## ZrCl<sub>2</sub>(η-C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-AlHCl<sub>2</sub>•(THF)<sub>2</sub>: Efficient hydroalumination of terminal alkynes and cross-coupling of the derived alanes

Philip Andrews,<sup>a</sup> Christopher M. Latham,<sup>a</sup> Marc Magre,<sup>a</sup> Darren Willcox<sup>a</sup> and Simon Woodward<sup>\*a</sup>

Stabilized AlHCl<sub>2</sub>•(THF)<sub>2</sub> hydroaluminates RC=CH with exceptional chemo-, regio- and stereoselectivity under efficient  $ZrCl_2(\eta-C_5Me_5)_2$  catalysis (2-5 mol%). The resulting vinyl alanes undergo palladium cross-coupling with a wide range of sp<sup>2</sup> electrophiles (aryl, heteroaryl and vinyl halides/pseudohalides) in good to excellent yields.

Despite the intrinsic usefulness of Zweifel's HAlBu<sup>i</sup><sub>2</sub> (DIBAL-H), direct formation of a-vinylalanes **1** (Scheme 1, Y = Bu<sup>i</sup>) by this reagent using terminal acetylenes is not without issues:<sup>1,2</sup> (i) Neat DIBAL-H is significantly pyrophoric. (ii) Direct thermal reaction of RC=CH and DIBAL-H in heptane (Negishi's procedure<sup>3</sup>) is limited to R = alkyl as more electron withdrawing R groups lead to significant alkyne deprotonation (giving **2**) not hydroalumination. (iii) Attaining highly chemo- and regioselective terminal alkyne hydroalumination under such conditions can be challenging (i.e. formation of only (*E*)-**1** without any **2** or (*Z*)-**1**, or **3**). Sometimes relatively high terminal alkyne surrogates.<sup>5</sup> (iv) Alkyne over reduction (leading to **4-5**) and functional group intolerance are also known common issues. (v) The presence of bulky, potentially transferable, *i*-butyl groups in alanes **1** (R = Bu<sup>i</sup>) is also known to complicate or slow subsequent transmetallation to modern selective catalysts when using such vinylalanes as terminal *C*-nucleophiles in cascade approaches.



**Scheme 1** Desired (*E*)-**1** and common by-products in hydroalumination of RC=CH by HAIY<sub>2</sub> (Y =  $Bu^{i}$ , Cl).

Because of the above limitations alternative hydrometalation strategies<sup>6</sup> have been developed, often using B- and Zr-based reagents. Vinylboranes although easily prepared can be sensitive to hydrodeborination in subsequent couplings unless more expensive pinacol<sup>7</sup> or MIDA-based<sup>8</sup> reagents are used. Moreover, transmetallation or other activation of vinylboranes is typically required for such species. While stoichiometric use of the Schwartz reagent<sup>9</sup> ZrHCl(h-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub> with RC=CH does offer clean access to vinyl zircocenyl species, analogous of (*E*)-**1**, this is at the cost of poor mass/atom economy.

In seeking a route to (*E*)-**1**, where Y is a small, non transferable group, we considered dichloroalane (HAlCl<sub>2</sub>). Although there is no report of terminal alkyne hydroalumination<sup>10</sup> with this reagent, its use is attractive as stabilized adducts<sup>11</sup> (including some formed directly from AlCl<sub>3</sub>/Al powder and H<sub>2</sub> at large scales<sup>12</sup>) are already known. We could note that some of these can be

handled for short times in air (ca. 30 min.) and thought the decreased reactivity of such adducts might allow clean formation of (*E*)-**1** without the complications of Scheme 1. Preliminary studies revealed  $HAlCl_2 \cdot (THF)_2$  provided the desired reactivity in tests with 1-decyne (Table 1). The relative populations of **1-5** are most easily attained by integration of the singlets in the <sup>2</sup>H{<sup>1</sup>H} decoupled NMR spectrum of the crude, D<sub>2</sub>O quenched, reaction mixtures. This simple mechanistic technique does not seem to have been greatly used before to screen hydroaluminations (see Supporting Data for details).

