Roland Deines

Acts of God in History

Studies Towards Recovering a Theological Historiography

Edited by Christoph Ochs and Peter Watts

Roland Deines, born 1961; studied Theology and Judaism in Basel, Tübingen and Jeru-salem; 1997 PhD; 2004 Habilitation; 2001–Research Assistant for the Corpus Judaeo-Hellenisticum Novi Testamenti in Jena and Adjunct Professor at the Ben Gurion University in Beer-Sheva, Israel; since 2006 at the University of Nottingham, currently Professor for New Testament Studies.

Christoph Ochs, born 1977; PhD in Theology at the University of Nottingham, currently working as a Research Assistant in the Department of Theology and Religious Studies, University of Nottingham.

Peter Watts, born 1981; PhD candidate and Teaching Associate in Biblical Studies in the Department of Theology and Religious Studies, University of Nottingham.

ISBN 978-3-16-152181-2 ISSN 0512-1604 (Wissenschaftliche Untersuchungen zum Neuen Testament)

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek lists this publication in the Deutsche Nationalbibliographie; detailed bibliographic data are available on the Internet at http://dnb.dnb.de.

© 2013 by Mohr Siebeck Tübingen, Germany, www.mohr.de

This book ma not be reproduced, in whole or in part, in any form (beyond that permitted by copyright law) without the publisher's written permission. This applies particularly to reproductions, translations, microfilms and storage and processing in electronic systems. The book was printed by Gulde-Druck in Tübingen on non-aging paper bound by Buchbinderei Spinner in Ottersweier.

Printed in Germany.

Contents

Abbreviations XX	
God's Role in History as a Methodological Problem for Exegesis	1
1. Towards a Historical-Critical Assessment of the Conviction	
that God Acts in History	
2. Neutrality as the Price for Acceptability	
3. Challenging the Dichotomy of Faith and History	6
4. Positing God in History: Troeltsch, Hengel, and Ratzinger	9
4.1 Ernst Troeltsch (1865–1923)	10
4.2 Martin Hengel (1926–2009)	14
4.3 Joseph Ratzinger/Pope Benedict XVI	17
5. Probings Towards a Theological Historiography	20
Part One: Historical Studies The Social Profile of the Pharisees	29
0. Introduction	29
1. Seeking Power and Influence	
2. The Organizational Quest	45
3. Conclusion	51
Jesus the Galilean: Questioning the Function of Galilee	
in Recent Jesus Research	53
0. Introduction	53
1. Galilee Research as Basis for the Quest of the Historical Jesus	
•	70

XVIII Contents

3.	Archaeology and the Jewish Galilee	75
4.	The Limits of Knowledge about Galilee	80
	The Judean Element in the Jesus Tradition	
6.	Summary	92
Je	sus and the Jewish Traditions of His Time	95
1.	The Importance of Jesus' Jewishness	95
2.	Approaching a Crossroads Again (Schweitzer and Kähler)	102
3.	Scripture as the Touchstone of Tradition	111
4.	The Biblical Jesus as a Historical Task	118
Tl	ne Apostolic Decree: Halakhah for Gentile Christians or	
C	oncession to Jewish Taboos?	121
0.	Introduction	121
1.	The Narrative Context of the Apostolic Decree	
	(Acts 15:19–29; 16:4; 21:25)	125
	1.1 Cleansing by Faith (15:9)	126
	1.2 The Position of James: Halakhah based on Moses	
	or Spirit-authorized Rules of Conduct?	134
2.	Halakhic or Ethnic: What is the Primary Orientation	
	of the Apostolic Decree	148
	2.1 The Preservation of the Jewish Ethnos through	
	the Jewish Ethos in the Diaspora	159
	2.2 The Jewish Ethos in Luke–Acts	
	2.3 ἔθος (and $\mathring{\eta}\theta$ ος) in 4 Maccabees	165
	2.4 The Jewish ἔθνος as Point of Reference	
	of the Apostolic Decree	169
3.	The Four Regulations of the Decree	
	as Indicators of Jewish Identity in the Diaspora	172
	3.1 The Differing Versions of the Apostolic Decree	
	3.2 Idol Worship and Eating of Meat Sacrificed to Idols	175
	3.3 <i>Porneia</i>	
	3.4 That Which is Strangled	
	3.5 Blood	185
	3.6 Summary	186
ΑŢ	ppendix	187

