

Motivations of undertaking CSR Initiatives by Independent Hotels: A Holistic Approach

Journal:	International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management
Manuscript ID	IJCHM-03-2018-0193.R2
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Keywords:	Corporate social responsibility, hotel management, Motivation, Malaysian Independent Hotels

SCHOLARONE® Manuscripts

Motivations of undertaking CSR Initiatives by Independent Hotels: A Holistic Approach

Abstract

Purpose - The aim of this study is to explore how senior managers of independent hotels perceive the notion of CSR through a holistic analysis of motivations of undertaking both social and environmental initiatives.

Design/methodology/approach – This study conducts qualitative interviews with 22 Malaysian senior hotel managers together with ethnographic observations.

Findings - Emergent themes show that CSR is a dynamic concept involving complex struggles and trade-offs between fulfilling business objectives, paying heed to personal ethical values and considering cultural norms when making decisions regarding the adoption of a range of environmental and social initiatives.

Research limitations/implications - Our study contributes to legitimacy theory by highlighting that, in the absence of pressure from key stakeholders for responsible initiatives, managers still proactively engage in CSR initiatives. More surprising still is that they set expectations instead of simply aligning or responding to the key stakeholders' pre-set demands. Meanwhile, the uniqueness, size and purposeful selection of the sample, limit the applicability of the findings to wider geographical and cultural locations.

Practical implications – While Malaysian hotel managers are willing to employ CSR practices to demonstrate their commitment to their employees as well as the local communities where they operate, it is equally important that they utilize other media (such as their websites or social media) for both promotional and legitimacy building purposes. Within the context of growing tourism for economic development, the Malaysian government can develop more effective strategies, rewards or incentives for encouraging businesses to undertake and improve CSR adoption for sustainability of this industry.

Originality/value - By extending the scope of CSR studies beyond firm performance issues, we attempt to show the wider set of motivations and contexts considered important in determining hotel engagement in CSR programs.

Keywords Corporate Social Responsibility, CSR, Hotel Management, Motivation, Qualitative, Case study.

Paper type Research paper

Introduction

Corporate Social Responsibility has become an established facet of business practice in recent times, due to enhanced regulatory pressures, and a perception that good corporate 'citizenship' can lead to benefits including increased market share, enhanced brand reputation, better employee retention rates, etc. (Abaeian et al., 2014). Yet there is conflicting evidence on the reasons why organizations engage in CSR practices voluntarily. Studies have identified benefits of CSR activities, such as; increasing firm reputation, customer loyalty, employee commitment & productivity, ultimately result in enhanced performance. However, there is not consensus on the links between CSR and performance improvement. One theory that could be used to explain such decisions is Legitimacy Theory (Suchman, 1995). This theory proposes that society has come to expect that organizations can, and should be expected to behave responsibly, ergo firms feel compelled into initiating CSR activities or programs. Additionally, large corporations which are more vulnerable to public criticism, should have systematic approaches to policies, codes of conducts and CSR documentation in the form of annual reports (Crane et al., 2013). However, there is a paucity of studies, which have examined CSR through a legitimacy theory lens in hospitality and tourism research.

Recently, increased societal expectations on businesses to assume greater social responsibilities have been felt by the global tourism industry. This is unsurprising given that it is one of the world's largest industries. Additionally, the hotel sector is inextricably tied to the environments and societies in which it operates, and so success may in part be determined by the role hotels play in the community. The development and growth of the

hotel industry holds the potential to produce great changes in local communities (Serra-Cantallops et al., 2017), making it an imperative to foster positive attitudes and to minimize impacts on the environment and society. The ultimate goal for a business is sustainability (Van Marrewijk, 2003), often interpreted as long-term profitability, and yet for the hotel sector, the environment and social pillars are possibly more closely linked to financial performance than other industries. Since CSR is highly context-specific (Pedersen, 2010, Coles et al., 2013, Kaur, 2019), scrutiny of its elements within a specific industry is necessary to enhance our understanding of its different forms, functions and underlying logic. In effect, we contend that CSR is a multifaceted, socially-constructed concept as "there is no single 'one-size fits all' prescription of what CSR should be for all organizations" (Coles et al., 2013, p. 124). Also, Farrington et al. (2017) highlighted that CSR research in the hospitality sector 'must fundamentally debate the relevance and applications of CSR... before trying to measure its internal benefit and financial impact'. Additionally, CSR initiatives encompass a broad range of activities, and most studies in tourism and hospitality have focused on either environmental or social aspects, with few adopting a more comprehensive approach. This is important since focusing on single issues/domains obscures a holistic analysis (Coles et al., 2013). However, there is very little understanding of whether and how community, environment and wider society concerns drive CSR decision-making in the hospitality sector from a holistic perspective.

Past research on CSR in the hospitality industry has scrutinized the underlying motivations for undertaking CSR from the perspectives of hotel employees (Park and Levy, 2014, (Rodrigo and Arenas, 2008) or guests (Öberseder et al., 2013). Whereas, researchers in general management have explored the perception of managers about drivers of socially responsible behaviors such as (Christensen et al., 2014, Crane and Matten, 2016), there are very few studies in hospitality from this approach (Kucukusta et al., 2013). Managers should be targeted by studies exploring motivations for CSR because amongst all key stakeholder groups, they play an important role in making CSR-related decisions and balancing the various demands/expectations of other stakeholder groups. Our contention is supported by Mackenzie and Peters (2014) who emphasized that managers are key individuals who can positively or negatively influence actual

responsible behaviors in organizations. Hence, only through management perspectives can we more fully understand how various, often conflicting, demands manifest themselves into actual CSR-related strategies, initiatives, and actions.

In terms of implementing CSR-related initiatives, almost exclusive attention has been devoted to large international hotel chains (Bohdanowicz and Zientara, 2008, Bohdanowicz et al., 2011). There are studies on CSR initiatives in chain affiliated hotels e.g. (Bohdanowicz and Zientara, 2012, Levy and Park, 2011), and small- and mediumsized accommodations (Njite et al., 2011, Tzschentke et al., 2008), yet, none that examines what motivates non-chain affiliated hotels to engage in responsible behavior. There are distinct differences between chain and non-chain affiliated hotels in relation to CSR. Compared to locally managed hotels, international chain hotels enjoy larger economies of scale and have the tendency to impose environmental standards and policies on their local members. Moreover, knowledge and experience exchange among international chain affiliates facilitates access to greater levels of information on current environmental measures and their adoption (El Dief and Font, 2010, 2012). Despite these apparent disadvantages, independently owned hotels do have some advantages compared to chain affiliated hotels. For instance, in addition to money saved on franchise loyalty and marketing fees, this type of ownership affords freedom to operate differently and to promote the property based on its uniqueness according to location (O'Neill and Carlbäck, 2011). There is great variety of environmental and social initiatives that hotels could select. Given the voluntary nature of CSR, such organizations may have greater degrees of flexibility than chain hotels, which may produce high levels of involvement.

Therefore, the primary aim of this study is to understand how senior managers of independent hotels perceive the notion of CSR and explore their motivations to undertake CSR initiatives. Our focus is to examine motivations through a legitimacy theory lens, adopting a holistic perspective in a detailed, qualitative inquiry encompassing both social and environmental CSR initiatives. Our study further extends the research on motivations for engagement in CSR with a focus on managers' perspectives. We also aim contribute to knowledge on the specific contexts of independent hotels in developing countries, which are uniquely different to large multi-national hotel firms.

CSR and Legitimacy Theory

Since the 1950s, there has been an abundance of often-overlapping definitions proposed to describe CSR both in the academic and corporate world. In fact, there is still no consensus on a commonly accepted definition (Dahlsrud, 2008, McWilliams et al., 2006). Even so, in its broadest sense, CSR takes as its premise that companies ought to behave ethically, virtuously and justify their existence in terms of service to the community rather than merely generating profits (Bohdanowicz and Zientara, 2008). This is often translated into a concern for integrating the views of various stakeholders into the decisions of organizations. Indeed, the European Commission (2001) defines CSR as "a concept by which companies integrate social and environmental concerns in their operations and in their interaction with stakeholders on a voluntary basis". Hence, the relationship between society and business is explained through stakeholder theory by taking into consideration those individuals or groups with a 'stake' in or claim on the company to achieve superior performance (Laplume et al., 2008).