Run	Catalyst <sup>b</sup>	Conversion./% <sup>c</sup>	(E)- <b>1</b> :(Z)-
			1:2:3:4:5 <sup>d</sup>
1	None	7	<b>90</b> :0:0:0:0:10
2	Cp <sub>2</sub> TiCl <sub>2</sub> (5 mol%)	77	<b>53</b> :2:0:42:1:2
3	Cp <sub>2</sub> ZrCl <sub>2</sub> (5	up to 51	<b>74</b> :0:15:5:5:0
	mol%)		
4	Cp* <sub>2</sub> TiCl <sub>2</sub> (5	>98	<b>75</b> :3:0:6:14:3 <sup>e</sup>
	mol%)		
5	$Cp*_2ZrCl_2$ (5	95	<b>87</b> :0:1:2:7:3
	mol%)		
6	$Cp*_2ZrCl_2$ (2	85	<b>85</b> :0:0:2:11:2
	mol%)		
7	$Cp_{2}^{*}ZrCl_{2}$ (1	77	<b>82</b> :0:0:2:15:2
	mol%)		
8	Cp* <sub>2</sub> ZrCl <sub>2</sub> (0.5	71	<b>75</b> :0:0:3:18:3
	mol%)		

**Table 1** Hydroalumination of 1-decyne by HAICl<sub>2</sub>•(THF)<sub>2</sub> (1.5 equiv.)<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Chemistry of Scheme 1 (R = C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>17</sub>, Y = Cl) using 1-decyne (1.0 mmol) in THF (1.4 mL) at 80 °C. <sup>b</sup> Cp is  $\eta$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, Cp\* is  $\eta$ -C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>. <sup>c</sup> By <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy of the crude product. <sup>d</sup> By <sup>2</sup>H{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectroscopy on D<sub>2</sub>O quenched reaction mixture. <sup>e</sup> Minor additional uncharacterised components and d<sub>0</sub>-alkene also present.

The alane alone gave chemoselective hydroalumination but its rate was unacceptable (Run 1). Titanocene dichloride was active but poorly regioselective (Run 2). Simple zircocene dichloride inhibited conversion provided poorer and variable conversions (Run 3). We speculated this was due to the formation of stable dichloroalane adducts, perhaps related to  $Cp_2Zr(\mu-H)(\mu-H_2AlCl_2)ZrCp_2$ ,<sup>13</sup> and planned to disrupt this via use of sterically encumbered metallocenes.<sup>14</sup> While  $Cp*_2TiCl_2$  has been of employed in LiAlH<sub>4</sub> alkene hydroaluminations<sup>15</sup> its use here had two negative features: firstly, with HAlCl<sub>2</sub>.(THF)<sub>2</sub> it gave unclean reactivity generating (*Z*)-1, **3-5** and hydrogen transfer products being; secondly, its literature preparation and cost are very uninviting.<sup>16</sup> As far as we can determine,  $Cp*_2ZrCl_2$  has not been used in hydroalumination before. However, this pre-catalyst is highly potent for terminal alkyne HAlCl<sub>2</sub> addition (Runs 5-8), the only significant by-product being some **4**, which shows no reactivity towards electrophiles vs. (*E*)-1 in subsequent catalysis.

A number of practical issues make the use of  $Cp^*_2ZrCl_2$ -HAlCl<sub>2</sub>•(THF)<sub>2</sub> rather attractive: (i) The alane (a non pyrophoric colourless crystalline solid) is easily prepared on at least 50 g scales (see Supporting Data) and it can be handled in air for up to 30 min with <5% decomposition. While this is not as robust as our air-stable AlMe<sub>3</sub> analogue DABAL-Me<sub>3</sub>, it does allow prompt weighing of gram quantities in the open laboratory.<sup>17</sup> (ii)  $Cp^*_2ZrCl_2$  is commercially available or alternatively easily prepared.<sup>18</sup> (iii) Simple distillation of the alkyne allows low (2 mol%) catalyst loadings and short reaction times (down to 2 h) to be used. (iv) At 5 mol% the catalyst system is really rather robust and will often tolerate unpurified alkynes, variable reaction times (2-16 h) and alternative use of dioxane as a solvent.<sup>19</sup> (iv) Terminal alkynes with more acidic ≡CH bonds are also well tolerated (aryl- an eneynes). (v) For more sterically encumbered alkynes (e.g.  $ArC\equiv CH$ ,  $Bu^{t}C\equiv CH$ )  $Cp^{*}{}_{2}ZrCl_{2}$  could be replaced by simple titanocene dichloride without appreciable formation of **3**. (vi) No transmetallation or solvent switch in needed allowing one-pot procedures. The applicability of these features was first demonstrated through simple cross-coupling of (*E*)-PhCH=CHAlCl<sub>2</sub> to PhBr (Table 2). Dichlorovinylalanes are rather rare, but synthetically useful, species mentioned only in passing in the literature.<sup>20</sup>

