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#### Abstract

Many dementias are propagated through the spread of "prion-like" misfolded proteins. This includes prion diseases themselves (such as Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease) and Alzheimer's disease (AD), for which no treatments are available to slow or stop progression. The $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ acetylcholine muscarinic receptor ( $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ receptor) is abundant in the brain and its activity promotes cognitive function in preclinical models and in patients with AD. Here, we investigated whether activation of the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ receptor might slow the progression of neurodegeneration associated with prion-like misfolded protein in a mouse model of prion disease. Proteomic and transcriptomic analysis of the hippocampus revealed that this model had a molecular profile that was similar to that of human neurodegenerative diseases, including AD. Chronic enhancement of the activity of the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ receptor with the positive allosteric modulator (PAM) VU0486846 reduced the abundance of prion-induced molecular markers of neuroinflammation and mitochondrial dysregulation in the hippocampus and normalized the abundance of those associated with neurotransmission, including synaptic and postsynaptic signaling components. PAM treatment of prion-infected mice prolonged survival and maintained cognitive function. Thus, allosteric activation of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ receptors may reduce the severity of neurodegenerative diseases caused by the prion-like propagation of misfolded protein.


[^0]
## INTRODUCTION

Many neurodegenerative diseases are associated with the abnormal aggregation and deposition of specific cellular proteins (1, 2). In prion diseases, which include Kuru and Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease in humans, scrapie in sheep, bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) in cows, and murine prion disease in mice, normal cellular prion protein ( PrPc ) misfolds (PrPsc) and forms filamentous aggregates. The seeding of aggregated PrPsc acts to nucleate the assembly of larger filamentous aggregates through a process of self-propagation wherein normal $\operatorname{PrPc}$ is recruited to aggregates, causing further misfolding and propagation of PrPsc filaments (3). In this way, PrPsc- spreads in a manner akin to an infection (4). This process appears to be a common feature of most neurodegenerative diseases where specific cellular proteins-huntingtin protein in Huntington's disease (HD), $\alpha$-synuclein in Parkinson's disease (PD), and $\beta$-amyloid (A $\beta$ ) and hyperphosphorylated tau in Alzheimer's disease (AD)—misfold, nucleate, and spread in an infectious manner that is described as "prion-like" (2, 4, 5). Despite an appreciation of this process and considerable effort by both academia and industry, attempts to halt or slow this process-particularly in AD—have been unsuccessful (6-8).

Although not able to change the course of disease, the current frontline treatment for AD targets symptomatic memory impairment associated with the loss of acetylcholine-cholinergic neurons that originate from the basal forebrain nuclei and innervate limbic and neocortical structures (9, 10). By the pharmacological inhibition of cholinesterases (the enzymes responsible for acetylcholine catabolism), acetylcholine levels in AD patients are increased, thereby counteracting the deficit in cholinergic transmission (11). Whereas this approach has some limited clinical benefit in the symptomatic treatment of memory loss in early stages of disease (12-14), there is no consistent evidence that this approach can slow the progression of disease. Despite this, there are emerging reports that activation of post-synaptic acetylcholine receptors of the acetylcholine muscarinic receptor family, which consist of five receptor subtypes ( $\mathrm{M}_{1}-\mathrm{M}_{5}$-receptors), but particularly the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-receptor subtype, can offer neuroprotection in the context of neurodegenerative disease (15, 16). This is particularly exciting given that activation of the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-receptor is widely considered as a promising strategy for the treatment of memory loss in AD due to the high expression of this receptor subtype in the cortex and hippocampus (15, 17) and robust pro-cognitive effects following receptor activation in pre-clinical animal models (15, 18-20). Combined, these studies suggest that selective targeting of the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-receptor might have a dual benefit in AD through restoration of cognitive function, and neuroprotection that would slow disease progression.

The barrier to testing this hypothesis in the clinic is the development of drugs that selectively activate the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-receptor, because the orthosteric acetylcholine binding site is nearly identical

[^1]between the five muscarinic receptor subtypes (21). As such, generation of subtype-selective orthosteric agonists is very challenging (22). An alternative approach that we and others have adopted is to target an allosteric binding pocket on the extracellular surface of the receptor (21). Agents that bind to this site can act by increasing the sensitivity of the receptor to acetylcholine (23). These positive allosteric modulators (PAMs) have the advantage of being highly selective for the $M_{1}$-receptor while maintaining the spatiotemporal profile of cholinergic signaling since they act co-operatively with the natural ligand acetylcholine. These features are considered to be the primary reasons for PAMs showing reduced adverse responses normally associated with prolonged activation by orthosteric $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-receptor agonists (24, 25).

Our initial studies investigated the activity of the prototypical $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-receptor PAM, benzyl quinolone carboxylic acid (BQCA) (20), in murine prion disease. This is a terminal neurodegenerative disease where there is a progressive loss of hippocampal neurons (26), including a disruption of hippocampal cholinergic innervation with associated deficits in learning and memory, which we have shown can be restored by treatment with clinical cholinesterase inhibitors (15). In this model, we found that a single-dose of BQCA prior to training in a fear conditioning protocol restored defective learning and memory in murine prion disease whilst chronic daily treatment for several weeks slowed disease progression (15).

Here, using global proteomic and transcriptomic analysis of a murine model of prion disease, we found that prion accumulation and aggregation induces adaptive responses, including neuroinflammation and up-regulation of protein markers, as well as indicators of synaptic loss and mitochondrial dysfunction and that these profiles were ameliorated and even normalized by the next-generation $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-PAM, VU0486846 (VU846) (27, 28) VU846 also improved the behavioral symptoms and extended the survival of prion-diseased mice. Notably, the molecular profiles of mouse prion disease overlapped with those associated with human neurodegenerative disease, particularly AD, in which the loss of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-receptor signaling may contribute to disease pathology. We conclude that $M_{1}$-PAMs exhibit therapeutic potential for slowing the progression of neurodegenerative disease in mice by regulating adaptive, neuroinflammatory responses that are common features of the molecular pathology of brain diseases caused by the propagation of misfolded protein.