Many researchers have argued that one of the primary motivations for businesses to undertake CSR initiatives is to acquire, maintain and enhance their legitimacy in the eyes of society. Suchman (1995) defined legitimacy as 'generalized perception or assumption that the actions of an entity are desirable, proper, or appropriate within some socially constructed system of norms, values, beliefs, and definitions'. Therefore, legitimacy refers to companies' desire to improve the appropriateness of their actions within structured framework. Society grants legitimacy and power to businesses and 'those who do not use power in a manner which society considers responsible will tend to lose it' in the long run (Davis, 1973, Wood, 1991, Kakabadse et al., 2005). Legitimacy increases the ability of firms to compete for resources, develop authority, gain stakeholder approval and provides a "reservoir of support" during industry or organizational crisis (Phillips, 2003).

In an effort to combine the different ranges of research into one coherent body of legitimacy theory, Suchman (1995) identified three main types of organizational legitimacy: pragmatic, moral and cognitive. In order to attain pragmatic legitimacy,

companies are required to serve interests and meet expectations of specific stakeholder groups, 'regardless of whether these interests and expectations are shared by the public at large' (Vidaver-Cohen and Brønn, 2008). This type is grounded in the self-interest of the organization's stakeholders. Moral legitimacy considers stakeholders beyond the immediate constituents and is concerned with perceived 'fit' of moral conduct between various aspects of company's performances and societal expectations. Suchman (1995) explains that moral legitimacy rests not on judgments about whether a given activity benefits the evaluator, but rather on conscious judgments about whether the activity is "the right thing to do". Lastly, cognitive legitimacy is concerned with meeting organizational needs, viewed as necessary or inevitable based on some taken-for-granted cultural account (Suchman, 1995). Here, a CSR manager or department is deemed essential to further enhance CSR engagement beyond mere philanthropy initiatives. Complimentary to this, Vidaver-Cohen and Brønn (2008) emphasize that the final and most critical measure of cognitive legitimacy for CSR practice rests in managerial acceptance and integration of the practice into routine organizational activities, as well as into strategies and structures.

Scott (1995), a pioneer in the application of legitimacy theory to organizational studies, believes that 'legitimacy is not a commodity to be possessed or exchanged but a condition with relevant rules or laws'. Therefore, legitimacy itself is socially constructed and context based (Coles et al., 2013, Pedersen, 2010). Hence, it is a function of observer interpretations and evaluations rather that an inherent property of the unit being observed (Vidaver-Cohen and Brønn, 2008). It is common for legitimacy criteria to vary over time and across industries and organizational forms as well as cultures.

Accordingly, Barkemeyer (2007) highlighted the explanatory power of organizational legitimacy theory in the context of CSR in developing countries, as addressing more than profit-maximizing motives and embracing cultural factors. Therefore, we believe that this theory offers a useful lens to underpin our comprehensive approach to CSR in a developing country such as Malaysia. There are no studies that investigated motivations for implementing CSR initiatives in tourism and hospitality through the application of legitimacy theory. This gap is particularly evident in hotel operations, which involves

dealing with various stakeholders, in areas where resources are shared with the local community, and directly affect and are affected by societal norms and values.

Motivations for Undertaking CSR in the Hospitality Industry

One of the major motivations/benefits of adopting environment-centered CSR initiatives in tourism accommodations is cost savings, especially building and utility costs (Graci and Dodds, 2008, (Carroll and Shabana, 2010, Geerts, 2014). In this regard, Graci and Dodds (2008) found that the cost savings realized from environmental friendly initiatives enhanced the efficiency of Canadian hotels (e.g. reducing resource consumption by up to 20-40% without adversely affecting performance). Other financially-appealing CSR practices include implementation of alternatives to non-renewable resources such as geothermal generators and solar panels. In addition, encouraging guests to reduce water and energy consumption in their rooms (i.e. through linen and towel reuse information stickers) can result in considerable savings on electricity, water and cleaning products (Geerts, 2014, El Dief and Font, 2010).

While the contribution of CSR initiatives towards a company's financial bottom-line is well-evidenced (Lee and Park, 2009, Theodoulidis et al., 2017), financial implications of environmentally-friendly systems could also be negative. For example, high start-up costs and the probable lengthy returns on investment related to several initiatives make them unattractive to managers (Geerts, 2014). In addition, some hotel owners are not likely to see the prohibitive cost of installing environmentally-friendly equipment as feasible (Abel and Alfred, 2010).

Next, the hotel industry is widely perceived to possess certain distinctive characteristics relating to employees such as being labour-intensive, people-focused and high employee turnover rate (Bohdanowicz and Zientara, 2009, Park and Levy, 2014). In fact, much attention has been directed at understanding employees' perceptions of CSR practices as they often 'transmit' CSR statements into actions (Tsai et al., 2012). A company's decision to implement CSR could well be rewarded by the existing workforce with past studies showing positive effects on recruitment of talented employees, employee job satisfaction, morale, commitment and retention (Bhattacharya et al., 2008, Lee et al.,

2013, Park and Levy, 2014). Even so, successful implementation of CSR does not always lead to more positive working attitudes due to complex levels of acceptance, identification or development among employees (Rodrigo and Arenas, 2008). More recently, Huimin et al. (2017) showed that CSR has a negative relationship with hotel employees' turnover intention and a positive relationship with their organizational identification.

Another type of motivation is driven by improved perceptions of customers. CSR-active firms are found to possess higher proportions of loyal customers due to greater levels of trust, satisfaction (Martínez et al., 2014) and consumer-company identification (Bhattacharya and Sen, 2003). Even so, awareness about firms' CSR practices are generally low among customers (Sen and Bhattacharya, 2001) although those who are aware reported more positive attitudes and behavioural intentions (Öberseder et al., 2013).

While benefits/motivations of undertaking environment-related CSR from the perspectives of select stakeholders has received some attention, a holistic approach to explore motivations in the hotel sector is sorely lacking. As cultural differences affect CSR dynamics 18 (Serra-Cantallops et al., 2017), wider geographical research coverage is needed to analyse implementation and responses to hotels' CSR in different cultural contexts, particularly in developing country settings. Our paper is a response to such calls.

Methodology

We adopt a 'discovery-oriented' approach (Lincoln and Guba, 1985) in seeking to understand senior managers' motivations for undertaking CSR initiatives in independent hotels in Malaysia. Since senior managers (both general managers and heads of department) can positively or negatively influence salient stakeholders' mindset and actual behavior (Mackenzie and Peters, 2014), it was crucial to conduct qualitative interviews to explore their perceptions. Hence, our interpretivist approach is

complementary to understanding how humans in a social context make meaning of reality (Crotty, 1998).

Tourism and hospitality scholars have highlighted that quantitative research approaches have traditionally been preferred in Southeast Asia (Mura and Pahlevan Sharif, 2015). This is because quantitative approaches are often perceived as being more 'scientific' (Abaeian, 2018). Even so, researchers have highlighted the need for qualitative CSR studies (Lockett et al., 2006, (Serra-Cantallops et al., 2017) as quantitative approaches fail to shed light on the underlying motivations for engaging in CSR. Indeed, Yin (2009) argued that a qualitative case study approach is useful to explore the world of lived experience from individuals' perspectives.