Contents XIX

Part Two: Responses to the God who Acts

Н	ow Long? God's Revealed Schedule for Salvation and the	
O	utbreak of the Bar Kokhba Revolt	191
0.	Introduction	191
1.	How Long? The Cry for God's Help in the Psalter	195
	The Wild Boar of Ps 80 and the Tenth Roman Legion	197
2.	The Plea for God's Limitation of the Time of Punishment	
	in Jeremiah and Zechariah	206
3.	The Search for God's Schedule for	
	Prospective Salvation in Daniel	207
4.	The "Seventy Years" in the Literature	
	after the Destruction of the Second Temple	
5.	The Hopes for a New Cyrus?	213
R	iblical Viewpoints on Repentance, Conversion,	
		225
ai.	nd Turning to God	221
0	Introduction	227
0.	The Conversion of Achior, the Ammonite	
	The Conversion of Prince Izates of Adiabene	
1	Conversion Stories Without Sin or Repentance	
	Conversion Stories Including Sin and Repentance	
	Conversion and the Work of God	
	opendix	
1 -	Penant	201
an i		• • •
П	he Term and Concept of Scripture	263
Λ	Total dest's a	262
	Introduction	
1.	From Holy Scripture(s) to "Holie Bible"	
	1.1 The First Printed Bible	
	1.2 The New Tripartite Bibles	
	1.3 The Changeable Fortune of Bible Printing in England	
2	1.4 Scripture and Doctrine	
	From Holy Scripture to the Word of God	
э.	From God's Word to Holy Scripture	
	3.2 "It is said" in the New Testament	290 201

XX Contents

3.3.1 The Septuagint (LXX)	292			
3.3.2 Philo and Josephus	294			
3.3.3 Scripture as Deposit of Revelation	297			
4. From the Prophet to the Community:				
The Social Dimension of Scripture(s)	300			
5. Conclusion				
Part Three: Methodological Probings				
The Recognition of God's Acts in History in the Gospel of				
Matthew: An Exercise in Salvation History	311			
0. Introduction				
1. Salvation History as a Meaning-enabling Concept of Time	314			
2. The Contribution of Matthew's Gospel to the				
Recognition of God's Acts in History				
2.1 The Demand to Understand the Signs of the Times				
2.2 The Possibility of Recognizing the Signs of the Times				
2.3 Obedience as a Mode of Understanding				
3. How to Employ History for the Purpose of Faith and Salvation	347			
Can the 'Real' Jesus be Identified with the Historical Jesus? Joseph Ratzinger's (Pope Benedict XVI) Challenge				
to Biblical Scholarship	351			
DN4 - 11 134 .	2.50			
Bibliographical Note				
0. Introduction: Geza Vermes on Ratzinger's Jesus	353			
1. The Liberation of Jesus Research from	257			
"Self Limitations of Rational Positivism"				
2. The Inseparability of Faith from History in the Work of Ratzinger				
3. The Historical Jesus is God Acting in History				
4. Yes; No; Yes, But — Reactions to Ratzinger's Jesus Book(s)				
4.1 Supportive Readers ("Yes")				
4.2 Disapproving Readers ("No")				
4.3 Disenchanted Readers				
4.4 Qualifying Readers ("Yes, but")				
4.4.1 Christological Beliefs as Later Projections				
4.4.2 Christological Beliefs Preserved from Historical Enquiry				
5. Is it really that simple? The Objection of Oversimplification				
6. Some First Steps to Take	403			

Contents XXI

Pre-existence, Incarnation, and Messianic Self-Understanding	
of Jesus in the Work of Martin Hengel	407
0. Introduction	407
1. Historical Research in the Service of Theological Truth	408
2. Jesus the Messiah	
3. From 'Charismatic Leader' to the 'Son of God'	420
4. From Son of God to Pre-existence, Incarnation,	
and Mediation in Creation	432
5. Incarnation and the Historical Jesus	440
List of Initial Publications	447
Index of Passages	449
Index of Modern Authors	481
Index of Subjects	
Index of Greek Words	

