹¹Departments of Psychiatry and Psychology, University of Vermont, 05405 Burlington, Vermont, USA:
- ¹²Sir Peter Mansfield Imaging Centre School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, United Kingdom;
- ¹³Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Campus Charité Mitte, Charité, Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Charitéplatz 1, Berlin, Germany;
- ¹⁴Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB), Braunschweig and Berlin, Germany [or depending on journal requirements can be: Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB), Abbestr. 2 12, Berlin, Germany;
- ¹⁵Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, INSERM Unit 1000 "Neuroimaging & Psychiatry", University Paris Sud Paris Saclay, University Paris Descartes; DIGITEO labs, Gif sur Yvette; France
- ¹⁶Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, INSERM Unit 1000 "Neuroimaging & Psychiatry", University Paris Sud Paris Saclay, University Paris Descartes; and AP-HP, Department of Adolescent Psychopathology and Medicine, Maison de Solenn, Cochin Hospital, Paris, France
- ¹⁷Rotman Research Institute, Baycrest and Departments of Psychology and Psychiatry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, M6A 2E1, Canada;
- ¹⁸Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Medical Centre Göttingen, von-Siebold-Str. 5, 37075, Göttingen, Germany;
- ¹⁹Clinic for Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Medical University of Vienna, Währinger Gürtel 18-20, 1090, Vienna, Austria;
- ²⁰Department of Psychiatry and Neuroimaging Center, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany;
- ²¹School of Psychology and Global Brain Health Institute, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland.

Other IMAGEN Consortium members: Pausova Z, Mann K, Barker GJ, Lawrence C, Rietschel

M, Robbins TW, Williams S, Nymberg C, Topper L, Smith L, Havatzias S, Stueber K, Mallik C,

Clarke TK, Stacey D, Peng Wong C, Werts H, Williams S, Andrew C, Häke I, Ivanov N, Klär A,

Reuter J, Palafox C, Hohmann C, Lüdemann K, Romanowski A, Ströhle A, Wolff E, Rapp M,

Ihlenfeld A, Walaszek B, Schubert F, Connolly C, Jones J, Lalor E, McCabe E, NíShiothcháin A,

Spanagel R, Sommer W, Steiner S, Buehler M, Stolzenburg E, Schmal C, Schirmbeck F, Heym

N, Newman C, Huebner T, Ripke S, Mennigen E, Muller K, Ziesch V, Lueken L, Yacubian J,

Finsterbusch J, Bordas N, Bricaud Z, Galinowski A, Gourlan C, Schwartz Y, Lalanne C, Barbot

A, Thyreau B, Subramaniam N, Theobald D, Richmond N, de Rover M, Molander A, Jordan E,

Robinson E, Hipolata L, Moreno M, Arroyo M, Stephens D, Ripley T, Crombag H, Lathrop M,

Lanzerath D, Heinrichs B, Spranger T, Resch F, Haffner J, Parzer P, Brunner R, Constant P,

Mignon X, Thomsen T, Vestboe A, Ireland J, Rogers J.

Corresponding author:

Dr. Erin Burke Quinlan
Centre for Population Neuroscience
Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience
MRC Social, Genetic, and Developmental Psychiatry Centre
16 De Crespigny Park

London SE5 8AF

email: erin.quinlan@kcl.ac.uk office: +44 (0)20 7848 8846 fax: +44 (0)20 7848 0866

Abstract word count: 169

Main text word count: 4190

3

Abstract

Chronic peer victimization has long-term impacts on mental health; however, the biological mediators of this adverse relationship are unknown. We sought to determine whether adolescent brain development is involved in mediating the effect of peer victimization on psychopathology. We included participants (n=682) from the longitudinal IMAGEN study with both peer victimization and neuroimaging data. Latent profile analysis identified groups of adolescents with different experiential patterns of victimization. We then associated the victimization trajectories and brain volume changes with depression, generalized anxiety, and hyperactivity symptoms at age 19. Repeated measures ANOVA revealed time-by-victimization interactions on left putamen volume (F=4.38, p=0.037). Changes in left putamen volume were negatively associated with generalized anxiety (t=-2.32, p=0.020). Notably, peer victimization was indirectly associated with generalized anxiety via decreases in putamen volume (95%CI=0.004 to 0.109). This was also true for the left caudate (95%CI=0.002 to 0.099). These data suggest that the experience of chronic peer victimization during adolescence might induce psychopathology-relevant deviations from normative brain development. Early peer victimization interventions could prevent such pathological changes.

Introduction

Adolescence is a period of significant change, both personally and biologically. Whilst the individual is encountering a host of new life experiences and stressors, the adolescent brain is undergoing extensive development as evidenced by decreases in gray matter volume and increases in white matter volume ^{1, 2}. Peer relationships are a significant source of stress: up to 30% ^{3, 4} of adolescents are bullied by their peers. Whilst the frequency of peer victimization decreases across adolescence ^{5, 6}, for some individuals the victimization is chronic and persisting ^{7, 8}.

Peer victimization, especially if it is chronic and persisting, can have dramatic and long-term effects on physical and mental health ^{9, 10}. Numerous studies have shown that peer victimization in childhood and adolescence is associated with higher rates of psychopathology in adulthood ¹¹⁻¹⁴. Two recent studies took the association further and identified a causal contribution of peer victimization to a range of internalizing and externalizing symptoms ^{15, 16}. Although these studies have suggested causal effects, the biological mediators of such effects have largely not been identified.

Neuroimaging is a useful tool for elucidating possible mediators. Of note, there is a dearth of published neuroimaging studies of peer victimization. There is good reason to pursue this line of research, as primarily retrospective adult studies have associated differences in brain structure with reports of earlier life stress and childhood maltreatment. Generally, children who have experienced early life stress, such as maltreatment, have smaller brain volumes in adulthood compared to control groups in areas important for emotion regulation, impulsivity, and reward processing ¹⁷⁻²¹, psychological traits implicated in common psychiatric disorders ²²⁻²⁴. Such areas include

the anterior cingulate, caudate, putamen, hippocampus, parahippocampal gyrus, amygdala, orbitofrontal cortex, and insula.