## RESULTS

## $\mathbf{M}_{1}$-PAMs restore learning and memory and prolong survival in murine prion disease

We have previously reported that defective learning and memory associated with a disruption of hippocampal cholinergic innervation in murine prion disease can be restored by orthosteric and allosteric $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-receptor ligands (15). This was observed in Tg 37 mice, an engineered strain

[^2]that overexpresses (by three times) murine prion protein, infected with Rocky Mountain Laboratory (RML) scrapie prion-brain homogenate or control normal brain homogenate (NBH) (26). In this system the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-receptor-selective PAM, BQCA, was shown to be highly tolerated and, following chronic (daily) dosing, prolonged the survival of prion-diseased mice (15). Here, we extended these studies by using a next generation M1-PAM, VU846 (28), which in mouse cortical neuronal cultures showed high co-operativity with acetylcholine in second-messenger, myo-inositol 1 phosphate (IP1) assays (Fig. 1, A and B). In addition, a single administration of VU846 $(10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}) 30$ minutes before fear-conditioning training completely restored defective contextual fear conditioning learning and memory in prion-diseased animals (Fig. 1C).

We next tested if VU846 had the potential to modify the progression of prion disease. In these experiments animals were dosed daily with VU846 ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) from 7 weeks post inoculation (w.p.i.), a time point at which animals showed the first signs of misfolded scrapie prion protein ( $\mathrm{PrP}_{\mathrm{sc}}$ ) (fig. S1, A to C) but no other indicators of disease. Animals treated with vehicle showed reduced performance in burrowing, an innate behavior associated with hippocampal function, whilst burrowing behavior in prion-infected animals treated with VU846 was improved (Fig. 1D). Furthermore, there was a significant delay in the onset of terminal clinical symptoms in animals dosed daily with VU846 (Fig. 1E), with some animals showing markedly extended life spans (Fig. 1E). A slowing in disease progression was further evident in the observed reduction in $\mathrm{PrP}_{\text {sc }}$ accumulation in VU846-treated animals (Fig. 1F).
In summary, these studies established that the M1-PAM, VU846, restored learning and memory in murine prion disease when administered acutely and possessed disease-modifying properties that corrected for behavioral abnormalities and promoted survival upon chronic administration.

## Molecular markers of neurodegeneration and neuroinflammation were upregulated in murine prion disease

We next assessed changes to the proteome caused by prion infection; herein called "prioneffect". This was conducted on hippocampi isolated from Tg37 mice inoculated with RML or, as a control, NBH at 3 weeks of age and then treated with vehicle intraperitoneally (i.p.) from 7 to 10 w.p.i (Fig. 1G). Principal component analysis showed good separation of proteins of the control animals from the prion-infected animals (Fig. 2A). The total number of proteins identified was 6208, of which 4528 met the robust criteria and were quantified (data file S1, sheet 1). Of these, 566 proteins were significantly up-regulated by more than $0.4 \log _{2}$ fold in prion-diseased mice, whilst 10 proteins were significantly down-regulated (Fig. 2B and data file S1, sheet 2). Gene ontology (GO) analysis showed that the proteins upregulated in prion-

[^3]diseased mice fell into enrichment groups associated with processes known to be upregulated in human neurodegenerative diseases (data file S1, sheet 3), including neuronal death, synaptic pruning, neurofibrillary tangle assembly, oxidative stress, and protease activity (Fig. 2C). In addition, molecular markers of neuroinflammation and particularly indicators of astrocyte and microglia activation [such as vimentin, galectin-1, and glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP)] were up-regulated in murine prion disease (Fig. 2, B and C). The activation of neuroinflammatory pathways was also evident by the upregulation of components of the neuronal complement system, such as C1qA, C1qB, and C1qC (Fig 2, B and C). Notably, many of these neuroinflammatory markers are also reportedly upregulated in human neurodegenerative diseases, including $\operatorname{AD}(29,30)$. This included, for example, the upregulation of several members of the apolipoprotein family (Fig. 2B and data file S1, sheet 2), including ApoE. This is consistent with reports from human prion disease where ApoE was also reported to be upregulated (31, 32). Furthermore, key enzymes and transporters, such as transglutaminase 1 (Tgm1) and ABCA1 that have been implicated in the clearance of misfolded protein (33, 34), were upregulated in murine prion disease (Fig. 2B and data file S1, sheet 2).
Further bioinformatic analysis was conducted using Pathway Studio software that quantitatively assesses proteomic (and transcriptomic) changes with functions reported in the literature to assign an "activation score". In this analysis, cell processes such as astrocyte migration, microglial activation and inflammation are seen to have a positive activation score, indicating that these processes are up-regulated in prion disease (Fig 2D and data file S1, sheet 4). Notably, assessment of a "diseases caused" parameter in this software showed that the proteomic changes associated with murine prion disease are positively correlated with disease indicators for neurodegeneration and neuronal dysfunction (Fig 2D and data file S1, sheet 5).

## VU846 normalizes brain processes that are dysregulated in prion disease

We next wanted to assess the impact of VU846 administration on the proteomic changes seen in prion disease. In these experiments, prion-infected mice were treated daily with VU846 ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, i.p.) from 7 to10 weeks after inoculation, at which time the hippocampi were dissected and analyzed by proteomics. In contrast to hippocampi from prion-diseased animals treated with vehicle, wherein $>500$ proteins were up-regulated, those from animals treated with VU846 exhibited only 248 proteins that were significantly up-regulated ( $>0.4 \log _{2}$ fold; $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ) (Fig 3, A and B, and data file S2, sheet 1). These data suggest an alleviation of prion disease in animals treated with VU846, an observation reflected in principal component analysis (PCA), which indicated that there was little variation between the abundance of

[^4]proteins from non-infected, vehicle-treated animals and that in prion-infected animals treated with VU846 (Fig 3A).

Proteins that showed a significantly different abundance in prion-diseased animals (447 proteins; black dots in Fig. 3C) were plotted against the same proteins in prion-diseased animals treated with VU846 (green dots in Fig. 3C and data file S2, sheet 2). This generated what we refer to as a "normalization plot", wherein the closer the green dots were to zero, then the closer VU846 maintained expression of said protein at normal levels (meaning, nondisease levels) (Fig. 3C). The results show that proteins that were up- or down-regulated in prion-diseased mice were subsequently normalized—restored to near-normal levels-by VU846 (Fig. 3C).

## VU846 reduced the expression of molecular markers of neurodegeneration

The normalization plot illustrated that markers of microglial and astrocytic activation (such as GFAP, vimentin, clusterin and galectin-1) as well as components of the complement system (including C1qA, B, and C and the complement receptors C4B and C3) were increased in prion-diseased mice. These same proteins were expressed at lower levels in animals treated with VU846 (Fig. 3C). Furthermore, molecular markers of neurodegeneration, including those shown to be associated with AD such as apolipoproteins ApoE, ApoD and ApoC (34-36), as well as key enzyme markers such as Tgm-1 (37,38), and regulators of proteolysis such as serpinA3N and serpinA3K $(39,40)$, were similarly increased in prion disease and reduced by VU846 (Fig. 3C). GO enrichment analysis indicated that the proteins reduced in expression by VU846 fell into groups associated with disease responses such as neuroinflammatory response, apoptotic and neuronal death pathways, ROS metabolism, and synapse pruning (Fig. 3D and data file S2, sheet 3). Collectively, these molecular data are consistent with the suggestion that VU846 may reduce the severity of prion disease.