Preface

It was during the yearly conference of the Society of Biblical Literature in November 2011, that I was pleasantly surprised with the offer to publish a selection of my essays in WUNT. Slightly hesitant at the beginning, mainly because I felt that my essays strayed in too many directions and lacked a coherent theme, I gradually warmed to the idea. I felt honoured by the trust of the series editors, in particular Professor Jörg Frey, and the encouragement of Dr. Henning Ziebritzki from the side of the publisher. Others signalled their support as well, most notably my doctoral student (and now "doctor") Christoph Ochs, who was willing to undertake the tedious task of translating the German papers for this collection into English. His enthusiasm for the project continued until the very end, and I owe him not just the initial translations, but also most of the formatting, improving (especially the pictures for the article on Bar Kokhba) and indexing of the volume. Next to him Peter Watts, doctoral student (who will hopefully be fully a "doctor" when this book is out of the press) and biblical languages teacher in the Department of Theology and Religious Studies here in Nottingham, invested with good humour and never-ending gentleness countless hours to polish, clarify and check what I attempted to say. If the English style does still betray some (many?) Germanisms, the blame is not to be laid on the two editors, but on my stubbornness not to let go of some formulations which sound fine (even 'academic' or 'wissenschaftlich') in German, but not necessarily so in English. It can be said without exaggeration that without their help (and steering pressure) this project could not have been completed in such a short time and in such a satisfying way. I also owe thanks to Lawrence Osborn, the linguistic editor, and Mark Wreford, one of our Nottingham students, for their help in the process of proof-reading and indexing.

I am very glad that the support I received gives me the opportunity to publish some of my German papers in English so that at least my students can benefit from them. Besides, editing translations of my own writings in another language was an interesting experience. It taught me the benefit of having with English a *lingua franca* in contemporary scholarship that allows us to communicate easily with each other across language barriers. But it also revealed the fact that some things can be better expressed either in one language or the other. What makes perfect sense in German can sound rather clumsy in English and vice versa. This is to say that scholarship in general

VIII Preface

and theology in particular should resist the temptation to publish in one language only, as this would mean a real loss for the breadth and depth of our discipline.

The newly translated texts follow the German originals carefully but not slavishly. I took the liberty to clarify some of the points where I felt it necessary. For the sake of a wider readership we also added English translations for quotes in Greek and Hebrew, which was not always the case in the original publications. Translations of works originally cited in German were used throughout where available. Where English translations were unavailable we translated from the German original, which was not easy at times (and in some cases we therefore supplied the German). Whenever works are cited by their German titles only the translations are our own.

The papers that appeared initially in English were also edited linguistically. Again, the main attempt was to improve their readability. The footnotes and bibliographical data were harmonised as far as possible throughout the book without being too anxious about some inconsistencies that may have remained. Most papers were written for research conferences with a clearly defined focus and intended to address colleagues who work in the same field. I hope that this collection and the additional editorial work will be to the benefit of a wider audience. Inevitably, there exists some overlap and repetition, but this would only affect the reader who reads the book from cover to cover. Each essay can be read (and copied) independently and all bibliographical references can be found within the individual essays themselves rather than being consolidated into one single bibliography at the end. This would have saved us perhaps two or three pages but the inconvenience for the reader would be much greater, and the publishing house of Mohr Siebeck is to be praised that they do not bargain with their authors about a few pages more or less. When I occasionally hear from my colleagues that they have to cut their bibliographies or delete source quotations because they went over the agreed word count or number of pages I am always deeply thankful for Mohr Siebeck's generosity and dedication to the wishes and needs of their authors.

Re-publication, especially when combined with translation, is tempting insofar as it offers the chance for major additions and changes. A tight time frame (not least because of the impending "Research Excellence Framework," abbreviated REF, which assesses the quality of research in UK higher education institutions on a regular basis) and, more importantly, the conviction that the Humanities are 'slow' disciplines, 1 reined back any such temptations to a minimum.