Malaysia is a culturally- and ecologically-rich developing country, one of only 12 countries worldwide classified as being 'megadiverse' (WWF, 2018, The Star Online, 2016) as an extensive range of flora and fauna can be found in various ecoregions throughout the country. It is also a Muslim-majority nation with a strong Asian heritage, whose population mostly comprises three major ethnic groups (i.e. the Malays, Chinese and Indians). This melting pot of values, beliefs, norms presents a distinct arena for CSR initiatives (Abdulrazak and Ahmad, 2014). The tourism industry is one of the major contributors to the economic development of Malaysia. The sector is poised to grow further as the Malaysian government is targeting 36 million tourist arrivals and RM 168 billion in tourism receipts by 2020 (The Economic Planning Unit, 2010). To achieve such ambitious goals, the country's natural and unpolluted environment is a major asset especially in terms of promoting ecotourism. It is interesting to note that most CSR frameworks/guidelines produced by the Malaysian government are targeted at publiclylisted companies and little attention is given to tourism-related and private organizations (Abdulrazak and Ahmad, 2014, Lu and Castka, 2009, CSR Malaysia, 2018). This observation applies to non-listed Malaysian hotels as well, especially since there is little recognition given for voluntary efforts towards sustainable development.

Our study was conducted around the Klang Valley area in Malaysia. To generate a master list of four- and five-star independent Malaysian hotels, we combined the lists of

registered hotels on the official webpages of the Malaysian Association of Hotels (MAH), the Malaysian Association of Hotel Owners (MAHO) as well as Tourism Malaysia (i.e. the Ministry of Tourism website). We specifically targeted these hotels as (i) full-service luxury hotels have extensive facilities so have greater need to adhere to green practices (Jackson, 2010), (ii) larger hotels tend to make more contributions to CSR activities (McGehee et al., 2009), (iii) hotels in urban areas tend to contribute more to CSR, and (iv) luxury hotels tend to leverage more on CSR for long-term competitive advantage (Zoweil and Montasser, 2012). Moreover, it is assumed that the independent four and five-star hotels have greater overall capacity, without the top-down control/monitoring for CSR implementation common amongst international chain hotels. Chain hotels are presumably less concerned with costs associated with environmental initiatives as they are very common in the West and continued support from headquarters is unlikely to be a problem (Chan, 2008).

In terms of sampling strategy, we utilized a 'purposive' sampling design. Purposeful sampling is appropriate for garnering in-depth information where one expects to learn a about issues that are of central importance to the participants (Patton, 2002) and based on their potential contribution (Creswell, 2007). A total of 52 independent hotels and key informants were identified and contacted directly through email. 22 independent hotels responded positively to the invitation. The main reasons for declining to participate were lack of involvement in CSR and busy work schedules. Interviews were conducted at respondents' workplaces with 22 senior managers from November 2014 to April 2015 until the point where *theoretical saturation* was reached (Corbin and Strauss, 2008). This is where no new information or themes were observed in the data.

We produced an interview guide outlining topics to be covered, but also left the interviewer to decide on the sequence/wording of questions during each interview (Jamali and Mirshak, 2007). Each interview lasted between 60-90 minutes. The questions (covering CSR initiatives undertaken, perceived benefits and challenges, as well as underlying motivations) began after a few warm-up questions about the respondents' background. All participants were given assurances regarding anonymity for themselves and the hotels where they worked; hence, pseudonyms were used to conceal participants'

identities. The interviews were digitally recorded with the participants' permission, transcribed verbatim and subsequently returned to the participants for verification.

We followed standard procedures to ensure quality control through the analytic process, particularly, guidelines regarding *Trustworthiness* of the analysis (Carson et al., 2001). Validity was assessed in terms of credibility, while authenticity, transferability, dependability, and confirmability were used as criteria for internal validation, external validation, reliability, and objectivity (Lincoln and Guba, 1985 cited in Creswell, 2007, p.202). Following Yin (2009), the principal researcher used multiple sources such as (i) non-participant observations/notes/photos during fieldwork, (ii) attending CSR events/activities, (iii) reviewing relevant documents and industry reports/news (e.g. archival data, company CSR records, related brochures, and newspaper news) to draw additional insights. The principal researcher spent a significant amount of time in the independent hotels (around 6 months) to enhance credibility through prolonged engagement and persistent observation. This was to develop trust, learn the culture and check out intuitive interpretations (Glesne, 2011). Such 'persistent' observations were also made a few months before and after the interviews, by attending hotel events such as charity and blood donation activities where employees (in a voluntary capacity), members of the local community and in some cases, hotel guests attended. These additional sources helped the researchers to constantly cross-check for the accuracy of data derived from interviews conducted, the level of transparency of data received about CSR initiatives, as well as verification of what was being relayed in interviews (Kasim, 2005). To ensure transferability, the researchers used case study protocol and provided a detailed report for participants. Glesne (2011) states that this would allow the reader to enter the research context. Dependability was enhanced by writing detailed field notes and collecting evidence for the themes that emerged based on the data collected to achieve the research objectives.

Data analysis was an iterative process conducted during and after the interviews while the interview notes and feedback of initial analyses were also added into the data collection protocol (Lofland and Lofland, 1994). Transcribed interviews were read multiple times to identify codes and emergent themes. This, non-imposing stance, reflects a researchers'

open-minded approach rather than indicating an absence of any formative concepts. Practically, we identified four indicative primary drivers of CSR - legislation, stakeholder pressure, economic opportunities, ethical motives – through a detailed review of prior research on organizations (Bansal and Roth, 2000). We adopted the thematic analysis approach by following the procedural steps recommended by Braun and Clarke (2006).

Findings and Discussion

Current CSR Initiatives in Malaysian Hotels

Based on the interviews and fieldwork observations, the types of CSR initiatives undertaken by Malaysian hotels were compiled and summarized (Table 1 & 2). In addition, we also report the areas of environmental- and social-related CSR where little attention was given. For instance, there were no signs of written policies indicating strategic CSR planning, except action plans in few cases. Documenting CSR initiatives n inten.

Jrm employc

AR initiatives in re.

Ag of outcomes is largel, undertaken in the past was limited and only to inform internal stakeholders (e.g. internal newsletters placed in HR bulletin boards to inform employees). However, almost all respondents agreed that they do not promote CSR initiatives in related websites or social media pages. Furthermore, strategic monitoring of outcomes is largely missing.

Table 1. CSR Initiatives in Malaysian Hotels (Environment)

Environmentally-friendly Service, Product & Using recycled material and products (e.g. recycled furniture, toilet paper, recycled paper for printing, recycling bins, cooking oil, etc.) Waste Reduction Management - Disposal used cooking oil for recycling by third parties - Providing newspaper for guest in room only upon request - Recycling bins to segregate waste - Composting garden and kitchen waste - 20 Environment Energy Saving Management - Evaluating the environmental impact of the business (e.g. meter for minimizing carbon usage, green meetings, etc.) - Reducing energy consumption by installing energy-efficient appliances (e.g. LED lighting, light on timer, Hybrid heating system, intelligent thermostats, motion sensors, etc.) - Participation in worldwide movement for the planet (such as Earth Hour, Earth Day) - 7 - Laundry outsourcing - 22 Water Management & Flow regulators for low flow toilets and shower heads - 5 - Faucet aerators - 6 Water submeters - 7 Rainwater collection for plantations - 2	CSR Domain	Category	Selected CSR Initiatives	# of Hotel
Hybrid heating system, intelligent thermostats, motion sensors, etc.) - Participation in worldwide movement for the planet (such as Earth Hour, Earth Day) - Laundry outsourcing - Implementing linen and towel re-use programs - Flow regulators for low flow toilets and shower heads - Faucet aerators - Water submeters - Rainwater collection for plantations - 22 - 7 - 22 - 23		friendly Service, Product & Material Waste Reduction Management Energy Saving	recycling bins, cooking oil, etc.) Using green chemical products Providing non-smoking areas & rooms Home-grown herb garden and fertilizers Disposal used cooking oil for recycling by third parties Providing newspaper for guest in room only upon request Recycling bins to segregate waste Composting garden and kitchen waste Evaluating the environmental impact of the business (e.g. meter for minimizing carbon usage, green meetings, etc.)	- 2 - 1 - 2 - 7 - 2 - 8 - 2 - 4
Management & - Faucet aerators - 6 Conservation - Water submeters - 7 Rainwater collection for plantations - 2		Management	Hybrid heating system, intelligent thermostats, motion sensors, etc.) - Participation in worldwide movement for the planet (such as Earth Hour, Earth Day) - Laundry outsourcing - Implementing linen and towel re-use programs	- 7 - 3
		Management &	 Faucet aerators Water submeters Rainwater collection for plantations 	- 6 - 7 - 2
			http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/ijchm	