	Ph   	(i) HAICl <sub>2</sub> •2THF (2.1 catalyst (5 mol%),THF	Ph 🔨			
	    	<ul> <li>(ii) PhBr (1.0 equiv.), Pd₂(dba)₃•CHCl₃</li> <li>(3 mol%), X-Phos (4 mol%), additive, THF, 2 h, 80 °C</li> </ul>				
	Run	Hydroalumination	Additive <sup>c</sup>	Yield/% <sup>d</sup>		
_		Catalyst <sup>b</sup>				
	1	Cp <sub>2</sub> TiCl <sub>2</sub> (5 mol%)	None	75		
	2 Cp <sub>2</sub> TiCl <sub>2</sub> (5 mol%)		DABCO	94 <sup>e</sup>		
	3	Cp* <sub>2</sub> ZrCl <sub>2</sub> (5 mol%)	None	81		
	4	$Cp*_2ZrCl_2$ (5 mol%)	DABCO	94 <sup>e</sup>		

<b>Table 2</b> Optimisation of the hydrodianination (1035 coupling procedure)
---

<sup>a</sup> Chemistry of Scheme 1 (R = Ph, Y = Cl) using phenylacetylene (2.00 mmol) in THF (4 mL) at 80  $^{\circ}$ C. <sup>b</sup> Cp is h-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, Cp\* is h-C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>. <sup>c</sup> 0.7 equiv. based on phenylacetylene. <sup>d</sup> By GC (FactorFour column) tridecane internal standard. <sup>e</sup> Isolated yield.

Conditions similar to our related DABAL-Me<sub>3</sub> coupling proved effective.<sup>21</sup> In particular, addition of DABCO to form the DABAL-(vinyl)Cl<sub>2</sub> reagent *in situ* led to a maximised yield of the desired (*E*)-product. Satisfyingly, the presence of neither the zirconium catalyst nor small amounts of **4** (Y = Cl) inhibit the coupling and no other co-promoter was needed. Extending this procedure raises three key questions: firstly, how general is the cross-coupling procedure with respect to the alkyne and electrophile? Secondly, is Pd-catalysed cross-coupling limited to the proprietary X-phos ligand? Finally, how does this procedure 'measure up' against established DIBAL-H based processes? The generality of the two-stage process is demonstrated in Table 3. The required vinyl alanes were attained from precursor alkynes using Cp\*<sub>2</sub>ZrCl<sub>2</sub> (5 mol%) with reaction times of 2-16 h; for hindered alkynes Cp<sub>2</sub>TiCl<sub>2</sub> (5 mol%, 2 h) was sometimes used. In reaction of HO(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>C=CH extra equivalents of HAlCl<sub>2</sub>•(THF)<sub>2</sub> was used together with InCl<sub>3</sub> co-catalysis.<sup>22</sup> Similar approaches were used for pyridyl and quinoyl bromides.