¹ This means that a proposal or thesis needs time to be disseminated and to make an impact. The availability of texts in electronic form makes them seem easily and quickly available but this is an advantage that does not really matter in the long run. What matters, however, is whether one finds readers willing to engage critically and supportively and this

Preface IX

The articles are redacted in the following ways: New literature is added only to a very small degree and somewhat randomly. I usually try to integrate in my papers a representative and fairly wide amount of the literature available and relevant to me at the time of writing, and to interact with it as much as possible. As our discipline produces ever more literature it is impossible to keep up with every topic covered in this volume, let alone to engage all the relevant studies thoroughly in a re-publication. I have, however, added references to some of my later publications if I have re-addressed one of the topics, which then often includes discussions of further literature.

The at times (admittedly) extensive footnotes are indicative of my way of engaging with colleagues and my desire to take them seriously. Just to list literature without pointing out to the reader where I agree or disagree with other perspectives and how I tried to develop my own understanding with the help of colleagues does not work well for me. I admire the often almost footnote-free monographs of my British colleagues who are able to present their arguments with great elegance, almost leaving no traces of the hard work that was put into writing them. Having learned my craft from Martin Hengel, I have developed a rather different style, one which (hopefully) shows the material out of which the structure is built. The footnotes serve as an archive for those who want to know about the 'archaeology' of an argument, but the hope is that everything above the line separating text and footnotes can be read and understood without the latter.

Most of these essays have been written since my appointment in the Department of Theology and Religious Studies in Nottingham (2006), and their editing and reworking for their initial (and now re-) publication took place here in all cases. The strong theological orientation of the Department and its refreshing approach to reality and truth made it possible to further develop thoughts that are normally not at the forefront of historically oriented biblical scholarship but are unavoidable if the historical enquiry is confronted with the question of God, who is, after all the key subject matter of theology and the Bible. This environment, therefore, allowed ideas to resurface which I had written down for the first time as a student in my twenties but somehow became buried during the following years when I started my academic career, following the established (and subtly but inescapably enforced) conventions to discern strictly between the scholarly task as a historian and convictions accepted as true as a Christian. The latter were to be located somewhere in a religious hinterland not to be visited during the scholarly expeditions into the past. What blurs such a convenient separation, however, is that which finally

can easily take ten years or so, sometimes even longer. Therefore it is not necessary to update a paper constantly in the light of new literature, because new literature in our fields of research does not usually provide new data (as in the sciences) but rather competing readings of the same sources.

X Preface

became the title of this collection: God acts in history.² This is the conviction, based on historical experiences, of those people to whom we owe the biblical and related texts. And it is the conviction (and experience) of those who are Christians (and also those of other faiths) today. The ideal of a strict separation, therefore, between professional, distanced scholarly enquiry of past experiences of the God who acts, and the theologically accepted and daily expressed conviction of him continuing acting as creator, sustainer and perfecter of this world and its history, has lost its persuasiveness.

All essays in this volume touch upon the question of God acting in this world and the possibility of experiencing him, in some way. This is, however, not the result of a programmatic outline with which I started in order to prove my case, but rather a common thread that became visible (even to me) only from hindsight. This explains what some might regard as a serious omission, namely a closer engagement with the — fortunately very lively — current debate on the concepts of history and historiography within Biblical Studies, which I follow to a greater degree than is visible via the bibliographies of these essays.³ Some closer engagement can be found in the essay on salvation

It was only after I had decided on this fairly presumptuous title that I came across G. Ernest Wright's small book with the title: *God Who Acts: Biblical Theology as Recital* (Studies in Biblical Theology 8; London: SCM, 1950). It was written at the peak of dialectical theology, with its emphasis on "the Word of God," whereas Wright notes — correctly to my mind — that "a more accurate title [for the Bible] would be 'the Acts of God.' The Word is certainly present in the Scripture, but it is rarely, if ever, dissociated from the Act; instead it is the accompaniment of the Act" (12). I also saw only recently, glancing over the first two volumes — fresh from the press — of James Barr, *Bible and Interpretation: The Collected Essays of James Barr* (ed. John Barton; Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2013), that he took up Wright's phrase and the implied question after "the reality of God's work in history" (ibid., 2.34), with which he wrestled critically — as it seems — throughout his life.