These studies have focused on childhood exposure to stress; however, the negative impact of stress on the brain is likely compounded when it occurs during a period of neurobiological maturation such as adolescence ^{2, 25, 26}. Furthermore, as stated, the existing data are largely based on retrospective or cross-sectional research; while we know that childhood stress leads to altered neural signatures in adulthood we do not know *how* these differences arose. Therefore, prospective longitudinal studies are needed to identify relationships between victimization, brain development, and vulnerability for psychopathologies.

The longitudinal, multidisciplinary, adolescent IMAGEN cohort enabled us to explore how chronic peer victimization across adolescence impacts structural adolescent brain development and whether such effects underlie the known relationship between peer victimization and mental health. To do this we used the peer victimization data to generate trajectories from ages 14 to 19. We then related these trajectories to brain regions previously shown to be sensitive to stress and maltreatment and hypothesized chronically victimized adolescents would show larger decreases in volume over time. We also incorporated measures of stressful life events and childhood maltreatment in our analyses to elucidate whether observed effects were specific to peer victimization or related to stress in general.

Materials and Methods

<u>Participants</u>

Participants were part of the IMAGEN project, a community-based longitudinal study of adolescent brain development and mental health. Participants were assessed at eight study sites in England, Ireland, France, and Germany. Individuals were included in the analyses if they had peer victimization data at ages 14, 16, and 19 as well as structural MRI data at ages 14 and 19 (neuroimaging assessments were not carried out at age 16). The comparison of observed characteristics between those included and excluded from the analyses can be found in the online Supplement (Supplementary Table 1). Questionnaires were self-administered on home computers using the Psytools software package (Delosis, London). The local research ethics committees approved this study and written consent was obtained from participants (and from their legal guardian at ages 14 and 16). A detailed description of recruitment and assessment procedures, as well as general inclusion and exclusion criteria, has been published previously ²⁷.

Peer victimization

The peer victimization questions were adapted from a questionnaire used in a large international study entitled Health Behaviour in School-Aged Children (HSBC). These questions were initially utilized in the revised Olweus Bully/Victim Questionnaire ²⁸. Of the six questions inquiring about victimization during the previous 6 months, two asked about bullying from family members. We engaged in exploratory analysis to examine reliability and external validity of the remaining items to derive a meaningful scale of peer victimization experiences. The selected three items were specifically descriptive about the victimization actions of their peers (for details please see the Supplementary Information). Because these items do not explicitly mention bullying or capture the imbalance of power associated with bullying, we refer to them as a measure of "peer"

victimization". These items were summed to create peer victimization scores at ages 14, 16, and 19.

Psychopathology symptoms

Internalizing and externalizing psychopathology symptoms were evaluated as an outcome at age 19. Preliminary DSM–IV psychiatric diagnoses (depression and generalized anxiety) were obtained via the computer-administered Developmental and Well-Being Assessment (DAWBA; ²⁹). Based on participants' answers to the DAWBA questions, the well-defined computer algorithm (see www.dawba.com) assigns an individual to one of six ordered-categorical diagnostic "probability bands" (i.e., from less than 0.1% likely to more than 70% likely). These "bands" have been validated in two European youth cohorts and perform similarly to clinician-generated diagnoses regarding associations with risk factors ³⁰. We also used continuous severity scores of internalizing (emotional symptoms) and externalizing (hyperactivity) symptoms on the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ; www.sdqinfo.com; ³¹).

Childhood maltreatment and stressful life events

The childhood trauma questionnaire (CTQ ³²) was used to assess childhood maltreatment across childhood and adolescence. It consists of five domains: emotional abuse, emotional neglect, physical abuse, physical neglect, and sexual abuse. The scores for each of the five domains were summed for a total CTQ score; the higher the score the greater the severity of maltreatment.

The self-report Life Events Questionnaire (LEQ ³³) was used to record the occurrence of stressful life events across adolescence. Twenty events were classed as stressful based on the valence reports of IMAGEN participants as reported previously ³⁴ who experienced the event and rated it as distressing ('unhappy' or 'very unhappy'). A

stressful life event (SLE) frequency score was calculated based on the number of negative events the participants experienced. For age 14, the number of stressful life events experienced during the previous 12 months was used to generate the SLE score. For ages 16 and 19, the number of stressful life events experienced since their previous assessment was used to generate the SLE score.

MRI acquisition and processing

MRI data

High-resolution T1-weighted structural MRI data were acquired on 3T MRI scanners (Philips, GE, Siemens). Scanning protocol parameters were harmonized across all sites' manufacturers.

Voxel-based morphometry (VBM)

All age 14 and age 19 MPRAGE data were preprocessed in SPM8

(http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/) using the VBM8 toolbox with default settings, including the usage of high-dimensional spatial normalization with an already integrated Dartel template in MNI space. All images were subjected to nonlinear modulations and corrected for each individual head size. Images were then smoothed with an 8 mm full-width at half-maximum Gaussian kernel with the resulting voxel size 1.5mm³. The automated anatomical labeling (AAL) atlas was employed to exclude the voxels outside the grey matter. For each brain area defined by the AAL atlas, the volume of the area was estimated by summing the grey matter volume over all voxels within that area. Total intracranial volume, used as a covariate of no interest, was estimated by the summation of the grey matter, white matter, and CSF volumes in native space.