Table 3 Scope of the hydroalumination/cross-coupling procedure<sup>a</sup>



Run	Catalyst	<sup>b</sup> R <sup>1</sup>	R <sup>2</sup>	Х	Yield/% <sup>c</sup>
1	Zr	$C_6H_{13}$	Ph	Br	98
2	Zr	$C_8H_{17}$	Ph	Br	96
3	Zr	Bu <sup>i</sup>	Ph	Br	88
4	Ti	Bu <sup>t</sup>	Ph	Br	93 <sup>d</sup>
5	Zr	Ph	Ph	Ι	98
6	Zr	Ph	Ph	OTf	86 <sup>e</sup>
7	Zr	Ph	Ph	Cl	41
8	Zr	$BnO(CH_2)_3$	Ph	Br	75
9	Zr	HO(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub>	Ph	Br	61 <sup>f</sup>
10	Ti	Bu <sup>t</sup>	3,5-Me₂Ph	Br	86
11	Zr	$C_6H_{13}$	3,5-Me₂Ph	Br	95
12	Zr	Ph	3,5-Me₂Ph	Br	96
13	Ti	Bu <sup>t</sup>	1-napthyl	Br	71
14	Zr	$C_6H_{13}$	1-naphthyl	Br	57
15	Zr	$C_6H_{13}$	2-naphthyl	Br	91
16	Ti	Bu <sup>t</sup>	3-MeOPh	Br	71
17	Ti	Bu <sup>t</sup>	4-CF₃Ph	Br	71
18	Zr	$C_6H_{13}$	4-CF₃Ph	Br	94
19	Zr	$C_6H_{13}$	3-(NO <sub>2</sub> )Ph	Br	55
20	Zr	$C_6H_{13}$	4-(CO <sub>2</sub> Me)Ph	Br	85
21	Zr	$C_6H_{13}$	4-MePh	Br	87
22	Ti	Bu <sup>t</sup>	2-MePh	Br	81
23	Zr	$C_6H_{13}$	2-MePh	Br	92
24	Ti	Bu <sup>t</sup>	3-MePh	Br	65
25	Zr	$C_6H_{13}$	3-MePh	Br	95
26	Zr	$C_6H_{13}$	mesityl	Br	99
27	Zr	$C_6H_{13}$	4-(CN)Ph	Br	95
28	Zr	$C_{6}H_{13}$	3-(CN)Ph	Br	94
29	Zr	$C_{6}H_{13}$	( <i>E</i> )-CH=CHPh	Br	63
30	Zr	Ú.	Ph	Br	68
31	Zr	$C_{6}H_{13}$	3-pyridyl	Br	63 <sup>f</sup>
32	Zr	$C_6H_{13}$	6-quinoyl	Br	94 <sup>g</sup>
33	Zr	$C_6H_{13}$	2-thiophenyl	Br	72
34	Zr	$C_6H_{13}$	3-thiophenyl	Br	90
35	Zr	$C_6H_{13}$	2-furyl	Br	78
36	Zr	$C_6H_{13}$	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Br	77

<sup>a</sup> **R**<sup>2</sup>**X** (2.0 mmol) in THF (4 mL) for hydroalumination; cross-coupling components added in additional THF (4 mL). <sup>b</sup> Zr is Cp\*<sub>2</sub>ZrCl<sub>2</sub>; Ti is Cp<sub>2</sub>TiCl<sub>2</sub>. <sup>c</sup> Isolated yields. <sup>d</sup> 41% yield was attained with the Zr catalyst. <sup>e</sup> Use of the Ti catalyst led to a mixture of C(1) and C(2) products. <sup>f</sup> Additional HAlCl<sub>2</sub> used (1.1 equiv.) in hydroalumination. <sup>g</sup> Additional HAlCl<sub>2</sub> used (1.1 equiv.) in hydroalumination. <sup>g</sup> Additional HAlCl<sub>2</sub> used (1.1 equiv.) in hydroalumination and InCl<sub>3</sub> co-catalysis (14-21 mol%) in cross-coupling.

While >20 combinations in Table 3 gave 80-99% yields, the following substrates were noted to have issues: (i) Although ethers and alcohol functional groups in the alkyne were tolerated attempts to use alkynes containing ester or cyano functions led to their reduction or catalyst inactivation respectively. (ii) Esters were tolerated in the coupling partner but nitro groups were partially attacked. In coupling of pyridyl-type heterocycles additional excesses of alane and InCl<sub>3</sub> co-catalysis were required to attain acceptable yields. Finally, if unpurified alkynes were used significant

amounts of d<sub>0</sub>-alkene were occasionally detected at long reaction times due hydrogen transfer. However, this did not affect the overall efficiency of the process as excesses of (*E*)-**1** are still present. To answer the question of the efficacy of the process described here against literature precedent direct comparison against standard DIBAL-H based hydroalumination conditions was made using a common Pd-coupling catalyst (see Supporting Data). In each case investigated the dichloroalane route was quicker and higher yielding. In other trials we could also replace X-phos with the nonproprietary, and industrially used A<sup>ta</sup>-phos<sup>23</sup> ligand, with some success (Scheme 2).