³ A brilliant summary of this discussion can be found in Ruben Zimmermann, "Geschichtstheorien und Neues Testament: Gedächtnis, Diskurs, Kultur und Narration in der historiographischen Diskussion," Early Christianity 2 (2011): 417-44, which demonstrates the strength and limitations of these new theories which are all more or less critical of the idea of a factual history behind the historical stories. Disconcerting however is the language Zimmermann uses when he laments that the idea of a history (and related to it of 'facts' and not narratives only) is "unausrottbar" ("ineradicable" [432]). I was not aware that it is the task of historians (or exegetes) to eradicate certain convictions, especially those held by most before the 'linguistic turn.' But I fully agree with Zimmermann that we need to discuss our historiographical conceptions and specify our methodologies for what is indeed a common task, namely to enable meaning ("Sinnstiftung") by fostering "confidence in God's salvific history with the world as it found its narrative condensation in the master narrative of Israel's and Jesus of Nazareth' history ("Der Verzicht auf Sinnstiftung oder gar die bewusste Destruktion des Sinns entzieht dem Neutestamentler die Berechtigung seines Tuns. Das Vertrauen in Gottes Heilsgeschichte mit der Welt, wie sie sich in den Meistererzählungen der Geschichte Israels und Jesu von Nazareth narrativ niedergeschlagen hat, muss für Theologen ein notwendiges Postulat historiographischen Arbeitens am Neuen Testament bleiben" [444]).

Preface XI

history, but I am aware that more could be done on this side. What seems missing in this discussion within Biblical Studies, however, or at least what I miss in it — which might be due to my own fault by not searching in the right direction — is an engagement with the role of "transempirical realities" (a term I owe to my Nottingham colleague Anthony C. Thiselton) within the historical process. To simply ignore them for the sake of methodological purity (begging the question of who or what defines what is 'pure') is in my eyes neither attractive nor upright for a Christian theologian. The hope for these selected studies is therefore to contribute towards the task of recovering a theologically motivated historiography, and to seek a viable reading of history under the assumption that the one God to whom the Holy Scriptures of the Jewish-Christian tradition bear witness is indeed a major cause — indeed 'the' cause — in our world, disposed to manifest himself so that he can be experienced and witnessed in such a way that this witness allows others to experience the same God.

The introduction of this collection, "God's Role in History as a Methodological Problem for Exegesis," is based on my Tyndale New Testament Lecture, which I had the honour of delivering during the Triennial Conference in July 2009. It addressed these questions about God, history, and how to scholarly engage this topic by means of a public lecture delivered to a wider theological audience comprising not only biblical scholars but representatives of other theological disciplines as well. Those familiar with the British theological scene will know that the Tyndale Fellowship, which organises these lectures, represents a high view of Scripture and orthodox Christian doctrine.⁵ It is the only part in this book where the original context is deliberately left to shine through, so as to allow the reader to understand my main theological objectives more explicitly, which could be formulated in an affirmative and less guarded way in this context. Although all the other essays originated as conference papers too, they were heavily reworked for publication, and

⁴ For a very interesting debate in this respect see Brad S. Gregory, "The Other Confessional History: On Secular Bias in the Study of Religion," *History and Theory* 45 (2006): 132–49; Tor Egil Førland, "Acts of God? Miracles and Scientific Explanation," *History and Theory* 47 (2008): 483–94; Brad S. Gregory, "No Room for God? History, Science, Metaphysics, and the Study of Religion," ibid., 495–519; Tor Egil Førland, "Historiography without God: A Reply to Gregory," ibid., 520–32. From the perspective of Catholic systematic theology a challenging thesis was made by Klaus von Stosch, *Gott – Macht – Geschichte: Versuch einer theodizeesensiblen Rede vom Handeln Gottes in der Welt* (Freiburg: Herder, 2006). For a Protestant systematic-theological reading of Jesus as God's revelation, which deals profoundly with New Testament research see Michael Welker, *Gottes Offenbarung: Christologie* (Neukirchen-Vluyn: Neukirchener, 2012).