Eighteen AAL regions of interest (ROIs; 9 bilateral) were entered into the analyses considering their relationships with stress and maltreatment ^{21, 35-38}. These regions were the inferior orbitofrontal cortex, anterior cingulate cortex, insula, hippocampus, parahippocampal gyrus, amygdala, caudate, putamen, and thalamus. Although individual variability in regional brain volumes is expected, individuals with volumes >3 times the interquartile range away from the 25th and 75th percentiles ^{39, 40} were considered outliers and their volumes were winsorized. Thirty-six individuals had their ROI volumes winsorized. Of those, 35 were from the non-chronic peer victimization group. The change in brain ROI volumes was calculated as the volume at age 19 minus the volume at age 14.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were two-tailed with a significance threshold of p<0.05. All statistical tests, except latent profile analysis and indirect effect analysis, used SPSS software version 24.

Peer victimization trajectories

We estimated peer victimization trajectories using longitudinal latent profile analysis (LPA) in MPlus version7 (Muthen & Muthen, Los Angeles, CA). LPA enables identification of classes of adolescents who may follow different experiential patterns of peer victimization (e.g., high versus low levels at different ages). With LPA one can test how well the class model fits the data as well as confidence that the individuals are likely to be following the identified developmental profile. Peer victimization sum scores from ages 14, 16, and 19 were entered into LPA and we fit a series of trajectory models, progressing from a one-class model to a four-class model (trajectory criteria can be found in the Supplementary Information).

Nonparametric partial correlations

As we were interested in whether peer victimization was related to changes in brain structure, we first ran nonparametric partial correlations (rho, ρ) between peer victimization class and the change in ROI volumes from age 14 to 19. Site, sex, socioeconomic status (SES; indexed used the family stresses subsection of the DAWBA), age 14 pubertal status ⁴¹, and change in intracranial volume were included as covariates. Regions associated with peer victimization were included in subsequent multivariate analyses. At this stage we applied false discovery rate correction ⁴² to the two-tailed p-values.

Repeated measures ANOVA

We carried out repeated measures ANOVAs (partial eta-squared, ηp²; Sum of squares, SS; F-statistic, F) to identify a longitudinal relationship between chronic peer victimization and adolescent brain development. Peer victimization latent profile grouping was the between-subjects variable and the age 14 and age 19 T1-derived AAL volumes were the within-subjects variables. We controlled for site, sex, SES, age 14 pubertal status, and change in intracranial volume. Additional analyses also included sex as a between-subjects variable to determine whether it might influence the victimization-brain relationship.

Mann-Whitney U test

Because the psychopathology symptoms between the groups did not meet homogeneity of variance assumptions, a two-tailed Mann-Whitney U test (U-statistic, U; correlation, r) was used to identify relationships between peer victimization and psychopathology symptoms. At this stage we applied false discovery rate correction ⁴² to the two-tailed p-values.

Linear regression analyses

We ran multivariable linear regression analyses (t-statistic, t) to identify the relationship between changes in regional brain volumes and psychopathology symptoms. Sex, study site, SES, pubertal status, and change in intracranial volume across adolescence were added as covariates in these analyses.

Indirect effect analysis

To determine whether the changes in regional brain volume mediate the relationship between peer victimization and psychopathology symptoms, indirect effect analyses were carried out in MPlus (version 7) using maximum likelihood estimation. The indirect effects were defined by the product term of the two pathways of interest (i.e., peer victimization to change in brain volume x change in brain volume to psychopathology symptoms). Because standard errors underlying indirect effects are often skewed, we bootstrapped all indirect effects 10000 times with bias-corrected 95% confidence intervals. These models controlled for sex, study site, SES, pubertal status, and change in intracranial volume.

Results

Participants

Of the 682 participants 46% were male. Their age at each study time point was as follows: Baseline: 14.4±0.4 (mean±SD); Follow-up 1: 16.5±0.6; Follow-up 2: 19.0±0.7.

Latent profile analysis of peer victimization

Latent profile analysis was used to identify classes (i.e., subgroups) of individuals with a chronically high level of peer victimization across adolescence using the peer victimization sum scores from ages 14, 16, and 19. Peer victimization scores for each time point were as follows (mean±SD; range): age 14 (4.0±1.6; 3-13); age 16 (3.5±1.2; 3-13); age 19 (3.3±1.0; 3-15).

Latent profile analysis of peer victimization identified a chronically victimized group of 36 individuals (entropy = 0.99; see Figure 1; 38% male), representing 5% of the overall sample. Latent profile analysis also identified a much larger low peer victimization group (n=646; 46% male). There was no significant difference in sex between the chronic and low peer victimization groups (χ^2 = 0.751, df=1, p=0.386). Considering the substantial group size difference we tested for homogeneity of variance in our variables of interest (i.e., changes in brain volumes and psychopathology symptoms). All variables met the assumption of homogeneity of variance (i.e., p-value greater than 0.05) except the depression probability rating from the DAWBA (Levene's statistic=11.48, p=0.001).

Peer victimization and psychopathology symptoms

To validate the peer victimization trajectories with regard to psychopathology we used the Mann-Whitney U test to compare psychopathology symptoms between the peer victimization groups. Individuals in the chronically peer victimized group had higher

symptoms scores on the SDQ (emotional symptoms: U=7829.0, r=-0.13, p=0.001; hyperactivity: U=8223.0, r=-0.11, p=0.003) and greater symptom diagnostic probabilities on the DAWBA (depression: U=6557.0, r=-0.13, p = 0.001 and generalized anxiety: U=9101.5, r=-0.10, p=0.006).

Peer victimization and adolescent brain development (nonparametric partial correlation)
As described above, eighteen bilateral frontal, limbic, and basal ganglia ROIs were entered into the analyses considering they have previously been identified as having a relationship with stress and maltreatment ^{21, 35-37}. Only two ROIs were associated with peer victimization and survived false discovery rate correction and were included in subsequent analyses: changes in left caudate (ρ=-0.086, p=0.032) and putamen (ρ=-0.101, p=0.012) volume were negatively associated with peer victimization.