 Table 2. CSR Initiatives in Malaysian Hotels (Social)

CSR Domain	Category	Selected CSR Initiatives		
	Community	- Collaboration with/participation in local community activities (e.g. Area clean-up, Tree plantation, Public free talks/seminars, etc.)	-]	19
	Involvement	- Donation for natural disasters and saving wildlife	- 2	2
		- Adopting families with no jobs	_ 4	4
		- Inviting from less fortunate/old folks' homes during festive seasons		20
		- Incorporate sustainability into guest experience by providing opportunities for guests to donate to/volunteer with community (e.g. donation boxes, Earth Hour /Earth Day event, etc.)	- 7	7
		- Donating food, clothing items, used furniture, linens, sheets, towels, etc. to orphanage house	- 8	8
		- Participating/contributing in international natural disasters (Typhoons at Philippines, Tsunami in Japan, UN World Food Program)		2
		- Participating in blood donation events	_ 9	9
Social		- Contributing to institutions such as schools		3
		- Collaboration with Malaysian Association of Hotels to organize charity events/ training etc.		2
		- Organizing activities for improving staff well-being (e.g. sport activities)	- 2	20
	Employee	- Engaging employees for community projects	- 1	19
	Relations	- Implementing employee-friendly policies for safe, healthy/fair work conditions	- 2	22
		- Providing employees with sufficient in-house training and education	- 1	17
		- Providing training to educate staff on how to conserve energy, water and minimizing waste	- 1	10
		- Provides opportunities for employees to share in decision making (such as in charity events)	- 1	10
		- Green Initiative Committee / Social & Welfare Team (made up of different departments and level of	- 2	20
		http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/ijchm		
		letter. // no a manus quiert a ontrol a one /ii alom		
		http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/ijchm		

Motivations of Undertaking Environmental-related CSR Initiatives

Business Operational Efficiency

Based on the interview excerpts and observations, a major motive for implementing environmental-related CSR was to enhance operational efficiency. Hotel senior managers emphasized the need to generate good returns for their respective employers/owners. Profit is regarded as a prerequisite to business sustainability and CSR initiative investments. In their own words:

"At the end of the day, nobody opens a business to lose money obviously so cost is important, of course. The owner is investing RM300 million for this hotel, he also needs return of investment..." (GM12)

"... It is a lot of money...hard to convince [owner] how fast the return is and also the economics is not feasible because the market now is very slow...to spend another RM200 million..." (GM14)

Previous studies (Tzschentke et al., 2004) have also found operational efficiency as a prime motive behind the introduction of environmental measures. Senior managers agreed that the demands of hotel owners for higher return on the investments are legitimate since they require continued financial support. Since such initiatives were implemented due to the financial concerns of top management, the widespread belief that these considerations are "good management issues" (Tzschentke et al., 2004) seems credible. Hence, any hotel aiming to operate efficiently needs to undertake beneficial environment-related initiatives. Indeed, one of the interviewees mentioned that:

"Actually...it's business sense for what I do too. Cause you save money. Basically, I realize don't talk to people about environment much; show them how to save money... Dollar and cents is the only sense you can talk about." (GM & Owner, 20)

Thus, in terms of "making sense" or, indeed, "cents", managers' role is significant as they are influential in convincing owners to embark upon environmental initiatives by making the required business case. Hence, they do not just focus on measures that have low implementation costs that can impact the bottom line but also on initiatives with high initial costs. Popular cost-saving initiatives include those concerned with waste management and energy conservation. In fact, some of our interviewees claim that such environment-related CSR practices have long been institutionalized in the hotel sector and are described as "normal", "standard" or "common" initiatives.

Apart from business efficiency, we found that some senior managers felt a sense of responsibility towards the environment when evaluating CSR options, for example:

"It is not forced upon us to do it but we felt that it is our contribution as a corporate citizen to do our part and hopefully others will do their part..." (GM 12)

Here, minimizing environmental impact was voluntary as there is little external pressure applied - regulatory scrutiny for Malaysian hotels is very weak. This is contrary to managers of locally-owned hotels in New Zealand who undertake green initiatives to avoid stringent regulations and scrutiny from media/regulatory bodies (Raviv et al., 2013). In addition, senior managers of Malaysian hotels also repeatedly mentioned the term "win-win situation" where cost-saving is coupled with minimizing environmental impact. In line with Bansal and Roth (2000), therefore, strategic and ethical motivations did not appear to be mutually exclusive:

"I look at it [i.e. green initiatives] from 2 sides of it. One is the actual doing for the environment, the other one is actually survival in the business because it somewhat interrelates...got to start with green initiatives...That is why I say I look at it as an opportunity to grow or prolong ourselves in the business..." (GM 17)

Hence, while some degree of social desirability bias is expected in any set of self-reported data (Vidaver-Cohen and Brønn, 2008), the fact that respondents seemed quite willing to divulge self-interested strategic motives for engaging in responsible initiatives suggests that social desirability bias did not significantly impact findings.

Strategic Stakeholder Engagement

Interview participants repeatedly mentioned, within the context of Malaysia, there is a general lack of awareness, interest as well as concern among important stakeholders (particularly guests and employees) about the environmental conservation measures in place. In response, managers of local Malaysian hotels have made repeated attempts to engage these key stakeholders in a host of environment-centered CSR initiatives, mainly through education and awareness programs. Hence, strategic stakeholder engagement is clearly another major theme, framed as a response to perceived stakeholder apathy or disinterest. Driven by the desire to engage employees more strategically in environmental CSR practices, Malaysian hotels have implemented various training programs, campaigns and seminars about a wide range of environmental issues and conservation. GM 14 mentioned:

"We have our own Go Green campaign in hotel for the staff...if you don't train them, or at least make them aware of what is the consequence of what they are doing now, then it is going to be a problem even though you are a green hotel....So what we want to do is we educate the staff here and we would like them to have that culture and bring it to [their] homes as well." (GM 14)

The drive to increase stakeholder awareness about environmental issues also extends to hotel guests. Some make use of ad hoc situations as opportunities to incorporate environmental awareness into guests' experience. For instance, the environmental issues

such as severe water rationing were mentioned in written messages for linen and towel reuse to persuade greater participation from guests in conservation initiatives.

"Now with the water rationing, I started to put up pledges to say that 'we are experiencing water rationing now, we would like to seek your help to use less water.' So hopefully through these things also, maybe it will become an education process ..." (GM 12)

Therefore, by communicating about the objectives of the chosen CSR initiative in a transparent manner, hotels hope to receive more favourable responses from guests. This is consistent with Bhattacharya and Sen (2003) who mention that customers' awareness of a company's CSR activities is a key prerequisite to their positive reactions to such activities. In addition, international annual events held worldwide such as *Earth Day* and *Earth Hour* to demonstrate support for environmental conservation were also celebrated in many such hotels. One manager explained how she engaged in-house guests by providing incentives to participate in environmental practices during their stay:

"Yesterday was Earth Day and we took this opportunity for awareness...We have to start somewhere even for an hour.... So, we asked our guests to present this card to the reception, to indicate that they don't want their linen and towels to be washed. In return, we will rebate the houseguest RM 30 through food and beverage consumption at the hotel restaurant...you know, we received overwhelming responses." (GM 18)

Responses to such initiatives suggest that raising awareness of guests, especially if accompanied by some monetary incentive, are likely to result in higher positive responses. GM 2 opined:

"I think awareness is a very important factor from guest...if they are more aware of what we are doing... [they are] supporting or encouraging you to do more." (GM 2)

As awareness about CSR practices are generally low among customers (Sen and Bhattacharya, 2001), communicating CSR initiatives would be an opportunity to raise levels of awareness. Besides, CSR gives customers a chance to feel that they are making the right decision by choosing a particular product (Green and Peloza, 2011). To achieve this, hoteliers need to be committed.