⁵ Cf. Thomas A. Noble, *Tyndale House and Fellowship: Research for the Academy and the Church — The First Sixty Years* (Leicester: IVP, 2006).

XII Preface

therefore betray fewer traces of their original 'Sitz im Leben'. The Tyndale Lecture also demonstrates that I am not postulating a method for others to follow but that I am trying to formulate what I think needs to be explored and discussed more fully in the future. It is therefore the least 'finished' contribution of this volume but correspondingly the most inviting one.

The first group of essays, classified as "Historical Studies," functions as a preparation for the following. With the exception of "Jesus and the Jewish Traditions of His Time" these were written before I allowed myself to get involved in the search for a theologically grounded historiography (which was set in motion, if I look back, by the first volume of Joseph Ratzinger / Pope Benedict XVI, *Jesus of Nazareth*, in 2007). They show from different areas of the biblical-Jewish tradition what the historical method is able to achieve when it seriously factors in religious convictions as of decisive relevance. These studies all have in common that they deal with groups and individuals who considered God's acts in history, from creation to their own present, as something meaningful and of significance, and thus responded in that they altered their own behaviour accordingly.

"The Social Profile of the Pharisees," as is argued in the first essay, cannot be understood adequately if their efforts for influence are primarily seen as grasping for social prestige and their religious convictions are only taken as a means to this end. Instead, the attempt is made here to see their social involvement as an overflow of their understanding of God's will for his people. The subsequent study on the role of Galilee in recent Jesus research, entitled "Jesus the Galilean," demonstrates (albeit unintentionally) how secular ideology has taken the place of religious convictions and retrospectively seeks to read its own ideals into the biblical texts. The essay on "Jesus and the Jewish Traditions of His Time" then seeks to show to what extent the figure of Jesus of Nazareth is really an exception historically. In the light of the fact that Jesus research of the last 30 years has been able to draw on Jewish comparative material to hitherto unprecedented levels of detail, such a conclusion is warranted. In fact, the contemporary search for the historical Jesus has reached the point where it has to concede that the mere comparison of Jesus to various historical parallels is not able to account for the mystery of his existence and his historical impact. Finally, the study on "the Apostolic Decree" identifies its guiding principle as behaviour in conformity to an intrinsic order of creation, that Jews could also expect non-Jews to respect. Creation, God's foundational life-giving act, is as such the central point of reference for the ordering of the new, God-given, community of Jews and non-Jews in the name of Jesus.

The second set of studies, "Responses to the God who Acts," show how the experience of God's acts in history engenders historical effects, which

Preface XIII

themselves then initiate the formation of religious tradition and in doing so enable new experiences with God that subsequently affect history.

The first essay in this group, "How Long? God's Revealed Schedule for Salvation and the Outbreak of the Bar Kokhba Revolt," is on the causes that gave rise to the Bar Kokhba revolt in the year 132 AD. This concrete example demonstrates how the situational reading of Holy Scriptures became a determinative factor in the historical processes after the destruction of the Second Temple in 70 AD. The guiding principle is, again, the conviction that in order to understand these events one must factor in that Jewish readers of Scripture at that time tried to understand their own present in the light of biblical texts, 'overscribing' their own experiences and relation with God with those of the past. The question in the title "How Long?" formulates a reasonable biblically generated attitude in that regard, that the Temple would not remain in ruin and that the judgement of the people would not remain forever. The psalmists's question echoed, as such, once again over the ruins of Jerusalem, and the answers derived from the Holy Scriptures determined the expectations and the interpretation of the historical events at the time of Hadrian. Since God had acted on behalf of his people in the past, an analogous act was also to be expected for the immediate future. But the hope that God would step in also implied by necessity that the faithful would not have to wait passively, but, on the contrary, it was able to motivate them to the highest levels of activity. One can, therefore, observe in the historical process an attitude, which also provides a better understanding of religiously motivated zeal in contemporary society.6 The second study, "Biblical Viewpoints on Repentance, Conversion, and Turning to God," which is the only one that was not written for professional exegetes or historians of religion, shows that conversion, as presented in the biblical tradition, can be defined as a reaction on part of humans to an action of God. The affected persons have experienced this action as so striking that they do not wish to remain as they were and as if this encounter with God had never taken place, but instead to enter into a new loyalty relationship with this God. The frequently felt difficulty to arrive at a positive understanding of the process of conversion — and this is one of the theses of this paper — is intrinsically related to the banishment of God from the public discourse into the ether of the 'world of faith.' In other words, God is not understood as active in the present and as such relegated to a reality that is ultimately not relevant to the 'real world.' The last study in this middle group, "The Term and Concept of Scripture," deals with the issue of how