Peer victimization and adolescent brain development (repeated measures ANOVA)

We then carried out a 2-by-2 repeated measures ANOVA analyses to further probe the longitudinal relationship between peer victimization and adolescent brain development. Region-of-interest volumes at ages 14 and 19 were the within-subject variable and peer victimization class was the between-subjects variable. The repeated measures ANOVAs identified a significant brain volume-by-peer victimization interaction for the left putamen (ηρ²=0.006, SS=30850.41, F=4.38, p=0.037; Table 1).

On average, the volume of the putamen decreased across adolescence in all individuals (left putamen: -83.62±86.79). As shown in Figure 2, the brain volume-by-peer victimization interaction suggests that individuals who have been chronically victimized have steeper decreases in putamen volume than their less victimized counterparts.

Post-hoc analyses

Comparison of the age 14 and age 19 volumes between groups suggests that putamen volume is significantly larger in the chronically victimized group at age 14 (t=-2.966, p=0.003, d=0.49) but not at age 19 (t=-1.834, p=0.067, d=0.30). We also found that greater peer victimization at age 14 was related to larger putamen volumes at age 14 (r=0.076, p=0.049) and that peer victimization score at age 14 did significantly relate to change in putamen volume (r=-0.087, p=0.024) such that individuals with higher victimization scores had greater decreases in putamen volume over time.

Sensitivity analyses

Considering the observed brain volume-by-peer victimization interaction might differ according to sex, repeated measures ANOVA were rerun including sex as a between-subjects variable. However, there was no influence by sex as the 3-way interaction was not significant (see Supplementary Table 2).

To verify that the brain volume-by-peer victimization interaction was uniquely significant when also examining other types of early life stress, we reran the model controlling for childhood trauma and SLE. After controlling for childhood trauma and stressful life events, the interaction remained significant (see Supplementary Table 3), suggesting that the effect is unique to peer victimization and not stressful life events in general.

Adolescent brain development and psychopathology symptoms

Considering the observed relationships of peer victimization with putamen volume changes and psychopathology symptoms, we used multiple regression analyses to determine whether there might also be a relationship between changes in putamen volumes and psychopathology symptoms. Regression analyses identified a negative relationship between the change in putamen volume and generalized anxiety (t=-2.32,

p=0.020). This relationship remained significant after controlling for childhood trauma and SLE (t=-2.31, p=0.021) in the model. Neither depression nor hyperactivity was significant.

Indirect effects analysis

Because peer victimization was associated with changes in regional brain volumes that were, in turn, associated with psychopathology symptoms, we ran an indirect effect model to test whether changes in brain volumes might be a biological means by which peer victimization influences psychopathology symptoms at age 19. The indirect effect model (Figure 3A; c' path) showed that peer victimization was indirectly associated with generalized anxiety via decreases in the volume of the putamen, as the 95% confidence intervals excluded 0 (β =0.439, bias-corrected bootstrapping 95%CI= 0.004 to 0.109; Table 2).

Because the caudate is functionally related to the putamen, and the volumetric change of the left caudate was also associated with generalized anxiety, we ran an exploratory indirect effect model with the left caudate. We found that peer victimization was also indirectly associated with generalized anxiety via decreases in caudate volume (β =0.036, 95%CI=0.002 to 0.099; Figure 3B; Table 2).

Since the volumetric changes in these two regions are correlated (left caudate-left putamen partial correlation: r=0.541, $p=3x10^{-52}$), we put both victimization-brain-symptom models into one indirect effects analysis. By doing this and, therefore, accounting for the associations between the two brain regions, we found that peer victimization was still associated with generalized anxiety via decreases in left putamen volume ($\beta=0.039$, bias-corrected bootstrapping 95%Cl= 0.004 to 0.103) as well as left caudate volume ($\beta=0.039$, bias-corrected bootstrapping 95%Cl= 0.004 to 0.108).

Sensitivity analyses

We conducted sensitivity analyses for the 'all-in-one' models and found peer victimization was still associated with generalized anxiety via decreases in both the left putamen and caudate when controlling for both stressful life events and childhood maltreatment, as well as comorbid depressive symptoms at ages 14 and 19. The result statistics are included in the online Supplement (Results and Table 5).

Discussion

This study examined how chronic peer victimization affects adolescent brain development and whether such developmental changes are associated with the relationship between victimization and mental health. Our findings validated literature linking peer victimization with mental health problems. However, there are two novel ways in which our findings extend the current literature: first, chronic adolescent peer victimization impacts structural brain development and, second, changes in brain structure are related to psychopathology symptoms in late adolescence/early adulthood. Furthermore, we found that peer victimization positively associates with anxiety symptoms indirectly via these brain changes. Specifically, we found that chronic peer victimization was associated with steeper decreases in left putamen volume. Importantly, these findings were unique to peer victimization and not other types of stress or comorbid depression. Together, these results are, to our knowledge, the first to identify a possible mechanism by which adolescent peer victimization impacts the development of anxiety in young adults.

The striatum, comprised in part by the putamen, matures from late adolescence into adulthood ⁴³⁻⁴⁵, evidenced by volumetric decreases ⁴⁶, but there are inconsistencies as to how stress affects maturation trajectories during childhood and adolescence versus adulthood. Cross-sectional neuroimaging studies of early life stress such as childhood maltreatment find both smaller and larger gray matter volumes during early adolescence ^{35, 47, 48}. However, cross-sectional studies carried out in adults who have experienced early life stress and maltreatment find they have smaller gray matter volumes compared to controls ^{17, 21, 37, 38}.

The current results revealed that the putamen, and to a lesser degree the caudate, were larger in the chronically peer-victimized group at age 14 but became more similar in volume to the non-victimized group by age 19. This raised the question of whether the

degree of initial peer victimization (age 14) was also related to brain volumes and indeed it was correlated with age 14 putamen volume as well as the change in putamen volume across adolescence. The difference in volumes at age 14 might be due to the chronically victimized individuals having been exposed to victimization long before their participation in the IMAGEN study, as peer victimization can begin as early as preschool and kindergarten ^{49, 50}. Recent studies have explored peer victimization trajectories in childhood and adolescence, identifying three to five victimization classes ⁵¹⁻⁵⁴, one of which is a chronic/severe group. There is no consensus as to the number and composition of peer victimization trajectories identified in these studies, and the age ranges examined differ not only between the studies but also with our sample. Altogether the findings from these studies suggest that ours does not fully capture the lifetime extent of peer victimization in the chronic group and this could indeed help explain the brain volume differences we see at age 14.