Furthermore, the findings also re-emphasize the central importance of the manager as they consider "silent" stakeholders such as employees and guests. Here, we extend/alter the theoretical notion put forward by Freeman (1984) who insisted that managers had better give legitimacy to the demands of those who have ability to affect the direction of the firm by engaging them. More specifically, we found that instead of managers having to contend with difficult-to-meet expectations or varied/conflicting demands regarding CSR initiatives by key stakeholders (as conceptualized by legitimacy theory), they actually endeavour to raise awareness, and, by extension, the expectations of these stakeholders. Here, Malaysian hotel managers seem proactive in both establishing and enhancing their hotels' legitimacy in the eyes of society through CSR rather than reactively to meet external regulations.

Motivations of Undertaking Social-related CSR Initiatives

Business Strategy for Employee Retention

During the interviews, senior managers explained their social-related CSR practices go beyond labour-related regulations. Common initiatives include establishing sport clubs for employees, engaging them in community events, etc. These activities were driven by a desire to show that their organization is a "meaningful" place to work. Particularly, fostering a 'like-family' environment (as mentioned by GM 3, GM 18, HOD 13) where caring about the 'welfare' of employees, constructed as their internal community, was

prevalent in responses. When probed further, respondents wanted employees to feel 'happy' and 'satisfied' at work. As a consequence of 'taking care of the employees', an array of benefits for the business were also perceived, including improved guest satisfaction and retention. In their own words:

"They are happy when we do these kinds of events... You know because it is fun to once in a while not concentrate just on work. That makes you feel good." (HOD 13)

"Relations is one of the most important [reasons], it is the key. Because if you don't take care of them, they are the one who are basically treating the guest." (GM 6)

Similarly, feeling '*proud*' of where they work and how their workplace is contributing to the local community were mentioned. More specifically:

"It is obviously to our own committee team because it gives them a sense of pride, that as a business we give back to the community that obviously supports us ... to make them proud of where they work, as an organization...We always find that the team that are involved also gets a lot of sense of satisfaction...So as much as possible, we're supporting schools, orphanages or other charity... and our own team." (GM 2)

Interestingly, when we asked whether they are experiencing any explicit pressure or demands from their employees, this was not the case. In fact, employee commitment was singled out as the main challenge. Notwithstanding the absence of such explicit demand, interviewees felt that being perceived as morally legitimate businesses was important. Also, senior managers hope to enhance employees' sense of pride and attachment/commitment to their respective organizations beyond 'just a place to work' (such as GM 2) but as a "meaningful" place where they feel that their needs and the needs of society are being met. Here, employees' awareness of corporate engagement in CSR

fosters a perception of making a positive difference, together with the organization, for both environment and society.

Once again, interviews revealed that some hotel managers consciously build/shape their employees' expectations by being more proactive in adopting social-related CSR practices. This runs contrary to the legitimacy theory prediction where employees are the primary drivers of CSR, which propels action from management. Furthermore, implementing these practices has actually set higher future expectations:

"...They even come to my department and ask, 'When is the next event? What are you going to do for the next event?" (HOD 13)

The pride that employees obtain by working in a hotel that is well-regarded by external stakeholders reinforces their self-esteem and encourages more commitment (De Roeck and Delobbe, 2012). This result also gives a managerial perspective to the assertion made by Bhattacharya et al. (2008) that employees wish to work for socially responsible firms because of the opportunities for greater personal growth.

Our research contributes by showing that, in the absence of pressure from important stakeholders such as employees and hotel guests Malaysian managers still engage in CSR. Theoretically, this is interesting as legitimacy theory envisages a scenario where businesses engage in responsible initiatives mainly to fulfil the demands/expectations of these stakeholders. Instead, these managers seemed to use CSR as a strategic opportunity to raise stakeholders' awareness as well as expectations. It is surprising that rather than merely coping with external demands, managers set high expectations for CSR engagement.

Employee Development

Another motive for engaging in voluntary initiatives by hotels was the perception that it would lead to enhanced relationships and teamwork dynamics among employees. Mainly in larger hotels, employees rarely have the opportunity to come in contact with colleagues from other departments in a "non-work setting" (Peloza and Hassay, 2006). Accordingly, interviews revealed that employee-related activities such as inter-hotel sport games are not only good for their physical health but also provided opportunities for employees to represent their hotels, develop their networks and relationships with other hotels, and more importantly develop skills such as teamwork.

"We are doing this so that our people can go out and represent their hotels together and take part in challenge...I mean it gives them the spirits and dynamics... It is our CSR with our own employees." (GM 11)

"...by having activities, we encourage them to have a team spirit and a positive competition with each other...There are a lot of elements that they can learn, better relationship, the coordination, the team work and [understand] what the people are going through in life, what are the help that is needed...." (GM 3)

Furthermore, to some managers, engaging hotel employees in community initiatives was an opportunity to raise their awareness about the positive social impacts that they can engender amongst the wider community and inculcate moral values.

"We have a chef... after the event he came to me and said: 'boss, thank you very much for giving me the opportunity to plan something on my own...I feel so honored I was given the chance to organize, to get the whole team together and decide...I feel so proud of myself to being able to serve the old folks...as if they are my parent.' So that is what I aim, make them feel responsible themselves without trying to push them..." (GM 8)

I think it is good for the soul ...it makes them realize that they should be humble and they should always reach out and do good deeds. (GM 18)

Our findings suggest that managers pay more attention to their employees' safety, health, skills and moral development rather than unmentioned aspects such as diversity management, equal opportunities and responsibilities towards people with disabilities or minority communities.

Trade-off between Altruism and Publicity

Most respondents agreed that it is a moral obligation to 'give back' to the community through philanthropic initiatives. Some initiatives seemed to be influenced by local norms and cultural beliefs especially those involving underprivileged people and/or orphanages. For instance, Malaysia's diverse cultural heritages determines most donation drives, and other events, which typically take place during ethnic festive periods (e.g. Hari Raya, Chinese New Year, etc.). In fact, GM12 related charity-giving practices of hotels to being part of the *Malaysian lifestyle*. Also, they are concerned with achieving balanced distribution of benefits to these charitable homes (e.g. managers overwhelmingly prefer to support homes that have the least resources) rather than having a systematic or strategically driven process:

"We would pick the poorest among the welfare department homes registered...the homes that we have not worked with, or newly registered or the home with the most children..." (HOD 13)

"I believe that it should go to the right people. Not just you want to do charity, you give charity, but you don't know where the money is going." (GM 6)

Next, we found that some managers had to balance between intrinsic altruistic motives and the business need for maintaining societal moral legitimacy and recognition:

- "...The organization wants some sort of publicity... But it is sad to look at it that way because when you give, you don't tell people that you are giving... that is what CSR is all about..." (HOD 13)
- "...Because we will be seen as more responsible" (GM 14)
- "... CSR puts [the hotel] another level up...is always about getting your name in the newspaper..." (GM 11)

Even so, we were rather surprised to find that, in many cases, the reputation-building, recognition, marketing opportunity aspects are actually shunned. For instance:

"Sometimes there is a greater sense of accomplishment in doing what is right thing to do and not make noise about it... it's our moral obligation to do something for our community...So it depends on the activity. The community knows that we are supporting them, the charity knows we are supporting them, and the team knows that we are supporting that. (GM 2)

Further conversations with respondents corroborated this contention as some claimed not publicize such social initiatives (i.e. in newspapers, hotel website, etc.) despite having collected ample materials to do so. Again, we expected businesses to make full use of such materials for promotional and legitimacy building purposes. This finding is fascinating as, unlike environmental initiatives where dollars and cents is the primary consideration; when it comes to the social-related CSR initiatives, the mindset seems to be entirely different. This relates to the concept of 'greenhushing' see for e.g. (Font et al., 2017), where businesses opt to be 'morally silent' by not talking about their actions. Thus, the portrayal in the academic literature that businesses are either more inclined towards profit maximization or those who embrace wider social responsibilities is overly simplistic. The overall picture, in terms of underlying motivations, is much more complex, nuanced and multifaceted. This is one of the main contributions of our study in underlying terms informing the assumptions legitimacy theory.