## VU846 increased expression of synaptic proteins in prion disease

Synaptic proteins including SNAP-25 and syntaxin 1A/1B as well as signalling proteins including calcium-calmodulin protein kinase 4 (CaMK4) and mitogen-activated protein kinases (such as MAPK8) showed decreased expression in prion disease (Fig. 3C). Remarkably, these proteins are expressed at near-normal levels in prion-diseased animals treated with VU846 (Fig. 3C and data file S2, sheet 4). This suggests that processes identified in the GO analysis to be associated with these proteins-such as synaptic organization, memory, neurotransmitter secretion, and long-term potentiation-are disrupted in prion disease and "normalized" by treatment with VU846 (Fig. 3E and data file S2, sheet 4). The overall impact of VU846-mediated normalization of proteins was assessed using Pathway Studio. This analysis indicated that VU846 decreased (meaning, generated a negative activation score)

[^5]the abundance of markers of inflammation and neurodegeneration (Fig. 3F). Although this remains to be assessed on biological and histopathological levels, these findings further support the conclusion that VU846 may reduce disease severity.

## VU846 modulates the expression of a subset of proteins associated with prion disease

 At this point, we wondered: Of the protein changes seen in prion-diseased mice, how many of these changes are affected by VU846 treatment? To address this question, we first established the impact of VU846 on prion disease by comparing the difference in protein expression between prion infected mice treated with vehicle vs VU846 - we called this comparison the "PAM-effect". In this analysis, 108 proteins are down-regulated by VU846 treatment and 11 are up-regulated (Fig. 4A and data file S2, sheet 5). As a control for these experiments, we assessed changes to the hippocampal proteome in response to VU846 in non-infected animals. Notably, under these conditions there was very little effect of drug, with only 42 proteins exhibiting statistically significant up-regulation and no proteins showing downregulation (Fig. 4B and data file S2, sheet 6).We next established the overlap in the proteins associated with disease ("prion-effect") with those associated with the action of VU846 in disease ("PAM-effect") (Fig. 4, C and D, and data file S2, Sheet 7). This analysis revealed that of the 94 proteins associated with neuroinflammation/AD in prion disease ("prion-effect") using Pathway Studio, about half were affected by VU846 ("PAM-effect") (Fig. 4, E and F). Hence, VU846 appears to mediate a partial correction of the dysregulated protein markers associated with disease (specifically, neuroinflammation and AD) and that this likely contributes to the observation that PAM treatment slows but does not completely halt disease progression.

## Validation of the impact of VU846 in prion disease: Biomarkers of disease modification

 We selected key indicators of VU846 activity emerging from the mass spectrometry analyses to probe further using Western blotting. These consisted of markers of astrocytic and microglial activation (GFAP, vimentin, galectin-1, and clusterin) and indicators of neurodegenerative disease (ApoE and serpinA3N) that each demonstrated significant prioneffects and PAM-effects from the mass spectrometry proteomic analysis (Fig 5A). Consistent with the mass spectrometry data, all the protein markers tested were upregulated in expression in prion-diseased animals and were restored to near-normal levels in priondiseased animals upon treatment with VU846 (Fig 5, B and C). These experiments not only confirmed the mass spectrometry data, but they also established that Western blotting could be used in future studies to probe the disease-modifying properties of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-receptor ligands.
## Different $\mathbf{M}_{1}$-PAMs mediate similar effects in prion disease
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We previously reported that the prototypical $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-PAM, BQCA, restored learning and memory deficits and slowed disease progression in a mouse model of prion disease (15). Therefore, next we tested whether BQCA had similar effects on the proteome of prion-infected mice as that observed here for VU846. This was a relatively small-scale experiment (fig. S2, further detailed in the Methods), wherein 2202 proteins qualified for analysis (fig. S3 and data file $\mathbf{S 2}$, sheet 8 ) and of these 56 were up-regulated by more than $0.4 \log _{2}$ fold and 15 downregulated in prion disease (data file S2, sheets 9 and 10). Notably, the effect of prion disease was significantly dampened by chronic daily treatment of BQCA from 7 w.p.i (fig. S3A and data file S2, sheet 11). The proteins regulated by BQCA fell into the same classes of proteins that were regulated by VU846; for example, those involved in neuroinflammation (such as GFAP, vimentin, galectin 1, and clusterin) and markers of neurodegeneration, including ApoE, ApoO, S100 proteins, and Prdx6 (fig. S3B and data file S2, sheet 11). Thus, two chemically distinct $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-PAMs (BQCA and VU846) similarly impacted neuroinflammatory and diseaseadaption processes (data file S2, sheet 12).

## Transcriptomic studies support prion disease modifying effects of VU846

To complement the proteomic studies, we conducted a global transcriptomic analysis of hippocampi derived from animals treated daily with vehicle or VU846 from 7-11 w.p.i. (fig. S4A). In these experiments prion disease resulted in $>1,800$ gene transcripts to be downregulated and $>2,200$ genes to be up-regulated by more than $0.4 \log _{2}$ fold (Fig. 6A and data file S3, sheets 1 to 3). Treatment with VU846 substantially dampened the transcriptional changes associated with disease (Fig. 6B and data file S3, sheet 4). Thus, in animals treated with VU846 only 168 genes were down-regulated and 888 up-regulated (Fig. 6B). Importantly, VU846 had little impact on the transcriptome in non-diseased controls (fig. S5 and data file

## S3, sheet 5).

In analysis similar to that conducted in the proteomic study, we constructed a "normalization plot" to assess the impact of VU846 on transcription in the context of prion disease (Fig. 6C and data file S3, sheet 6). GO analyses of these data established that markers of neuroinflammation as well as genes associated with neuronal death and apoptosis that were up-regulated in prion disease were significantly reduced by VU846 (Fig. 6D and data file S3, sheet 7). Conversely, the expression of genes that were down-regulated in prion disease was significantly increased by VU846 (Fig 6C, right). These genes were enriched in brain processes that are known to be disrupted in neurodegenerative disease, including synaptic plasticity, learning and memory, cognition, and synaptic transmission (Fig. 6E and data file S3, sheet 8). Overall, these transcriptional data are consistent with the proteomic studies in establishing that treatment with VU846 corrects, or normalizes, dysregulated brain processes associated with prion disease.
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## VU846 modifies the expression of a subset of genes associated with prion disease