⁶ On this see also Roland Deines, "Gab es eine jüdische Freiheitsbewegung? Martin Hengels 'Zeloten' nach 50 Jahren," in *Die Zeloten. Untersuchungen zur jüdischen Freiheitsbewegung in der Zeit von Herodes I. bis 70 n. Chr.* (Martin Hengel; ed. Roland Deines and Claus-Jürgen Thornton; WUNT 283; 3rd rev. ed.; Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2011), 403–48, esp. 439ff.

XIV Preface

biblical texts became Scripture, and shows how the development of what in the end became the canonical "Holy Scriptures" cannot be comprehended without any regard for the experiences of God's acting and speaking in and through these texts. The fact that such texts in their pre-canonical state were handed on from generation to generation is intrinsically linked to their ability to mediate a fresh encounter with God that is detached from the original historical situation.

The final group, "Methodological Probings," comprises three studies that attempt to break through the methodological limitations that historical exegesis has imposed on itself. That this is more of an 'attempt' and a tentative 'probing' is due to the fact that one has to rescover here a dimension that for the last 300 years has been pushed to the back of the exegetical agenda, although the Christian faith would never have come into existence without the firm belief in a God who acts in a discernable and comprehensible way. These probings, however, are not to be read as a backward longing for a premodern dogmatic exegesis, as if the future is to be found in the past. Rather, it is an attempt to step forward without wanting to negate the insights of the Enlightenment as a period of learning and better understanding of the conditioning of what we can know. This led to a necessary disenchantment of the historicity and factuality of Christian dogma that was too naively taken for granted, and with it allowed the historical quest as a theologically necessary one to resurface. That the God of the biblical prophets and of Jesus is different from that of the philosophers is not the smallest contribution we owe this period. Yet one should refuse to see the Enlightenment as the final word for all times.

The first study, "The Recognition of God's Acts in History," deals with the controversial topic of salvation history, by which the history of God's special acts within the course of human history is meant. Salvation history is defended as a life-enhancing conception of time, which ought to be seen as the specific contribution of theology that is able to advance the contemporary discourses about time and history. The Gospel of Matthew provides a case study, and demonstrates how Matthew presents Jesus' life and teaching as divine revelation; one that is possible to be recognized, and which therefore demands a response.

The two final essays pick up on important insights of Pope Benedict and my teacher Martin Hengel respectively. The attempt is made to bring these to bear on a future exegesis that not only suffices itself with tracing human thinking about God's acts, but to explore the reality of divine acts as a factor in historical processes. In "Can the 'Real' Jesus be Identified with the Historical Jesus?" I discuss Joseph Ratzinger's three Jesus books, and the challenge of biblical scholarship they represent. Benedict's conviction that God acts in this world in a discernible and thus describable way has to be reciprocated by

Preface XV

a historical methodology that allows God to be recognized as such. This means for Ratzinger that — at the least for Christian theologians and exegetes — the historical Jesus ought to be understood as God acting in history. This, however, involves a paradigm shift in the current methodological approach, and I conclude with a discussion of the need for this shift and some suggestions for how a new critical methodology might be found. In fact, this book is a humble attempt towards such a new way of doing historical-critical research "as if God is a given" (veluti si Deus daretur).7 Finally, in "Preexistence, Incarnation, and Messianic Self-Understanding of Jesus," I analyse Martin Hengel's important contribution to Christology, namely how Jesus' self-understanding provides a link between the historical Jesus and the preexistent, incarnate Son of God. For Hengel, the development of a very early high Christology, traceable by the means and methods of historical research, points to Jesus messianic self-awareness and authority who saw himself as acting in the place of God, a testimony accepted and purposely perpetuated by his followers. This, to Hengel, is a unique *historical* phenomenon.