Conclusion

Our findings provide more in-depth and refined understanding of hotels' motivations for implementing CSR initiatives. Primary motives for voluntarily implementing environmental-related CSR were to enhance operational efficiency and fulfilling owners' expectations of business. The term "win-win situation" was frequently mentioned, whereby saving money is combined with minimizing environmental impact, with an absence of regulatory scrutiny. The fact that managers' commitment to the natural environment was also driven by ethical considerations suggests that, even in a business setting, there are more complex motives for engaging in CSR in addition to business efficiency motives. Instead of merely acting as good stewards of scarce resources, these managers professed towards a moral position for their hotels in society. Therefore, an interesting contribution is that business operational efficiency and environmental contribution are not mutually exclusive motivations as often portrayed.

Furthermore, to engage employees in environmental-related CSR, respondents made repeated attempts through education and awareness programs. Strategic motives also underpin social-related CSR initiatives as these are treated as opportunities to improve employee skills, work relations and organizational attachment leading to lower staff turnover as well as higher wok performance. Furthermore, the concern for profit and performance criteria seems to be considerably reduced in the context of social initiatives. For community involvement initiatives and charity giving, there seems to be a trade-off at times between the needs of the business to portray legitimacy, enhance image and reputation, with managerial beliefs of altruism and *doing good* for society.

Another interesting contribution, contrary to legitimacy theory assertions that demand from stakeholders for environmentally-friendly services/products would determine firm engagement. In fact, the guests' demand for quality service from hotels and lack of

appreciation for CSR has led managers to reconsider the implementation of further ecofriendly ideas into hotel rooms. Our respondents continued with small initiatives such as linen and towel-reuse programs rather than abandoning them, albeit more cautiously to avoid adversely effecting guests' perceived service quality and hotel image. Simultaneously, they try to convince, engage, and raise awareness for greater participation despite lack of obligation to do so.

Theoretical Implications

Our study contributes to legitimacy theory by highlighting that, in the absence of pressure from key stakeholders (i.e. employees, hotel guests, government, etc.) for CSR, managers still proactively engage in such initiatives. Here, we extend the theoretical notion that managers aim to enhance legitimacy by attempting to satisfy 'demanding' and expectant stakeholders via CSR initiatives (Freeman, 1984). Instead, hotel managers drive initiatives to raise awareness, and, by extension, the expectations of stakeholders. This surprising finding runs contrary to the often-mentioned theoretical presumption where stakeholder demands are a major determinant of how legitimate businesses should behave.

In addition, CSR literature has been criticized by stakeholder theorists for treating stakeholders as equally important (Freeman and McVea, 2001 cited in Pedersen, 2010). There is merit to this criticism as our study showed that our respondents consider owners, employees and guests as more important than, say, NGOs or suppliers since their interests are more closely linked to the hotel's core business activities. Altogether, we shed light on how these managers strike a balance between the strategic business need for operational efficiency, ethical contributions and gaining legitimacy when undertaking CSR initiatives. Managers seem proactive in enhancing firm legitimacy in the eyes of society through CSR rather than being forced to do so reactively by external parties.

To manage pragmatic legitimacy, independent hotel managers appear to prioritize the expectations of hotel owners, since profit is regarded as a prerequisite to business sustainability and investments in CSR (e.g. implementing energy conservation initiatives). Furthermore, there were indications of moral legitimacy when the respondents elaborated on the "right thing to do" aspects of their decision while reputation building, recognition and marketing opportunity aspects are shunned. Lastly, these hotels display little cognitive legitimacy via institutionalizing CSR implementation and establishing formal documented agenda within an established CSR department. Currently, CSR initiatives lack an overarching strategic logic or thrust and seem more a combination of disparate initiatives.

Practical Implications

Falling short of guests' expectations for excellent service quality was a persistent fear mentioned by managers as initiatives (e.g. linen and towel reuse) can be interpreted in ways that may lower customer satisfaction. Therefore, one implication is that hotels need to be careful when communicating their motivation(s) because customers may become skeptical/suspicious if they perceive any discrepancies between stated objectives and subsequent actions (Becker-Olsen et al., 2006). Moreover, there are a wide range of CSR initiatives shared by our respondents that can be adopted by other hotel managers. Even though Malaysian hotel managers undertake CSR to demonstrate their commitment to their employees and the surrounding local communities, it is equally important that they utilize other media (e.g. hotel website or social media) for both promotional and legitimacy building purposes.

For policy makers, our study provides new insights about the nature as well as levels of engagement by Malaysian hotels. Within the context of a growing tourism industry, the Malaysian government can develop more effective strategies, rewards or incentives for

encouraging hotels to improve CSR adoption. In fact, most Malaysian hoteliers mentioned that they tend to closely adhere to government requirements/ recommendations. Therefore, incorporating aspects of CSR into the current star rating system of hotels may prove to be fruitful.

Limitations of the Study and Future Research

The uniqueness, size and purposeful selection of the sample, limit the applicability of the findings to wider geographical locations. According to Lincoln and Guba (1985), qualitative research provides a good avenue for naturalistic generalizations due to the experience that could make the qualitative researcher understand the phenomenon better. Having said that, other researchers propose that this contention holds true unless the context of the research is extremely similar (Rodrigo and Arenas, 2008). Future studies could scrutinize the challenges of certification for the Malaysian hotel sector in a more organized manner. Besides, it is equally important to uncover reasons why Malaysian hotels are not fully utilizing other media (such as websites or social media) to promote their social responsibility practices.

Acknowledgement

The work on which this article is based was funded by Taylor's Graduate School PhD Fellowship Program (Scheme 1), Taylor's University, Lakeside Campus, Malaysia.

Disclosure Statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abaeian, V. 2018. "When in Rome Do as the Romans Do"? A Reflective Account on Methodological Approach During PhD Journey. *In:* MURA P. & C., K.-L. (eds.) *Asian Qualitative Research in Tourism. Perspectives on Asian Tourism.* Singapore: Springer.
- Abaeian, V., Yeoh, K. K. & Khong, K. W. The Antecedents of Adopting Corporate Social Responsibility in the Malaysian Hotel Sector: A Conceptual Model. International Conference on Emerging Trends in Scientific Research, 2014 Pearl International Hotel, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Pak Publishing Group, 440-449.
- Abdulrazak, S. & Ahmad, F. S. 2014. The basis for corporate social responsibility in Malaysia. *Global Business and Management Research*, 6, 210.
- Abel, D. A. & Alfred, O. 2010. Tourism and hospitality small and medium enterprises and environmental sustainability. *Management Research Review*, 33, 818-826.
- Bansal, P. & Roth, K. 2000. Why companies go green: a model of ecological responsiveness. *Academy of Management Journal*, 43, 717-736.
- Barkemeyer, R. 2007. Legitimacy as a key driver and determinant of CSR in developing countries. *Paper for the*.
- Becker-Olsen, K. L., Cudmore, B. A. & Hill, R. P. 2006. The impact of perceived corporate social responsibility on consumer behavior(Full paper). *Journal of Business Research*, 59, 46-53.
- Bhattacharya, C., Sen, S. & Korschun, D. 2008. Using corporate social responsibility to win the war for talent. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 49.
- Bhattacharya, C. B. & Sen, S. 2003. Consumer-company identification: a framework for understanding consumers' relationships with companies. *Journal of marketing*, 76-88.