As described above for the proteomic analysis, we next assessed whether VU846 impacted a specific set of disease-associated genes. We did this by comparing all the changes associated with disease (meaning, the prion-effect) with the changes mediated by VU846 in the context of disease (meaning, the PAM-effect). In this analysis, 817 genes were transcriptionally up-regulated in the prion-effect and subsequently down-regulated in the PAMeffect (Fig. 7A, lower right, and data file S3, sheet 9). Among these were genes associated with the complement system and microglia and astrocyte activation and included genes that were similarly regulated in the proteomic analysis described above (green text in Fig. 7B). There was a similar overlap between the proteomic and transcriptomic datasets in molecular markers of neurodegeneration, proteolysis, and lipid mediators (Fig. 7B). Notably, not all transcriptional changes were linked with corresponding proteomic changes (data file S4, sheets 1 to 4), suggesting that the impact of VU846 on protein levels may, in some instances, lie beyond transcription and might by due to regulation of translation or protein degradation. The overall outcome of these analyses was that only a proportion of the genes that were changed in prion-disease mice were subsequently affected by VU846 (Fig. 7, C and D). This is illustrated by Pathway Studio analysis of the genes classified as associated with neuroinflammation and/or AD, where only $48 \%$ of the genes that showed changes with prion disease were affected by VU846 treatment (Fig. 7, C and D).

## DISCUSSION

All attempts to identify a therapy that can substantially delay the progression of neurodegenerative disease, including AD, have thus far failed in preclinical development or clinical trials (6-8). The emergence of an array of $A D$ risk factors from genome-wide association studies $(11,41,42)$ has provided a number of potential targets that are distinct from the extensively tested $\beta$ - and $\gamma$-secretase inhibitors and antibodies that target $\mathrm{A} \beta(6-8)$. However, the paucity of knowledge in terms of how these proteins operate in the context of neurodegenerative disease, and the intractability of many of these as pharmacological targets, has limited pre-clinical validation and subsequent drug discovery efforts (43, 44). Here, we describe how targeting the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-receptor with PAMs that amplify the spatial and temporal patterns of physiological stimulation of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-receptors by acetylcholine can reduce the molecular markers of neuroinflammation and adaptive processes associated with prion-mediated neurodegeneration. Our proteomic and transcriptomic data further indicate that such $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ receptor activation may be critical for maintaining synaptic function and mitochondrial/redox homeostasis. Thus, our study provides support for the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-receptor as an attractive therapeutic

[^6]target to potentially reduce neurodegenerative disease severity and maintain synaptic function, thereby increasing lifespan and maintaining neurological function.

The muscarinic receptor family members were among the first GPCRs to be cloned and characterized and, as such, are considered by many as prototypical (45). The ensuing decades of research have resulted in a rich understanding of the signalling, pharmacology, and physiological roles of this receptor family $(46,47)$. The $M_{1}$-receptor in particular, with its high expression in memory centres and pro-cognitive properties, has been proposed as a target for treating memory loss in AD that would avoid the dose-limiting, adverse responses associated with current clinically approved cholinesterase inhibitors (24, 25). The challenge has been to develop $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-receptor-selective drugs, because the orthosteric acetylcholine binding site is highly conserved across the five muscarinic receptor subtypes (21). As such, orthosteric ligands (such as xanomeline) have failed clinically as AD therapeutics primarily due to cholinergic adverse responses mediated by peripheral $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ - and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$-receptors (22). An alternative strategy has been to target the allosteric pocket through ligands that act in a receptor subtype-selective manner to enhance receptor activity cooperatively with the natural ligand acetylcholine (25). The prospect that these PAMs might offer an approach to treat memory loss in AD through the restoration of cholinergic transmission has led to an expansion of PAM-chemotypes displaying various pharmacological profiles (19, 48, 49). This has allowed interrogation of the preclinical pharmacology of PAMs with distinct levels of intrinsic efficacy, biased agonism, and levels of cooperativity with the physiological agonist acetylcholine. These studies led to the conclusion that $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-receptor PAMs that display moderate to high levels of cooperativity with acetylcholine whilst having low intrinsic efficacy and no ligand bias would provide pro-cognitive effects with little associated cholinergic adverse responses (19, 50). VU846 shows many of these favoured characteristics and, together with good brain penetration and favorable drug metabolism and pharmacokinetics properties (28), makes for an excellent proof-of-concept $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-receptor selective PAM to broadly assess the pre-clinical benefits of this class of pharmacological agent.

Murine prion disease is associated with a disruption in hippocampal cholinergic innervation that results in defective learning and memory that can be restored with clinically approved cholinesterase inhibitors and the orthosteric muscarinic agonist xanomeline (15). We reasoned, therefore, that murine prion disease was a good model to investigate therapeutic approaches to restore defective cholinergic transmission and subsequently demonstrated that the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-PAM, BQCA, could similarly restore defective learning and memory in this mouse model (15). What was not clear from these previous studies was whether prion disease exhibited further molecular profiles that overlapped with human neurodegenerative disease, including AD, that would allow for a more extended application of this model in preclinical assessment. Using global proteomic and transcriptomic analyses, we established here that

[^7]the molecular profile of murine prion disease that includes neuroinflammation, markers of mitochondrial dysfunction and increased oxidative stress, do show substantial overlap with AD and other forms of human neurodegenerative disease (51-55). In this way, our study indicates that the disease-adaptive changes potentially associated with compensatory mechanisms in human neurodegenerative disease are also operating in murine prion disease. Our study therefore supports the notion that neurodegenerative diseases that are the result of prion-like spreading and propagation of misfolded protein share many common adaptive and molecular features $(2,56)$.

Given this commonality between murine prion disease and human neurodegenerative disease, it is particularly noteworthy that chronic treatment with the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-PAM VU846 resulted in a significant reduction in the molecular markers of neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration in a manner that correlated with a prolonged survival and maintenance of normal mouse behavior. Importantly, dosing of VU846 commenced at a disease stage where molecular markers of disease (such as accumulation of misfolded PrPsc) were already evident, indicating that VU846 was effective after disease had been established. Nonetheless, not all markers were restored to near-normal levels by VU846 treatment, raising the possibility that selective pathways of neuroinflammation and neuronal adaptation and survival may be directly regulated by $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-receptor activity.