Scheme 2 Comparison of proprietary and non proprietary ligands.

Overall, a non pyrophoric alane (that can be handled briefly in air) providing >40:1 reactive (E)-C(1)-organoalanes from low loadings of commercial catalysts with minimal by-products constitutes useful methodology. It has wide range, high potential for use on large scales, compares well to current systems and can be expected to find use in both cross-coupling and other subsequent metal-catalysed processes using such vinyl alanes as nucleophiles. The latter are currently being actively investigated by us.

## Notes and references

<sup>a</sup> School of Chemistry, The University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, United Kingdom. Fax: +44-(0)115-9513564; Tel: +44-(0)115-9513541; E-mail: simon.woodward@nottingham.ac.uk

<sup>+</sup> Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [Experimental, spectroscopic, 2H{1H} NMR aquisition details]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- Overviews of hydroalumination: M. Dzhemilev and A. G. Ibragimov in *Modern Reduction Methods*, P. G. Andersson and I. J. Munslow (eds.), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2008 Chapter 18, pp. 447-489; E. Negishi, G. Wang, H. Rao, and Z. Xu, *J. Org. Chem.* 2010, **75**, 3151; W. Uhl, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2008, **252**, 1540 (stuctural aspects); G. Zweifel and J. A. Miller, *Organic Reactions* 1984, **32**, 375; G. Zweifel and R. A. Lynd, *Synthesis* 1976, 625.
- 2 For a recent discussion of these issues see: W. Uhl, E. Er, A. Hepp, J. Kösters, M. Layh, M. Rohling, A. Vinogradov, E.-U. Würthwein and N. Ghavtadze, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2009, 3307 and references therein.
- 3 E. Negishi, T. Takahashi, and S. Baba, Org. Synth. 1988, 66, 60; E. Negishi, N. Okukado, A. O. King, D. E. Van Horn, and B.I Spiegel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 2254. S. Baba and E. Negishi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 6729.
- 4 About 13:1 (E)-1 to 3 selectivity (R = Ph, Y = Bu<sup>i</sup>) with NiCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>: F. Gao and A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 10961. Slow (24 h refluxing CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) syn-addition of DIBAL-H to 1-octyne with 5 mol% Cp<sub>2</sub>ZrCl<sub>2</sub> is known: T. Taapken and S. Blechert, Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 6658.
- 5 K. Akiyama, F. Gao, and A. H. Hoveyda, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2010, **49**, 419 based on the work of J. J. Eisch and M. W. Foxton, *J. Org. Chem.* 1971, **36**, 3520.