- Bohdanowicz, P. & Zientara, P. 2008. Corporate Social Responsibility in Hospitality: Issues and Implications. A Case Study of Scandic. *Scandinavian Journal of Hospitality and Tourism*, 8, 271-293.
- Bohdanowicz, P. & Zientara, P. 2009. Hotel Companies' Contribution to Improving the Quality of Life of Local Communities and the Well-Being of Their Employees. *Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 9, 147-158.
- Bohdanowicz, P. & Zientara, P. 2012. CSR-inspired environmental initiatives in top hotel chains. *Tourism Enterprises and the Sustainability Agenda Across Europe*.
- Bohdanowicz, P., Zientara, P. & Novotna, E. 2011. International hotel chains and environmental protection: An analysis of Hilton's we care programme (Europe, 2006–2008). *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 19, 797-816.
- Braun, V. & Clarke, V. 2006. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative research in psychology*, 3, 77-101.
- Carroll, A. B. & Shabana, K. M. 2010. The business case for corporate social responsibility: a review of concepts, research and practice. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 12, 85-105.
- Carson, D., Gilmore, A., Perry, C. & Gronhaug, K. 2001. *Qualitative Marketing Research*, New Delhi, India, Sage Publication Inc.
- Chan, E. S. W. 2008. Barriers to EMS in the hotel industry. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 27, 187-196.
- Christensen, L. J., Mackey, A. & Whetten, D. 2014. Taking responsibility for corporate social responsibility: The role of leaders in creating, implementing, sustaining, or avoiding socially responsible firm behaviors. *The Academy of Management Perspectives*, 28, 164-178.
- Coles, T., Fenclova, E. & Dinan, C. 2013. Tourism and corporate social responsibility: A critical review and research agenda. *Tourism Management Perspectives*, 6, 122-141.
- Corbin, J. & Strauss, A. 2008. Basics of qualitative research: Techniques and procedures for developing grounded theory, Sage.

- Crane, A. & Matten, D. 2016. Business ethics: Managing corporate citizenship and sustainability in the age of globalization, Oxford University Press.
- Crane, A., Matten, D. & Spence, L. J. 2013. Corporate social responsibility in a global context. Chapter in: Crane, A., Matten, D., and Spence, LJ, Corporate Social Responsibility: Readings and Cases in a Global Context, 2, 3-26.
- Creswell, J. W. 2007. Qualitative Inquiry and Resarch Design: Choosing among five approaches. Second edition ed.: Sage Publication, Inc.
- Crotty, M. 1998. The foundations of social research: Meaning and perspective in the research process, Sage.
- Csr Malaysia. 2018. *CSR The Way Forward* [Online]. RHA Media Sdn. Bhd. Available: http://csrmalaysia.org/csr-the-way-forward/ [Accessed July 2018].
- Dahlsrud, A. 2008. How corporate social responsibility is defined: an analysis of 37 definitions. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 15, 1-13.
- Davis, K. 1973. The case for and against business assumption of social responsibilities. *Academy of Management Journal*, 16, 312-322.
- De Roeck, K. & Delobbe, N. 2012. Do environmental CSR initiatives serve organizations' legitimacy in the oil industry? Exploring employees' reactions through organizational identification theory. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 110, 397-412.
- El Dief, M. & Font, X. 2010. The determinants of hotels' marketing managers' green marketing behaviour. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 18, 157-174.
- European Commission 2001. Green paper: promotoing a European framework for corporate social responsibility (Commission of the European Communities, Brussels). Brussels: europa.eu/rapid/press-release.
- Farrington, T., Curran, R., Gori, K., O'gorman, K. D. & Queenan, C. J. 2017. Corporate social responsibility: Reviewed, rated, revised. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 29, 30-47.

- Font, X., Elgammal, I. & Lamond, I. 2017. Greenhushing: the deliberate under communicating of sustainability practices by tourism businesses. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*, 25, 1007-1023.
- Freeman, R. E. 1984. *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*, Boston, Pitman Publishing.
- Freeman, R. E. & Mcvea, J. 2001. A stakeholder approach to strategic management.
- Geerts, W. 2014. Environmental certification schemes: Hotel managers' views and perceptions. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 39, 87-96.
- Glesne, C. 2011. Becoming qualitative researchers: An introduction, Boston, MA, Pearson Education, Inc.
- Graci, S. & Dodds, R. 2008. Why Go Green? The Business Case for Environmental Commitment in the Canadian Hotel Industry. *Anatolia: An International Journal of Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 19, 251-270.
- Green, T. & Peloza, J. 2011. How does corporate social responsibility create value for consumers? *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 28, 48-56.
- Huimin, G., Hui, J. & Yaxing, Z. 2017. Study on the Impact of Corporate Social Responsibility on Hotel Employees' Turnover Intention.
- Jackson, L. A. 2010. Toward a framework for the components of green lodging. *Journal of Retail & Leisure Property*, 9, 211-230.
- Jamali, D. & Mirshak, R. 2007. Corporate social responsibility (CSR): Theory and practice in a developing country context. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 72, 243-262.
- Kakabadse, N. K., Rozuel, C. & Lee-Davies, L. 2005. Corporate social responsibility and stakeholder approach: a conceptual review. *International Journal of Business Governance and Ethics*, 1, 277-302.
- Kasim, A. 2005. Business Environmental and Social Responsibility in the Hotel Sector, Sintok, University Utara Malaysia Press.
- Kaur, I. 2019. CSR in Hotel Industry in India. Corporate Social Responsibility: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications. IGI Global.

- Kucukusta, D., Mak, A. & Chan, X. 2013. Corporate social responsibility practices in four and five-star hotels: Perspectives from Hong Kong visitors. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 34, 19-30.
- Laplume, A. O., Sonpar, K. & Litz, R. A. 2008. Stakeholder theory: Reviewing a theory that moves us. *Journal of Management*, 34, 1152-1189.
- Lee, E. M., Park, S.-Y. & Lee, H. J. 2013. Employee perception of CSR activities: Its antecedents and consequences. *Journal of Business Research*, 66, 1716-1724.
- Lee, S. & Park, S.-Y. 2009. Do socially responsible activities help hotels and casinos achieve their financial goals? *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 28, 105-112.
- Levy, S. E. & Park, S.-Y. 2011. An analysis of CSR activities in the lodging industry. Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management, 18, 147.
- Lincoln, Y. S. & Guba, E. G. 1985. Naturalistic inquiry, Sage.
- Lockett, A., Moon, J. & Visser, W. 2006. Corporate Social Responsibility in Management Research: Focus, Nature, Salience and Sources of Influence*. *Journal of management studies*, 43, 115-136.
- Lofland, J. & Lofland, L. H. 1994. *Analyzing social settings*, London, Wadsworth Publishing Company.
- Lu, J. Y. & Castka, P. 2009. Corporate social responsibility in Malaysia—experts' views and perspectives. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 16, 146-154.
- Mackenzie, M. & Peters, M. 2014. Hospitality managers' perception of corporate social responsibility: An explorative study. *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research*, 19, 257-272.
- Martínez, P., Pérez, A. & Bosque, I. R. D. 2014. CSR influence on hotel brand image and loyalty. *Academia Revista Latinoamericana de Administración*, 27, 267-283.
- Mcgehee, N. G., Wattanakamolchai, S., Perdue, R. R. & Calvert, E. O. 2009. Corporate Social Responsibility Within the U.S. Lodging Industry: an Exploratory Study. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, 33, 417-437.