Our results support the finding that putamen volume decreases across adolescence ⁴⁵, the likely result of increased synaptic pruning, decreased glial cell number, or increases in myelination/axon calibre ² – neurobiological processes believed to underlie the neuroimaging-derived changes observed across adolescence. Considering the decrease in putamen volume was more pronounced in the chronically victimized group, it suggests an exaggeration of the aforementioned neurobiological processes in the chronically victimized group. We speculate that the temporal snapshot presented herein does not capture the full neurobiological extent of peer victimization-related changes. If the current study had a subsequent third neuroimaging time point, perhaps it might reveal that indeed putamen and caudate volumes are significantly smaller in the chronically victimized group further into adulthood.

We found that changes in putamen and caudate volumes were related to psychopathology outcomes at age 19, specifically, generalized anxiety, even when controlling for other types of stress and comorbid depressive symptoms. Although not classically considered relevant to anxiety, the importance of structural changes in the putamen and caudate to the development of anxiety most likely lies in their contribution to related behaviours such as reward sensitivity, motivation, conditioning, attention, and emotional processing ⁵⁵. Along with the nucleus accumbens, the putamen and caudate comprise the "striatum". Whilst they appear structurally separate (i.e., divided by the white matter of the internal capsule) there is functional integration between the two regions; interneurons in the striatum have been shown to cross functional pathway boundaries in animal models supporting the notion that these nearby areas have overlapping functions ⁵⁶. It is well established that with the incoming projections from the frontal cortex, the striatum is essential for voluntary motor control and, therefore, behaviour. But considering the striatum is essential for reward processing (i.e., learning) and also receives projections from the amygdala, which itself plays a key role in the emotional processing of incoming information, the striatum is involved in processes that drive complex behaviours disrupted in anxiety. In support of this, functional MRI studies have found that caudate and putamen activation ^{57, 58} and connectivity ⁵⁹⁻⁶¹ differ in individuals with anxiety disorders.

The most salient finding was that peer victimization's effect on psychopathology was due, in part, to decreases in caudate and putamen volume. Many correlational studies of stress-brain-mental health relationships exist but few studies have identified whether stress affects mental health via the brain. One such study found that reduced gray matter volume in the orbitofrontal cortex mediated the relationship between maltreatment and peer problems in childhood ⁶² whilst another found reduced parahippocampal gyrus thickness mediated the relationship between childhood maltreatment and adolescent

antisocial behaviour ⁶³. Our findings add to the nascent literature linking smaller brain structures with stress and mental health but are the first to do so related to peer victimization.

We did not find time-by-peer victimization effects on other plausible brain regions such as the amygdala and hippocampus. One reason might be that stress-sensitivity periods differ across these brain regions ⁶⁴ and theirs preceded the window of victimization captured in this study. And while we acknowledge that the chronically victimized individuals were likely victimized by peers prior to their entry in the study, we did not have the data to investigate this. An additional reason we do not see the same effects is that the impact of peer victimization in these other areas did not manifest as structural changes but perhaps as molecular changes or functional connectivity changes in the relevant circuits ⁶⁴.

Limitations of the present study include the fact that we could not account for the experience of peer victimization or other early life stressors before age 14. Perhaps these individuals had been victimized since childhood and some structural brain changes precede the window of time captured in our study. Some studies have shown sex differences in normal brain development trajectories ^{46, 65, 66} but we did not find a three-way interaction between victimization group, brain volume changes, and sex; we were likely underpowered to detect such an effect. The imbalance in the number of individuals in each of the two victimization groups limited us in terms of statistics that could be carried out but the imbalance is not surprising since victimization prevalence typically decreases from childhood to adulthood. By examining regions previously implicated in maltreatment and/or victimization, rather than taking an unbiased approach, we might have overlooked changes in other brain regions linked to chronic peer victimization. While methodological approaches like a whole-brain analysis could have uncovered

such brain areas we did not undertake them for reasons of statistical power due to the imbalanced group sizes.

Our data are the first to show that chronic peer victimization during adolescence impacts mental health via structural brain changes. Because frequently peer-victimized adolescents are 2-3 times more likely to develop an anxiety disorder ¹², early interventions to limit peer victimization could mitigate the adolescent neurobiological changes underlying the development of psychopathology.

Acknowledgements

This work received support from the following sources: the European Union-funded FP6 Integrated Project IMAGEN (Reinforcement-related behaviour in normal brain function and psychopathology) (LSHM-CT- 2007-037286), the Horizon 2020 funded ERC Advanced Grant 'STRATIFY' (Brain network based stratification of reinforcement-related disorders) (695313), ERANID (Understanding the Interplay between Cultural, Biological and Subjective Factors in Drug Use Pathways) (PR-ST-0416-10004), BRIDGET (JPND: BRain Imaging, cognition Dementia and next generation GEnomics) (MR/N027558/1), the FP7 projects IMAGEMEND(602450; IMAging GEnetics for MENtal Disorders) and MATRICS (603016), the Innovative Medicine Initiative Project EU-AIMS (115300-2), the Medical Research Council Grant 'c-VEDA' (Consortium on Vulnerability to Externalizing Disorders and Addictions) (MR/N000390/1), the Swedish Research Council FORMAS, the Medical Research Council, the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London, the Bundesministeriumfür Bildung und Forschung (BMBF grants 01GS08152; 01EV0711; eMED SysAlc01ZX1311A; Forschungsnetz AERIAL 01EE1406A), the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG grants SM 80/7-1, SM 80/7-2, SFB 940/1). Further support was provided by grants from: ANR (project AF12-NEUR0008-01 - WM2NA, and ANR-12-SAMA-0004), the Fondation de France, the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale, the Mission Interministérielle de Lutte-contre-les-Drogues-et-les-Conduites-Addictives (MILDECA), the Assistance-Publique-Hôpitaux-de-Paris and INSERM (interface grant), Paris Sud University IDEX 2012; the National Institutes of Health, Science Foundation Ireland (16/ERCD/3797), U.S.A. (Axon, Testosterone and Mental Health during Adolescence; RO1 MH085772-01A1), and by NIH Consortium grant U54 EB020403, supported by a cross-NIH alliance that funds Big Data to Knowledge Centres of Excellence.