Finally, a striking feature of VU846 activity was that there was little effect of the compound in normal, non-diseased animals. Hence, it is in a disease context where VU846 has the most profound impact on the proteome and transcriptome. This correlates well with previous reports where $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-PAMs reportedly had little behavioral effect in control animals, and it was only when there is a disruption in cholinergic transmission mediated by pharmacological intervention or by neurological disease that $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-PAMs were seen to have an impact ( $15,28,57$ ).

In conclusion, our study provides mechanistic insight into the observed slowing of disease progression and maintenance of normal behavior mediated by the administration of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-PAMs, specifically those (such as VU846) that display low intrinsic activity but high cooperativity with the natural ligand. The findings support the proposal that $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-PAMs might not only be an effective therapeutic strategy to treat memory loss in neurodegenerative diseases, such as AD, but might also be neuroprotective.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Animal maintenance

The mice were fed ad libitum with a standard mouse chow. The MloxP Tg37 transgenic mice that overexpresses mouse cellular prion protein $\left(\operatorname{PrP}^{\mathrm{C}}\right)$ as described in previous studies (15, 58) were provided by Professor Giovanna Mallucci (University of Cambridge Dementia

Research Institute). All animal work and care were carried out under a project license according to United Kingdom Home Office Regulations.

## Inoculation of Tg37 mice with prion

Tg37 hemizygous mice at 3 to 4 weeks were inoculated by intracerebral injection into the right parietal lobe with approximately $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $1 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ brain homogenate of Rocky Mountain Laboratory (RML) scrapie prion as described previously (14, 60). Control Tg37 mice were inoculated in similar manner with approximately $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of $1 \%$ normal brain homogenate (NBH).

## Survival studies

Male and female Tg37 mice were inoculated with RML or NBH as described above. The mice were given intra-peritoneal (i.p.) injection of vehicle ( $20 \%$ tween-80), BQCA ( $15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) or VU0486846 (VU846, $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) daily from 7 weeks post-inoculation (w.p.i.). Video recordings of the mice were taken every three days from 7 w.p.i. The mice were examined daily for early indicators and confirmatory signs of scrapie prion disease, and animals were culled when they developed clinical signs of scrapie. Early indicators include clasping of hind legs when mice are lifted by the tail, un-sustained hunched posture, rigid tail, mild loss of coordination, piloerection, and being subdued. Confirmatory signs include sustained hunched posture, ataxia, dragging of limbs, significantly abnormal breathing, and impaired writhing reflex. The presence of two early indicator signs plus one confirmatory sign, or two confirmatory signs alone was indication of clinical disease.

## Fear conditioning learning and memory test

The fear conditioning experiments were conducted on male mice at 9 w.p.i. with RML or NBH, prior to the appearance of clinical symptoms. Mice were acclimatized to the behavioral room overnight prior to day of the test. M1 PAM VU846 ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) or vehicle were administered via i.p. injection on the day of the behavioural test, 30 minutes prior the training. For fear conditioning, mice were placed in the conditioning chamber (Stoelting ANY-maze Fear Conditioning System, Dublin) and allowed to adapt to the chamber for 2 minutes. The mice received 3 tone/foot shock pairings, where the foot shock (unconditioned stimulus (US); 2 seconds; 0.4 mA ) always co-terminated with a tone (conditioned stimulus (CS); $2.8 \mathrm{kH} ; 85 \mathrm{~dB}$; 30 seconds). The CS-US pairings were separated by 1-min intervals. After completion of the training, the mice remained in the conditioning chamber for 1 minute and were then returned to their home cages. The next day, the mice were placed back in the conditioning chamber, and time spent immobile was recorded for 3 minutes to assess context-dependent learning. The data were analysed using ANY-maze software (Stoelting, Dublin).

[^8]
## Burrowing

Assessment of burrowing activity was conducted on female mice from 7 w.p.i. to 9 w.p.i. A day prior to the burrowing test, mice were placed into individual burrowing cages containing an empty burrowing tube for a 2 -hour period to acclimatize. The burrowing tube is a clear, acrylic tubing with one end sealed with transparent plastic. On the test day, mice received vehicle ( $20 \%$ tween -80 ) or VU846 ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) via i.p. injection 30 minutes prior to the burrowing test. The mice were placed into individual burrowing cages containing a burrowing tube filled with 140 g of food pellets for 2 hours. The amount of food pellets remaining after the 2 hours was weighed and the burrowing activity was calculated by subtracting the weight of food pellets remaining from the starting weight and expressing the proportion of food pellets that had been displaced as a percentage. The mice were returned to their home cages, and the experiment was repeated on a weekly basis.

## Cortical neuronal primary cultures

Cortical neurons were isolated from 16-day old embryos of C57BL/6 mice. Dissected brains were immediately placed in ice cold dissection buffer (DMEM) and the cerebral cortices were isolated under a dissecting microscope. Cortex tissues were then mechanically triturated, and cells were resuspended in HBSS followed by centrifugation at $500 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 5 min . The pellets were resuspended in warm neurobasal media supplemented with B-27, L-glutamine and 1\% penicillin/streptomycin. The primary cells were plated at a density of 60,000 cells/well in a $96-$ well microplate that had been pre-coated with $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of poly-D-lysine and maintained at 37 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ humidified atmosphere. In-vitro assays were performed one week later.

## IP1 accumulation assay

Cultured mouse embryonic cortical neuronal cells were washed and incubated in $80 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 1 X stimulation buffer ( 10 mM HEPES, $1 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{CaCl} 2,0.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 4.2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 146 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$, 5.5 mM glucose, $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{LiCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4$ ) for 1 hour at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ prior drug to treatments. $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 10 X concentrated M1-PAM (BQCA or VU846) was added to respective wells in the microplate, followed by $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of 10 X concentrated ACh and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for one hour. The stimulation buffer was removed, and cell lysis buffer (IP-One assay kit, CisBio) was added (40 $\mu /$ well) and incubated for 10 min with shaking at 600 rpm . The cells suspensions ( $7 \mu / \mathrm{well}$ ) were added to 384 -well white ProxiPlates and centrifuged briefly. IP1-d2 conjugate and the anti-IP1 cryptate Tb conjugate (IP-One $\mathrm{Tb}^{\text {™ }}$ assay kit, CisBio) were diluted 1:40 in lysis buffer and $3 \mu$ l of each was added to each well. The plate was incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour and fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) between d2-conjugated IP1 (emission at 665

[^9]nm ) and Lumi4-Tb cryptate conjugated anti-IP1 antibody (emission at 620 nm ) was detected using an Envision plate reader (PerkinElmer). Results were calculated from the 665/620 nm ratio and normalized to the maximum response stimulated by acetylcholine.