- Mcwilliams, A., Siegel, D. S. & Wright, P. M. 2006. Corporate social responsibility: Strategic implications. *Journal of Management Studies*, 43, 1-18.
- Mura, P. & Pahlevan Sharif, S. 2015. The crisis of the 'crisis of representation' mapping qualitative tourism research in Southeast Asia. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 1-17.
- Njite, D., Hancer, M. & Slevitch, L. 2011. Exploring Corporate Social Responsibility: A Managers' Perspective on How and Why Small Independent Hotels Engage with Their Communities. *Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality & Tourism*, 12, 177-201.
- O'neill, J. W. & Carlbäck, M. 2011. Do brands matter? A comparison of branded and independent hotels' performance during a full economic cycle. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 30, 515-521.
- Öberseder, M., Schlegelmilch, B. B. & Murphy, P. E. 2013. CSR practices and consumer perceptions. *Journal of Business Research*, 66, 1839-1851.
- Park, S.-Y. & Levy, S. E. 2014. Corporate social responsibility: perspectives of hotel frontline employees. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 26, 332-348.
- Patton, M. Q. 2002. *Qualitative Research and Evaluation Methods*, Newbury Park, CA, Sage.
- Pedersen, E. 2010. Modelling CSR: How Managers Understand the Responsibilities of Business Towards Society. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 91, 155-166.
- Peloza, J. & Hassay, D. N. 2006. Intra-organizational volunteerism: Good soldiers, good deeds and good politics. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 64, 357-379.
- Phillips, R. 2003. Stakeholder legitimacy. Business Ethics Quarterly, 13, 25-41.
- Raviv, C., Becken, S. & Hughey, K. F. 2013. Exploring Values, Drivers, and Barriers as Antecedents of Implementing Responsible Tourism. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, 1096348013491607.
- Rodrigo, P. & Arenas, D. 2008. Do employees care about CSR programs? A typology of employees according to their attitudes. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 83, 265-283.

- Scott, W. R. 1995. Institutions and organizations. Foundations for organizational science. *London: A Sage Publication Series*.
- Sen, S. & Bhattacharya, C. B. 2001. Does doing good always lead to doing better?

 Consumer reactions to corporate social responsibility. *Journal of marketing research*, 225-243.
- Serra-Cantallops, A., Peña-Miranda, D. D., Ramón-Cardona, J. & Martorell-Cunill, O. 2017. Progress in Research on CSR and the Hotel Industry (2006-2015). *Cornell Hospitality Quarterly*, 1938965517719267.
- Suchman, M. C. 1995. Managing legitimacy: Strategic and institutional approaches. *Academy of management review*, 20, 571-610.
- The Economic Planning Unit 2010. 10th Malaysia Plan Putrajaya, Malaysia: Prime Minister's Department.
- The Star Online. 2016. *Defending Malaysia's rich biodiversity* [Online]. Available: https://www.thestar.com.my/news/nation/2016/05/22/defending-malaysias-rich-biodiversity/ [Accessed].
- Theodoulidis, B., Diaz, D., Crotto, F. & Rancati, E. 2017. Exploring corporate social responsibility and financial performance through stakeholder theory in the tourism industries. *Tourism Management*, 62, 173-188.
- Tsai, H., Tsang, N. K. F. & Cheng, S. K. Y. 2012. Hotel employees' perceptions on corporate social responsibility: The case of Hong Kong. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 31, 1143-1154.
- Tzschentke, N., Kirk, D. & Lynch, P. A. 2004. Reasons for going green in serviced accommodation establishments. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 16, 116-124.
- Tzschentke, N. A., Kirk, D. & Lynch, P. A. 2008. Going green: Decisional factors in small hospitality operations. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 27, 126-133.
- Van Marrewijk, M. 2003. Concepts and definitions of CSR and corporate sustainability: Between agency and communion. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 44, 95-105.

- Vidaver-Cohen, D. & Brønn, P. S. 2008. Corporate citizenship and managerial motivation: Implications for business legitimacy. *Business and Society Review*, 113, 441-475.
- Wood, D. J. 1991. Corporate social performance revisited. *Academy of management review*, 16, 691-718.
- Wwf. 2018. *About WWF-Malaysia_What We Do* [Online]. Available: http://www.wwf.org.my/about wwf/what we do/species main/ [Accessed].
- Yin, R. K. 2009. Case study research: Design and methods, Sage publications.
- Zoweil, R. & Montasser, M. 2012. Achieving corporate social responsibility based on human resources dimension: a case study approach, Porto Marina resort in Egypt. *Sustainable Tourism V*, 161, 69.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CONTEMPORARY HOSPITALITY MANAGEMENT

Author Response Form

When revising your paper, please prepare this report explaining how you have responded to each reviewer's comments and suggestions specifically.

REVIEWER A

Suggestions/comments from the Reviewer	Response from the Author(s)
Structured abstract	This has now been included
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	
7.	
8.	
9.	
10.	

REVIEWER B

Suggestions/comments from the Reviewer	Response from the Author(s)
1. Update literature	We have done a scan of appropriate literature and
	revised and updated accordingly
2. Minor grammatical errors	We have undertaken a careful and thorough edit of the
	entire paper
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	
7.	
8.	
9.	
10.	

Many thanks indeed for the opportunity to revise our paper and many thanks to the 3 anonymous reviewers whose comments were thorough and supportive. We now feel that the paper is much stronger.

1. Respond to the reviewers' comments and revise your article accordingly.

We have revised the article in line with the reviewers final comments and these points below.

2. Make sure to follow IJCHM author guidelines closely: https://na01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=http%3A%2F%2Femeraldgrouppublishing.com%2Fproducts%2Fjournals%2Fauthor_guidelines.htm%3Fid%3Dijchm&data=02%7C01%7CFevzi.Okumus%40ucf.edu%7C42ab73282a8c4d19bf0308d614b5182b%7Cbb932f15ef3842ba91fcf3c59d5dd1f1%7C0%7C0%7C636719165467770656&sdata=iSyyfh3A1iQ%2FzZz%2BCxMWEIgHIxoARwOAMjgBUjarGGY%3D&reserved=0For example, when there are three or more authors, you need to use Adam et al., XXXX (or Adam et al., XXXX) format for the first time and after.

We have closely followed the guidelines.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CONTEMPORARY HOSPITALITY MANAGEMENT

Author Response Form

Revisit the Discussion and Conclusions sections one more time to better answer the "So What" question. There should be four sub-sections under this section: (1) Conclusions, (2) Theoretical Implications, (3) Practical Implications and (4) Limitations and Future Research.

We have rewritten the discussion and conclusions section. Hopefully this is now stronger and follows the requisite format.

4. Cross check all references within text with your reference list. You may like to add more recent and relevant references published in recent months/years.

This has been carefully checked and updated.

5. Run your article through iThenticate, Crosscheck or any similar software to check the similarity between your study and previous studies. Try to minimize similarity percentage below 1% with any previous study. After you run your article's final version through iThenticate or other similar software, you should upload the similarity report to the system for our records.

We ran the article through Turnitin. The score is 18% match. These are less than 1% although the item 1 shows 3%, this actually refers to different papers in ResearchGate for some reason. Anything over 1% is linked to a previous chapter/article written by the authors as an earlier piece on this subject.

6. Keep your article below 9000 words including references, tables and figures.

We had agreement that we could extend up to 10K. the total amount now stands at 10131, including the structured abstract (which is in addition to the 10k word limit).

7. Proofread your article one more time and also you may ask a technical writer/copy editor to proofread it for you. After the manuscript is accepted, we will not ask you to proofread it again. In short, after I send you an official acceptance e-mail, you will not be able to make any further changes in your manuscript.

The entire text has bene carefully proofed, and copyedited by a native English speaker.

8. Submit a clean version of your paper. You don't need to show/highlight all the changes made in the paper. I will read its final version anyway.

Done.

9. Include a brief report showing how you have responded to the above requests. You don't need to show/highlight all the changes made in the paper. I will read its final version anyway.

Here it is! Many thanks Babak.