Conflict of Interest

Dr. Banaschewski has served as an advisor or consultant to Bristol-Myers Squibb,

Desitin Arzneimittel, Eli Lilly, Medice, Novartis, Pfizer, Shire, UCB, and Vifor Pharma; he
has received conference attendance support, conference support, or speaking fees from
Eli Lilly, Janssen McNeil, Medice, Novartis, Shire, and UCB; and he is involved in clinical
trials conducted by Eli Lilly, Novartis, and Shire; the present work is unrelated to these
relationships. Dr Barker has received funding for a PhD student and honoraria for
teaching on scanner programming courses from General Electric Healthcare; he acts as
a consultant for IXICO. Dr Walter received a speaker honorarium from Servier (2014).
The other authors report no biomedical financial interests or potential conflicts of interest.

^{*}Supplementary information is available at MP's website.

References

- 1. Fuhrmann D, Knoll LJ, Blakemore SJ. Adolescence as a Sensitive Period of Brain Development. Trends Cogn Sci 2015; 19(10): 558-566.
- 2. Paus T, Keshavan M, Giedd JN. Why do many psychiatric disorders emerge during adolescence? Nat Rev Neurosci 2008; 9(12): 947-957.
- 3. Juvonen J GS. Peer Harassment in School: The Plight of the Vulnerable and Victimized. Guilford: New York, 2001.
- 4. Hunter SC, Boyle JM, Warden D. Perceptions and correlates of peer-victimization and bullying. Br J Educ Psychol 2007; 77(Pt 4): 797-810.
- 5. Sumter SR, Baumgartner SE, Valkenburg PM, Peter J. Developmental trajectories of peer victimization: off-line and online experiences during adolescence. J Adolesc Health 2012; 50(6): 607-613.
- 6. Pellegrini A, Long J. A longitudinal study of bullying, dominances, and victimization during the transition from primary school through secondary school. Br J Dev Psychol 2002; 20: 259-280.
- 7. Barker ED, Arseneault L, Brendgen M, Fontaine N, Maughan B. Joint development of bullying and victimization in adolescence: relations to delinquency and self-harm. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2008; 47(9): 1030-1038.
- 8. Sourander A, Helstela L, Helenius H, Piha J. Persistence of bullying from childhood to adolescence--a longitudinal 8-year follow-up study. Child Abuse Negl 2000; 24(7): 873-881.
- 9. Takizawa R, Maughan B, Arseneault L. Adult health outcomes of childhood bullying victimization: evidence from a five-decade longitudinal British birth cohort. Am J Psychiatry 2014; 171(7): 777-784.
- 10. Arseneault L, Bowes L, Shakoor S. Bullying victimization in youths and mental health problems: 'much ado about nothing'? Psychol Med 2010; 40(5): 717-729.
- 11. Gladstone GL, Parker GB, Malhi GS. Do bullied children become anxious and depressed adults?: A cross-sectional investigation of the correlates of bullying and anxious depression. J Nerv Ment Dis 2006; 194(3): 201-208.
- 12. Stapinski LA, Bowes L, Wolke D, Pearson RM, Mahedy L, Button KS et al. Peer victimization during adolescence and risk for anxiety disorders in adulthood: a prospective cohort study. Depress Anxiety 2014; 31(7): 574-582.
- 13. Lereya ST, Copeland WE, Costello EJ, Wolke D. Adult mental health consequences of peer bullying and maltreatment in childhood: two cohorts in two countries. Lancet Psychiatry 2015; 2(6): 524-531.
- 14. Evans-Lacko S, Takizawa R, Brimblecombe N, King D, Knapp M, Maughan B et al. Childhood bullying victimization is associated with use of mental health services over five decades: a longitudinal nationally representative cohort study. Psychol Med 2017; 47(1): 127-135.