These essays, then, ought to be understood as a contribution to striving towards a theologically motivated historiography that has as its basic task the exploration and description of the reality of this world and her history under the premise that God, as witnessed in the Holy Scriptures of the Judeo-Christian tradition, is really creator, sustainer, and the fulfilment of this world and its history, or, to say it again with the words of my Nottingham colleague:

Christian doctrine relates closely to memory of God's saving acts in history; attention to God's present action in continuity with those saving acts; and trustful expectation of an eschatological fulfillment of divine promises.⁸

All essays in this collection were written at a time when I had the privilege to encounter — in very different ways — three great 'old' men, each of whom have a lasting impact on my work. First of all Professor Martin Hengel, whose influence on my theological development started in 1985 and lasts beyond his death in 2009. My gratitude to his inspiring influence and neverceasing interest in my — and all his other students' — work is expressed in two of the articles in the third part which were occasioned by conferences in his honour. He passed away while I was working on the Tyndale lecture that forms the introduction to this volume. This was less than a year after I had the privilege to accompany him and his wife, together with Professor Peter Stuhlmacher, to the "Schülerkreistreffen" of Pope Benedict in Castelgandolfo, where he and Prof. Stuhlmacher held lectures in the presence of the

On this phrase see below p. 358.

⁸ Anthony C. Thiselton, *The Hermeneutics of Doctrine* (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2007), 65.

XVI Preface

Pope to discuss with him and his students the quest for the historical Jesus.⁹ My interest in the work (and person) of Joseph Ratzinger is fairly recent and started only shortly before this meeting as a result of the publication of the first volume of his Jesus of Nazareth (2007). It was during preparation for a Nottingham conference held in the Department of Theology and Religious Studies in June 2008 that I read from and about him for the first time, but this resulted in a deep reverence for his contribution to the Church, and to New Testament and Jesus scholarship in particular, although I am aware that not many share my appreciation of what I call in my essay "a friendly but intentional intrusion into exegetical territory." To meet him in the same year in person and to see him listen attentively and engage with my own teachers from Tübingen added to my admiration for him as a scholar and as a Christian. This is why I regard him as one of the great old men who enriches my life, even if only from a distance. In yet another way I came to meet Dr. Heinz-Horst Deichmann, who is the rare but truly inspiring combination of a medical doctor by training, successful businessman, devoted Christian and lifelong student of Karl Barth, in whose vision and generosity originated the "Deichmann Program for Jewish and Christian Literature of the Hellenistic-Roman Era" at The Department of Bible, Archaeology, and Ancient Near East Studies of Ben-Gurion University of the Negev (Beer-Sheva, Israel). It was launched in 2003 and I had the honour to be involved in it from the beginning. Its most visible activity is the *Deichmann Annual Lecture Series*, which celebrated its tenth anniversary this year. 10 These ten years have involved many meetings, visits, and talks, and the dedication of this volume to Dr. Heinz-Horst Deichmann is a token of gratitude for his support of Jewish and Biblical Studies (among the many other necessary things for human welfare that he very generously supports), but even more so for his friendship.

Nottingham, September 2013

Roland Deines

⁹ The meeting is documented in: Gespräch über Jesus: Papst Benedikt XVI. im Dialog mit Martin Hengel, Peter Stuhlmacher und seinen Schülern in Castelgandolfo 2008 (ed. Peter Kuhn; Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2010), and for my slightly personal review of it see Roland Deines, Jahrbuch für Evangelikale Theologie 25 (2011): 244–8.

¹⁰ For his motivation see his own reflections: Heinz-Horst Deichmann, "Opening Remarks to the First Deichmann Annual Lecture Series," Appendix 1 in Larry Hurtado, *How on Earth Did Jesus Become a God? Historical Questions about Earliest Devotion to Jesus* (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2005), 207–14 (the first four chapters of this book were delivered as the first Deichmann Lectures). For his life story see Andreas Malessa and Hanna Schott, *Why Are You Rich, Mr. Deichmann? The Deichmann Story: How to Deal with Money and Responsibility* (Wuppertal: R. Brockhaus, 2006).