## Hippocampal lysate preparation and western blot analysis

Fresh-frozen hippocampi from RML- and NBH-inoculated mice were transferred into microcentrifuge tubes containing $300 \mu$ l of RIPA buffer [ 50 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, $1 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ triton X-100, $0.1 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ 2-mercaptoethanol, pH 7.5 ] and sonicated three times for 15 seconds each at 3 to $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ amplitude. The lysate was incubated at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours with end-to-end rotation and then centrifuged at $15000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The supernatant was transferred into new tubes and protein concentration determined using the BCA protein assay, kit according to manufacturer's instruction (ThermoFisher). $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of protein was added to equal volume of 2 X Laemmli sample buffer and heated at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min and then separated by electrophoresis on a $12 \%$ SDS-tris-glycine polyacrylamide gel. The proteins were transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane, blocked in $5 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ fat-free milk, and then immunoblotted with respective primary antibodies (table S1) overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After washes and incubation with LI-COR IRDye secondary antibody (LI-COR, Cambridge-UK), the proteins were visualized and quantified using the Empiria Studio software (Li-COR). The intensity of the proteins was normalized to the intensity of $\alpha$-tubulin.

## Proteinase K digestion

For proteinase $K(P K)$ digestion analysis, equal volumes of $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ of proteinase K and 40 $\mu \mathrm{g}$ of protein lysate were mixed and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . The digestion reaction was stopped by adding Laemmli sample buffer and heating at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes. The proteins were separated by electrophoresis on a $12 \%$ SDS-tris-glycine polyacrylamide gel, and subsequently transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane and then blocked in $5 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ fatfree milk. The membrane was immunoblotted with primary antibody to prion protein (Abcam, ab61409) overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by washes and incubation with LI-COR IRDye secondary antibody (LI-COR, Cambridge, UK), and the proteins were visualized and quantified using the Empiria Studio software (Li-COR). The intensity of the proteins was normalized to that of $\alpha$ tubulin.

## Prion cohorts for proteomics and transcriptomics analysis

For the BQCA proteomics cohort, male and female mice (NBH and RML) were treated (i.p.) with vehicle ( $5 \%$ glucose) or BQCA ( $15 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) daily from 7 weeks after inoculation (w.p.i.) for two weeks. Animals were culled and hippocampus dissected.

[^10]For the VU846 proteomics and transcriptomics cohort, the NBH and RML mice (male and female) were treated i.p. with vehicle ( $20 \%$ tween-80) or VU846 ( $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) daily from 7 w.p.i. to 11 w.p.i. Animals were culled, and the hippocampus was dissected from each. The hippocampus from one brain hemisphere was processed for mass spectrometry-based proteomics, and the other half for transcriptomics analysis.

## Hippocampal preparation for TMT LC-MS/MS

The mice were killed by cervical displacement and the brain was removed from the skull and dissected immediately. The hippocampi and cortices were flash-frozen on dry ice. The frozen hippocampi (from one hemisphere of the brain) were transferred into microcentrifuge tubes containing SDS lysis buffer ( 50 mM TEAB, $10 \%$ SDS, pH 7.55) supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors and homogenized using a motorized pellet pestle for ${ }^{\circ}$ seconds. $20 \%$ CHAPS ( $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ ) and $10 \%$ NP-40 ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) were added to final concentrations of $2 \%$ and $1 \%$ respectively and the lysate was sonicated three times for 15 seconds each at 3 to $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ amplitude and then centrifuged at $15000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 10 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The supernatant was transferred into new microcentrifuge tubes and the protein concentration was determined using the BCA protein assay kit, according to manufacturer's instruction (Thermo Fisher). The lysates were normalized to the same protein concentrations $(0.8 \mathrm{mg})$ with SDS lysis buffer to a final volume $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. The proteins were reduced using 20 mM DTT at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour followed by alkylation in the dark for 30 min with 100 mM iodoacetamide. The samples were acidified with $12 \%$ phosphoric acid to a final concentration of $1.2 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ and then digested overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with sequence grade trypsin at a trypsin-to-protein ratio of 1:20 (w/w) using the ProtiFi S-trap midi digestion columns (ProtiFi, Huntington). Eluted peptides were dried in a vacuum concentrator, resuspended in $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid and desalted using Pierce peptide desalting columns (Thermo Fisher). The eluted peptides were dried, resuspended in 50 mM HEPES buffer ( pH 8.5 ) and labelled with TMTSixplex (Thermo Fisher) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hours with orbital shaking at 500 rpm . The TMT to peptide ratio was 2.5:1. The labelling reaction was quenched with $5 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ hydroxylamine at final concentration of $0.4 \%$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes with orbital shaking at 500 rpm . The peptides were dried, resuspended in $0.1 \%$ TFA and separated into ten fractions using the Pierce high-pH reverse-phase fractionation columns (Thermo Fisher). The eluted fractions were dried and resuspended in $0.1 \%$ formic acid for LC-MS/MS analysis.
For the VU846 cohort, hippocampi from mice in each of the four experimental groups (control + vehicle, control + VU846, prion + vehicle, and prion + VU846) were processed, labelled with respective TMT, combined, and analysed by LC-MS/MS to give one experimental run. This process was repeated with the other three mice in each experimental group to give four

[^11]independent runs and datasets. For the BQCA cohort, hippocampi from three mice in each of the experimental groups were pooled together, processed, and labelled with respective TMT, combined, and analyzed by LC-MS/MS.