- 15. Singham T, Viding E, Schoeler T, Arseneault L, Ronald A, Cecil CM et al. Concurrent and Longitudinal Contribution of Exposure to Bullying in Childhood to Mental Health: The Role of Vulnerability and Resilience. JAMA Psychiatry 2017; 74(11): 1112-1119.
- 16. Schaefer J, Moffitt T, Arseneault L, Danese A, Fisher HL, Houts R et al. Adolescent victimization and early-adult psychopathology: approaching causal inference using a longitudinal twin study to rule out noncausal explanations. Clin Psychol Sci 2017.
- 17. van Harmelen AL, van Tol MJ, van der Wee NJ, Veltman DJ, Aleman A, Spinhoven P et al. Reduced medial prefrontal cortex volume in adults reporting childhood emotional maltreatment. Biol Psychiatry 2010; 68(9): 832-838.
- 18. Teicher MH, Anderson CM, Polcari A. Childhood maltreatment is associated with reduced volume in the hippocampal subfields CA3, dentate gyrus, and subiculum. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2012; 109(9): E563-572.
- 19. Lim L, Radua J, Rubia K. Gray matter abnormalities in childhood maltreatment: a voxel-wise meta-analysis. Am J Psychiatry 2014; 171(8): 854-863.
- 20. Dannlowski U, Stuhrmann A, Beutelmann V, Zwanzger P, Lenzen T, Grotegerd D et al. Limbic scars: long-term consequences of childhood maltreatment revealed by functional and structural magnetic resonance imaging. Biol Psychiatry 2012; 71(4): 286-293.
- 21. Cohen RA, Grieve S, Hoth KF, Paul RH, Sweet L, Tate D et al. Early life stress and morphometry of the adult anterior cingulate cortex and caudate nuclei. Biol Psychiatry 2006; 59(10): 975-982.
- 22. Berking M, Wupperman P. Emotion regulation and mental health: recent findings, current challenges, and future directions. Curr Opin Psychiatry 2012; 25(2): 128-134.
- 23. Cardinal RN, Winstanley CA, Robbins TW, Everitt BJ. Limbic corticostriatal systems and delayed reinforcement. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2004; 1021: 33-50.
- 24. Kalivas PW, Volkow ND. The neural basis of addiction: a pathology of motivation and choice. Am J Psychiatry 2005; 162(8): 1403-1413.
- 25. Andersen SL, Teicher MH. Stress, sensitive periods and maturational events in adolescent depression. Trends Neurosci 2008; 31(4): 183-191.
- 26. Gogtay N, Giedd JN, Lusk L, Hayashi KM, Greenstein D, Vaituzis AC et al. Dynamic mapping of human cortical development during childhood through early adulthood. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004; 101(21): 8174-8179.
- 27. Schumann G, Loth E, Banaschewski T, Barbot A, Barker G, Buchel C et al. The IMAGEN study: reinforcement-related behaviour in normal brain function and psychopathology. Mol Psychiatry 2010; 15(12): 1128-1139.
- 28. Olweus D. The revised Olweus Bully/Victim Questionnaire for Students. In: Bergen Uo (ed). Bergen, Norway, 1996.

- 29. Goodman R, Ford T, Richards H, Gatward R, Meltzer H. The Development and Well-Being Assessment: description and initial validation of an integrated assessment of child and adolescent psychopathology. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 2000; 41(5): 645-655.
- 30. Goodman A, Heiervang E, Collishaw S, Goodman R. The 'DAWBA bands' as an ordered-categorical measure of child mental health: description and validation in British and Norwegian samples. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2011; 46(6): 521-532.
- 31. Goodman R. The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire: a research note. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 1997; **38**(5): 581-586.
- 32. Bernstein DP, Ahluvalia T, Pogge D, Handelsman L. Validity of the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire in an adolescent psychiatric population. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 1997; 36(3): 340-348.
- 33. Newcomb MD, Huba GJ, Bentler PM. A multidimensional assessment of stressful life events among adolescents. J Health Soc Behav 1981; 22: 400-415.
- 34. Quinlan EB, Cattrell A, Jia T, Artiges E, Banaschewski T, Barker G et al. Psychosocial Stress and Brain Function in Adolescent Psychopathology. Am J Psychiatry 2017; 174(8): 785-794.
- 35. Edmiston EE, Wang F, Mazure CM, Guiney J, Sinha R, Mayes LC et al. Corticostriatal-limbic gray matter morphology in adolescents with self-reported exposure to childhood maltreatment. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2011; 165(12): 1069-1077.
- 36. Hart H, Rubia K. Neuroimaging of child abuse: a critical review. Front Hum Neurosci 2012; 6: 52.
- 37. Saleh A, Potter GG, McQuoid DR, Boyd B, Turner R, MacFall JR et al. Effects of early life stress on depression, cognitive performance and brain morphology. Psychol Med 2017; 47(1): 171-181.
- 38. Baker LM, Williams LM, Korgaonkar MS, Cohen RA, Heaps JM, Paul RH. Impact of early vs. late childhood early life stress on brain morphometrics. Brain Imaging Behav 2013; 7(2): 196-203.
- 39. Price RB, Kuckertz JM, Siegle GJ, Ladouceur CD, Silk JS, Ryan ND et al. Empirical recommendations for improving the stability of the dot-probe task in clinical research. Psychol Assess 2015; 27(2): 365-376.
- 40. Shete S, Beasley TM, Etzel CJ, Fernandez JR, Chen J, Allison DB et al. Effect of winsorization on power and type 1 error of variance components and related methods of QTL detection. Behav Genet 2004; 34(2): 153-159.
- 41. Petersen AC, Crockett L, Richards M, Boxer A. A self-report measure of pubertal status: Reliability, validity, and initial norms. J Youth Adolesc 1988; 17(2): 117-133.
- 42. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. J Roy Stat Soc B Met 1995; 57(1): 289-300.
- 43. Lenroot RK, Gogtay N, Greenstein DK, Wells EM, Wallace GL, Clasen LS et al. Sexual dimorphism of brain developmental trajectories during childhood and adolescence. Neuroimage 2007; 36(4): 1065-1073.