- 6 Hydrometallation Overview: A. C. Regan in *Comprehensive Organic Functional Group Transformations II*, A. R. Katritsky and R. J. K. Taylor, Elsevier-Pergamon, Oxford, 2005, Vol. 1, Section 1.12.3.1, pp. 556-560.
- 7 Review of vinylboranes: M. Vaultier and G. Alcaraz, *Science of Synthesis* 2004, **6**, 721-853.
- 8 MIDA overviews: Q. I. Churches, *Aus. J. Chem.* 2011, **64**, 1474; E. P. Gillis, M. D. Burke, *Aldrichimica Acta* 2009, **42**, 17.
- 9 Schwartz overviews: S. L. Buchwald, S. J. LaMaire, R. B. Nielsen, B. T. Watson, and S. M. King, Org. Synth. 1993, 71, 77; E. Negishi, and T. Takahashi, Aldrichimica Acta 1985, 18, 31; P. Wipf and H. Jahn, Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 12853.
- 10 Alkene/allene hydroalumination with *in situ* HAICl<sub>2</sub> catalysed by boron species is known: O. F. Aebischer, D. T. Muñoz, P. Tondo, J.-L. Débieux and T. A. Jenny, *Syntheis* 2010, 1123; S. Nagahara, K. Maruoka and Yamamoto, Hisashi, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* 1993, **66**, 3783; K. Maruoka, K. Shinoda and H. Yamamoto, *Synth. Commun.* 1988, **18**, 1029; K. Maruoka, H. Sano, K. Shinoda and H. Yamamoto, *Chem. Lett.* 1987, 73; K. Maruoka, H. Sano, K. Shinoda, N. Kiyotaka, S. Nakai and H. Yamamoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1986, **108**, 6036. Extension of such catalysed processes to alkynes was not viable. Limited Ti-catalyed precedent for alkenes also exists: E. C. Ashby and S. Noding, *J. Org. Chem.* 1979, **44**, 4364; F. Sato, S. Sato, H. Kodama and M. Sato, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1977, *142*, 71. For a summary of general HAICl<sub>2</sub> reactivity see: T. A. Blizzard in *Encyclopedia of Reagents for Organic Synthesis* L. A. Paquette, D. Critch, P. L. Fuchs, G. A. Molander (Eds.), Wiley, Chichester, 2005, Vol. 5, 3292.
- 11 S. G. Alexander, M. L. Cole and C. M. Forsyth, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2009, **15**, 9201; D. L. Schmidt and E. E. Flagg, *Inorg. Chem.* 1967, **6**, 1262; K. N. Semenenko, E. B. Lobkovskii and V. N. Fokin, *Russ. J. Inorg Chem.* 1973, **18**, 1443; W. Marconi, A. Mazzei, S. Cucinella and M. Greco, *Annali di chimica* 1965, **55**, 897.
- 12 T. H. Pearson, U.S. Patent 2992248, 1961, 3 pp.
- 13 A. I. Sizov, T. M. Zvukova, V. K. Belsky and B. M. Bulychev, J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 619, 36.
- 14 We refer to the use of such 'spiked' species as hystricine catalysis. Only alkene hydroalumination is previously reported: H. S. Lee and C. E. Kim, *J. Korean Chem. Soc.* 2003, **47**, 297.
- 15 H. S. Lee and G. Y. Lee, J. Korean Chem. Soc. 2005, 49, 321.
- 16 J. E. Bercaw, R. H. Marvich, L. G. Bell and H. H. Brintzinger, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 1219.
- 17 DABAL-Me<sub>3</sub> overview: K. Biswas, A. Chapron, T. Cooper, P. K. Fraser, A. Novak, O. Prieto and S. Woodward, *Pure Appl. Chem.* 2006, **78**, 511. The dioxane adduct HAlCl<sub>2</sub>•(dioxane) had increased stability and could be handled in the laboratory for 45 min. However, both these kinetically stabilised alanes should be teated as reactive and stored under inert atmoshpheres using standard Schlenk techniques.
- 18 J. Hiller, U. Thewalt, M. Polášek, L. Petrusová, V. Varga, P. Sedmera and Karel Mach, *Organometallics* 1996, **15**, 3752; J. M. Manriquez and J. E. Bercaw, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1974, **96**, 6229.
- 19 Other solvents (at 5 mol% Cp\*<sub>2</sub>ZrCl<sub>2</sub>, 4 h; conversion/comments): dioxane (89%/(E)-1 major 83%);
   Me-THF (33%/slow, (E)-1 major 85%); toluene (67%/significant 2 11% and reproducibility issues).
   Refluxing MTBE and Et<sub>2</sub>O gave very poor reactions.

- 20 D. B. Carr and J. Schwartz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 3521.
- 21 T. Cooper, A. Novak, L. D. Humphreys, M. D. Walker and S. Woodward, *Ad. Synth. Catal.* 2006, **348**, 691.
- 22 M. Qian, Z. Huang and E. Negishi, Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1531.
- 23 A. S. Guram, A. O. King, J. G. Allen, X. Wang, L. B. Schenkel, J. Chan, E. E. Bunel, M. M. Faul, R. D. Larsen, M. J. Martinelli and P. J. Reider, *Org. Lett.* 2006, **8**, 1787.