## TMT LC-MS/MS and data processing

Samples were analyzed by using an LTQ-Orbitrap-Velos mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific), equipped with an ultra-high-pressure liquid-chromatography system (RSLCnano). The samples were loaded at high-flow rate onto a reverse-phase trap column ( 0.3 mm i.d. x 1 mm ), containing 5 mm C18 300Å Acclaim PepMap medium (Dionex) maintained at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The loading buffer was $0.1 \%$ formic acid/0.05\% TFA/2\% ACN. The peptides were eluted from the reverse-phase trap column at a flow rate of $0.3 \mu \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$ and passed through a reversephase PicoFrit capillary column ( $75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ i.d. x 400 mm ) containing Symmetry C18 100 medium (Waters) that was packed in-house using a high-pressure device (Proxeon Biosystems). Peptides were eluted over a period of 4 hours, with the output of the column sprayed directly into the nanospray ion source of the LTQ-Orbitrap-Velos mass spectrometer. The LTQ-Orbitrap-Velos mass spectrometer was set to acquire a 1 microscan Fourier transform mass spectrometer (FTMS) scan event at 60,000 resolutions over the $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ range of 300-2,000 Da in positive ion mode. The maximum injection time for MS was 500 ms and the AGC target setting was $1 e^{6}$. Accurate calibration of the FTMS scan was achieved using a background ion lock mass for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{14} \mathrm{~S}_{3}(401.922718 \mathrm{Da})$. Subsequently, up to ten datadependent higher-energy collision dissociation (HCD) MS/MS were triggered from the FTMS scan. The isolation width was 2.0 Da, with normalized collision energy of 42.5. Dynamic exclusion was enabled. The maximum injection time for MS/MS was 250 ms and the AGC target setting was $5 e^{4}$.
The raw data file obtained from each LC-MS/MS acquisition was processed using Proteome Discoverer (version 2.5.0.400, Thermo Fisher Scientific), searching each file in turn using Mascot (version 2.7.07, Matrix Science Ltd.) against the UniProtKB-Swissprot database. The peptide tolerance was set to 10 p.p.m. and the MS/MS tolerance was set to 0.02 Da . A decoy database search was performed. The output from Proteome Discoverer was further processed using Scaffold Q+S (version 4.11.0, Proteome Software). Upon import, the data were searched using X!Tandem (The Global Proteome Machine Organization). PeptideProphet and ProteinProphet (Institute for Systems Biology) probability thresholds of $95 \%$ were calculated from the decoy searches and Scaffold was used to calculate an improved $95 \%$ peptide and protein probability threshold based on the data from the two different search algorithms.

## Analysis of proteomics data.

[^12]The data was uploaded in Microsoft Excel (version 2016), Perseus (version 1.6.12.0) and Scaffold (version 4.11.0) analytical suites for downstream analysis. For ease of data handling, all data entries were transformed into $\log _{2}$ scale and normalised. For a protein to be included in the analysis, the peptides corresponding to the protein must be present in at least three of the four independent datasets. Contaminants, reverse hits, and proteins 'only identified by site' were excluded from the analysis.
Statistical analyses were performed using 2-tailed Student's t test, 1-way ANOVA, or 2-way ANOVA. Significance was defined as $P<0.05$. All statistical tests were performed using GraphPad Prism software. Graphs were plotted using Perseus, Microsoft Excel, and GraphPad Prism software.

## Hippocampal preparation for transcriptomics.

Three mice from each of four experimental groups (NBH-vehicle, NBH-VU846, RML-vehicle and RML-VU846) were processed for transcriptomics analysis. RNA from each group was extracted using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen, Manchester), following the manufacturer's instructions. The tissue was homogenized in the RNA kit buffer by sonicating three times for 15 seconds each at $3-5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ amplitude and then centrifuged at $10,000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 3 minutes at 4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The homogenized sample was transferred into the purification columns for RNA purification. RNA was eluted with ultrapure water and concentration and purity measured with NanoDrop-1000 Spectrophotometer (Fisher Scientific).

## mRNA library construction and data analysis.

RNA samples were processed at the Glasgow Polyomics Research Facility. Each sample was subjected to mRNA poly-A enrichment before libraries were generated with TruSeq Stranded mRNA sample preparation kit (Illumina). The libraries were sequenced paired ended (2x75bp) on the NextSeq500 instrument (Illumina) to an average of at least 33 million reads. Raw counts were then converted into FastQC format.
The Galaxy bioinformatics data analysis platform (Version 0.72) was used to process raw data-FastQC files. The data was analysed to remove both the TruSeq3 adaptors used for sequencing and the bad quality RNA sequences using the Trimmomatic flexible read trimming tool for Illumina NGS data (Galaxy version 0.36.5). Transcripts showing 8 hit-read matching any adaptor were trimmed off and discarded, and the sliding window trimming function was applied to eliminate bad quality RNA sequence with a cut-off of 25 Phred. The remaining sequences were mapped to the "mouse-mm10" genome using HISAT2, a fast and sensitive alignment program (Galaxy Version 2.1.0) and processed with the StringTie function to assemble and quantify the sequences associated for each gene (BAM files).

[^13]Differential gene expression comparisons were performed with "BAM" files using DESeq2 statistical tool (parametric fit type) on the Galaxy bioinformatic platform.

Gene differential expression data were analysed with two online software suites; Gene Ontology Panther (http://www.pantherdb.org) and Pathway Studio (www.pathwaystudio.com) to identify diseases, cell processes and pathways associated with genes affected by prion and drug.

## Raw data accession codes.

All the TMT mass spectrometry data, RAW files together with the MaxQuant outputs have been uploaded to PRIDE (Project accession: PXD025561). The raw transcriptomics data have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus repository (GEO Accession number: GSE202275).

## Supplementary Materials

Figures S1-S5
Table S1
Data files S1 - S4
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## FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. M1-receptor PAMs restore learning and memory and prolong survival in murine prion disease. (A and B) The accumulation of IP1 in mouse cortical primary neuronal cultures treated with acetylcholine (ACh) and the M1-receptor PAMs BQCA (A) or VU846 (B). Data are means $\pm$ SEM, $n=4$. VU846 cooperativity with acetylcholine $=\log \alpha \beta=1.38 ; n=4$. (C) Fear-conditioning response of control and prioninfected male mice following acute intraperitoneal administration of vehicle (20\% tween-80) or VU846 (10 mg/kg) 30 minutes prior to training and retrieval. $\mathrm{n}=15-19$ mice per group. 2-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparison test. ${ }^{* * *} P<0.001$, ${ }^{* *} P<0.01,{ }^{*} P<0.05$ vs. control + vehicle. Data shown as means $\pm$ SEM. (D) Burrowing response of control and prion-infected female mice following administration of vehicle or VU846 as indicated, 30 min before each burrowing session (from 7 w.p.i.). $n=12-13$ mice per group. $\# \# P<0.01$; \#\#\# $P<0.001$ w.p.i. vs. training, ${ }^{*} P<0.05$; ${ }^{* *} P<0.01$ prion-

[^22]vehicle vs. prion-VU846. (E) Kaplan-Meier survival plots for prion-infected male and female mice treated with vehicle ( $n=22$; black line) or VU846 ( $n=22$; blue line) as indicated, daily from 7 w.p.i. ${ }^{* * *} P<0.001$ by Gehan-Breslow-Wilcoxon test. (F) Western blot analysis on lysates from control, prion-vehicle and prion-VU846 mouse hippocampi. Each lane represents a different mouse ( $n=4$ ). Bar graphs represent means $\pm$ SEM, with individual values also displayed. (G) Experimental outline and sample preparation for TMT mass spectrometry-based proteomics.