- 44. Teicher MH, Andersen SL, Hostetter JC, Jr. Evidence for dopamine receptor pruning between adolescence and adulthood in striatum but not nucleus accumbens. Brain Res Dev Brain Res 1995; 89(2): 167-172.
- 45. Sowell ER, Thompson PM, Holmes CJ, Jernigan TL, Toga AW. In vivo evidence for post-adolescent brain maturation in frontal and striatal regions. Nat Neurosci 1999; 2(10): 859-861.
- 46. Lenroot RK, Giedd JN. Brain development in children and adolescents: insights from anatomical magnetic resonance imaging. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 2006; 30(6): 718-729.
- 47. Liao M, Yang F, Zhang Y, He Z, Song M, Jiang T et al. Childhood maltreatment is associated with larger left thalamic gray matter volume in adolescents with generalized anxiety disorder. PLoS One 2013; 8(8): e71898.
- 48. De Brito SA, Viding E, Sebastian CL, Kelly PA, Mechelli A, Maris H et al. Reduced orbitofrontal and temporal grey matter in a community sample of maltreated children. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 2013; 54(1): 105-112.
- 49. Barker ED, Boivin M, Brendgen M, Fontaine N, Arseneault L, Vitaro F et al. Predictive validity and early predictors of peer-victimization trajectories in preschool. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2008; 65(10): 1185-1192.
- 50. Crick NR, Casas JF, Ku HC. Relational and physical forms of peer victimization in preschool. Dev Psychol 1999; 35(2): 376-385.
- 51. Sheppard CS, Giletta M, Prinstein MJ. Peer Victimization Trajectories at the Adolescent Transition: Associations Among Chronic Victimization, Peer-Reported Status, and Adjustment. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol 2016: 1-10.
- 52. Geoffroy MC, Boivin M, Arseneault L, Renaud J, Perret LC, Turecki G et al. Childhood trajectories of peer victimization and prediction of mental health outcomes in midadolescence: a longitudinal population-based study. CMAJ 2018; 190(2): E37-E43.
- 53. Ladd GW, Etteka I, Kochenderfer-Ladd B. Peer victimization trajectories from kindergarten through high school: Differential pathways for children's school engagement and achievement? J Educ Psychol 2017; 109(6): 826-841.
- 54. Rosen LH, Beron KJ, Underwood MK. Social Victimization Trajectories From Middle Childhood Through Late Adolescence. Soc Dev 2017; 26(2): 227-247.
- 55. Lago T, Davis A, Grillon C, Ernst M. Striatum on the anxiety map: Small detours into adolescence. Brain Res 2017; 1654(Pt B): 177-184.
- 56. Haber SN. Corticostriatal circuitry. Dialogues Clin Neurosci 2016; 18(1): 7-21.
- 57. Perez-Edgar K, Hardee JE, Guyer AE, Benson BE, Nelson EE, Gorodetsky E et al. DRD4 and striatal modulation of the link between childhood behavioral inhibition and adolescent anxiety. Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci 2014; 9(4): 445-453.

- 58. Guyer AE, Choate VR, Detloff A, Benson B, Nelson EE, Perez-Edgar K et al. Striatal functional alteration during incentive anticipation in pediatric anxiety disorders. Am J Psychiatry 2012; 169(2): 205-212.
- 59. Wang X, Li J, Yuan Y, Wang M, Ding J, Zhang J et al. Altered putamen functional connectivity is associated with anxiety disorder in Parkinson's disease. Oncotarget 2017; 8(46): 81377-81386.
- 60. Liu WJ, Yin DZ, Cheng WH, Fan MX, You MN, Men WW et al. Abnormal functional connectivity of the amygdala-based network in resting-state FMRI in adolescents with generalized anxiety disorder. Med Sci Monit 2015; 21: 459-467.
- 61. Qiao J, Li A, Cao C, Wang Z, Sun J, Xu G. Aberrant Functional Network Connectivity as a Biomarker of Generalized Anxiety Disorder. Front Hum Neurosci 2017; 11: 626.
- 62. Kelly PA, Viding E, Puetz VB, Palmer AL, Mechelli A, Pingault JB et al. Sex differences in socioemotional functioning, attentional bias, and gray matter volume in maltreated children: A multilevel investigation. Dev Psychopathol 2015; 27(4 Pt 2): 1591-1609.
- 63. Busso DS, McLaughlin KA, Brueck S, Peverill M, Gold AL, Sheridan MA. Child Abuse, Neural Structure, and Adolescent Psychopathology: A Longitudinal Study. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2017; 56(4): 321-328 e321.
- 64. Teicher MH, Samson JA, Anderson CM, Ohashi K. The effects of childhood maltreatment on brain structure, function and connectivity. Nat Rev Neurosci 2016; 17(10): 652-666.
- 65. Brain Development Cooperative G. Total and regional brain volumes in a population-based normative sample from 4 to 18 years: the NIH MRI Study of Normal Brain Development. Cereb Cortex 2012; 22(1): 1-12.
- 66. Herting MM, Sowell ER. Puberty and structural brain development in humans. Front Neuroendocrinol 2017; 44: 122-137.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Peer victimization scores across adolescence in the two victimization classes (bars represent standard error).

Figure 2. Repeated measures ANOVA interaction plot (F=3.95, p=0.047) of peer victimization class (between-subjects variable) and left putamen volume at ages 14 and 19 (within-subjects variable). Error bars represent 95% CI.

Figure 3. Diagram of path model of indirect effects. The 'c' path indicates the direct effect of peer victimization on generalized anxiety. The 'a' path indicates the relationship between peer victimization and the mediating variable, change in brain volume, whereas the 'b' path indicates that the change in brain volume is correlated with the outcome, generalized anxiety. The 'c" path indicates that peer victimization is indirectly associated with generalized anxiety via changes in putamen volume (ab). Standardized path coefficients are presented for the putamen (A) and caudate (exploratory; B) models.

Tables

<u>Table 1</u>. Repeated measures ANOVA model statistics with covariates of sex, site, SES, pubertal status and change in intracranial volume.

Region		effect: ization	Main effec		Intera	ection
	F	р	F	р	F	р
Left caudate	0.22	0.637	47.84	p<0.001	3.36	0.067
Left putamen	2.03	0.155	53.79	p<0.001	4.38	0.037*

^{*}p<0.05

<u>Table 2.</u> Indirect effects analysis of peer victimization on psychopathology symptoms via changes in brain volumes

	Brain region	Estimate	Bias-corrected bootstrapping, 95% CI	
Peer victimization	Change in left caudate volume	0.036	0.002 to 0.099	
Peer victimization	Change in left putamen volume	0.043	0.004 to 0.109	
Indirect effect on ge	neralized anxiety co	ontrolling for CTC	Q and LEQ	
Peer victimization	Change in left caudate volume	0.040	0.004 to 0.109	
Peer victimization	Change in left putamen volume	0.044	0.007 to 0.116	
Indirect effect on ge	neralized anxiety co	ontrolling for dep	ressive symptoms	
a oo: on ge				
Peer victimization	Change in left caudate volume	0.042	0.004 to 0.119	