Figure 2. Molecular markers of neurodegeneration and neuroinflammation are upregulated in a murine prion disease model. (A) Principal components analysis (PCA) of the global proteomic study data of four control + vehicle and four prioninfected + vehicle mice. (B) Volcano plot showing the differential expression of proteins in the control + vehicle versus prion + vehicle. Red and blue points represent the proteins with significantly increased or decreased expression, respectively (FDR<0.05, $\pm \log _{2} 0.4$-fold change). The bar graph shows the total number of proteins analyzed (grey) and the number of proteins that were significantly increased (red) or decreased (blue) (FDR $<0.05, \pm \mathrm{Log}_{2} 0.4$-fold change). (C) Gene ontology (GO) analysis of proteins that were significantly up-regulated in prion + vehicle compared to control + vehicle mice. GO "Biological process" terms are plotted against the fold enrichment relative to the expected number of gene lists of these sizes. (D) Pathway Studio analysis of "cell processes" (grey) and "diseases" (red) associated with the proteins that are significantly up-regulated in prion + vehicle compared to control + vehicle mice.

[^23]Figure 3. M1-receptor PAM, VU846 normalizes brain processes that are dysregulated by prion disease. (A) Principal components analysis (PCA) of proteomic study data of four control + vehicle and four prion-infected + VU0486 (10 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) treated mice. (B) Volcano plot representation of differential protein expression in the control + vehicle versus prion + VU846 samples. Red and blue points represent the proteins that were significantly increased or decreased in expression, respectively (FDR<0.05, $\pm \log _{2} 0.4$-fold change). Bar graph represents the total number of proteins analyzed (grey) and the number of proteins that were significantly up-regulated (red) or down-regulated (blue) (FDR<0.05, $\pm \log _{2} 0.4$-fold change). (C) "Normalization plot" of the 477 proteins that were significantly different ( $p<0.05$ ) between the prion-effect in the context of vehicle and the prion-effect in the context of VU846. (D) GO analysis of proteins that were significantly up-regulated by a prion-effect in the context of vehicle and "normalized" by a prion-effect in the context of VU846. (E) GO analysis of proteins that were significantly down-regulated by a prion-effect in the context of vehicle and "normalized" by a prion-effect in the context of VU846. (F) Pathway Studio analysis of the overall impact of VU846-mediated normalization of proteins that are either up- or down-regulated in prion disease.

Figure 4. M1-receptor PAM VU846 modulates the expression of a subset of hippocampal proteins associated with prion disease. (A and B) Volcano plots of differential protein expression by the PAM-effect in (A) RML prion-diseased mice (prion + VU846 vs prion + vehicle) and (B) control NBH mice (control + VU846 vs control + vehicle). Blue and red points represent the proteins that are significantly decreased or increased in expression, respectively (FDR<0.05, $\pm \log _{2} 0.4$-fold change). Bar graph represents the total number of proteins analyzed (grey) and the

[^24]number of proteins that were significantly down-regulated (blue) or up-regulated (red). (C) Quadrant scatter plot showing the effect of VU846 (10 mg/kg) in the context of prion disease. The $x$-axis and $y$-axis represent fold changes of proteins that are changed by prion-effect and PAM-effect, respectively. Proteins outside the square box are significantly changed in expression (FDR<0.05, $\pm \log _{2} 0.4$-fold change). (D) Grouping of proteins associated with neurodegenerative disease that are up-regulated in the prion-effect and down-regulated in the PAM-effect. (E) Representative image from Pathway Studio showing 94 proteins from the proteomic dataset whose overall expression levels are associated with AD and neuroinflammation. The bar graph summarizes these changes. (F) Effect of VU846 on proteins that are associated with AD.

Figure 5. Markers of disease modification modulated by VU846 are validated by western blotting. (A) Representative spectra from the mass spectrometry-proteomics data for the marker of astrocyte and microglial activation, GFAP. (B) Western blot analysis of hippocampi lysate showing changes in expression of selected markers of astrocyte and microglial activation and of neurodegeneration in the control + vehicle, prion-infected + vehicle, and prion-infected + VU846 (10 mg/kg) mice. Each lane represents a different mouse. (C) Quantification of Western blots. Data are means $\pm$ SEM; n=4. ${ }^{* * *} P<0.001,{ }^{* *} P<0.01,{ }^{*} P<0.05$ by two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparison test.

Figure 6. Transcriptomic studies reveal additional changes in transcripts in prion disease which are modified by VU846. (A and B) Volcano plots of differential
gene transcription in the $(A)$ control + vehicle vs prion + vehicle and (B) control + vehicle vs prion + VU846. Red and blue points represent genes with significantly increased or decreased levels of transcript, respectively (FDR<0.05, $\pm \log _{2} 0.4$-fold change). (C) "Normalization plot" of the 1,910 genes that were significantly different ( $p<0.05$ ) between prion-effect in context of vehicle and prion-effect in context of VU846. (D) Fisher exact test analysis for GO term "Biological processes" of the genes that were significantly up-regulated by a prion-effect in the context of vehicle and "normalized" by a prion-effect in the context of VU846. The x-axis is - $\log _{10}$ of the $p$ value obtained from the Fisher exact test and the $y$-axis is the relative difference between the percentages of significantly differentially transcribed genes that carried the depicted annotations over the percentage of all sites that carried the same annotation. (E) The Fisher exact test for GO term "Biological processes" the genes that were significantly down-regulated by the prion-effect in the context of vehicle and "normalized" by the prion-effect in the context of VU846.

Figure 7. M1-receptor PAM, VU846 has a "PAM effect" on the prion mouse hippocampal transcriptome. (A) Quadrant scatter plot showing the effect of VU846 $(10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ on gene transcription in the context of prion disease. The $x$-axis and $y$-axis represent fold changes of genes that are changed by prion- and PAM-effects, respectively. Genes lying outside of the square box are significantly changed (FDR $<0.05, \pm \log _{2} 0.4$-fold change). (B) Grouping of genes associated with neurodegenerative disease that are up-regulated in the prion-effect and down regulated in the PAM-effect. (C and D) Representative images generated with Pathway Studio showing the link between VU846 treatment and regulation of

[^25]neuroinflammation and markers of AD. A proportion of the genes that are up- or downregulated in the prion-effect are subsequently affected by VU846 in the PAM-effect.
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