

## Current status of drug screening and disease modelling in human pluripotent stem cells

Divya Rajamohan, Elena Matsa, Spandan Kalra, James Crutchley, Asha Patel, Vinoj George and Chris Denning\*

The emphasis in human pluripotent stem cell (hPSC) technologies has shifted from cell therapy to in vitro disease modelling and drug screening. This review examines why this shift has occurred, and how current technological limitations might be overcome to fully realise the potential of hPSCs. Details are provided for all disease-specific human induced pluripotent stem cell lines spanning a dozen dysfunctional organ systems. Phenotype and pharmacology have been examined in only 17 of 63 lines, primarily those that model neurological and cardiac conditions. Drug screening is most advanced in hPSC-cardiomyocytes. Responses for almost 60 agents include examples of how careful tests in hPSC-cardiomyocytes have improved on existing in vitro assays, and how these cells have been integrated into high throughput imaging and electrophysiology industrial platforms. Such successes will provide an incentive to overcome bottlenecks in hPSC technology such as improving cell maturity and industrial scalability whilst reducing cost.

### Keywords:

■ automation; cardiomyocytes; drug safety assessment; human embryonic stem cells; human induced pluripotent stem cells

### Introduction

When human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) were first isolated from blastocyst stage embryos in 1998 [1], many researchers believed that within 10–15 years the technology would be sufficiently advanced to allow cell replacement of tissues damaged by injury, disease or aging. Within the next few years, approximately 1200 hESC lines had been derived (<http://www.umassmed.edu/iscr/index.aspx>) and it became possible to produce human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs) by reprogramming somatic cells with just four genetic factors [2, 3]. This provided a considerable resource of human pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs) that could be propagated during long-term culture and yet be differentiated to a variety of lineages representative of the three embryonic germ layers [4]. Clinically relevant cell types included cardiomyocytes and blood lineages (mesoderm), hepatocytes and pancreatic lineages (endoderm) and neural and dermal lineages (ectoderm).

An unexpected hurdle was that methods to culture and differentiate hPSCs were inefficient and labour-intensive [5]. Improvements in cell passaging and commercial provision of defined culture media (e.g. mTeSR [6], Stem Cell Technologies; StemPro, Invitrogen [7]) reduced the labour required by individual labs. Nevertheless, even defined media are susceptible to considerable batch to batch variability, probably due to growth factor manufacture inconsistencies or degradation of the growth factors during storage. Growth substrate is another source of variability. hPSCs are typically grown on biological substrates such as human or mouse feeder cells, extracted

DOI 10.1002/bies.201200053

Department of Stem Cells, Tissue Engineering & Modelling, Centre for Biomolecular Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

### \*Corresponding author:

Chris Denning  
E-mail: [chris.denning@nottingham.ac.uk](mailto:chris.denning@nottingham.ac.uk)

### Abbreviations:

**CHO**, Chinese hamster ovary; **hESC**, human embryonic stem cell; **hiPSC**, human induced pluripotent stem cell; **hPSC**, human pluripotent stem cell; **LQTS**, long QT syndrome.

matrices (e.g. Matrigel) or recombinant proteins (e.g. laminin, collagen, fibronectin and vitronectin), all of which are expensive, variable and/or labile [8]. Although synthetic substrates that support pluripotency in defined culture media are an exciting development [9, 10], further refinement is needed. For example, hPSCs can be maintained on Corning® Synthemax™ substrates in mTeSR culture medium [10] but a single 6-well plate costs \$75 and passaging cells requires manual scraping, which is not amenable to scaled automation. For differentiation, it is now very encouraging that protocols exist to yield purities in excess of 50–70% for many cell types. However, the diversity of methods published for each differentiated cell lineage [11] belies the challenge of successfully reproducing protocols between different hPSC lines and labs.

## The use of hPSC-derivatives in cell replacement therapy faces challenges

In addition to the difficulties discussed above, cell transplantation also brings many other hurdles to the fore. These include regulatory and ethical issues, whether cells survive, engraft in the correct location and function after delivery, whether patients can be recruited successfully, and the costs associated with clinical trials. The first to transplant hESC derivatives into humans in 2009 [12], Geron Corporation had to convince the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) that their GRNOPC1 neural progenitor cell line was suitable for transplantation into patients with thoracic spinal cord injury with a 22,000 page document detailing the in vitro and preclinical characterisation that had been performed over many years. Although no adverse events were recorded after GRNOPC1 transplantation and the Regulators approved progression to a Phase II trial, spiralling costs led Geron to abandon their entire hESC programme in late 2011. Many researchers viewed this as a major setback for clinical translation of hPSC-based cell replacement therapies. However, Advanced Cell Technology (ACT) recently received FDA approval for clinical trials to treat macular degeneration with hESC-derived retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) cells [13] and these trials will be watched with interest. Nevertheless, it is sobering that after 14 years of research, there is only one active clinical trial using hPSC-derivatives (see [clinicaltrials.gov](http://clinicaltrials.gov)). It is now becoming accepted that a faster route to realising the potential of hPSCs and their differentiated derivatives is through in vitro application, particularly in drug safety assessment and in providing novel models of genetic disease.

## Human conditions are not always reflected in animal models because of species differences

Although in vitro disease modelling could theoretically be realised by harvesting primary cells from healthy donors or those carrying a relevant genetic condition, for many cell types this is not a realistic option. For example, harvesting heart tissue on an industrial scale is limited by suitable donors, lack of proliferation

of cardiomyocytes, variability in preparation, disease state and cell viability. These problems are particularly pronounced if the cells are sourced from cadavers. Consequently, there is considerable reliance on material derived from animals. Mice are most commonly used for modelling disease because of the relative ease of precisely manipulating the genome by gene targeted homologous recombination [14]. However, there are major differences in the gene expression and physiology between animals and humans, from the single cell level up to the whole animal. The beat rate of the mouse heart is approximately ten times faster than the human (500 bpm vs. 60 bpm) but it has an electrocardiogram duration 5–10 times shorter (450 milliseconds vs. 50–100 milliseconds) [15]. Increases in heart rate are associated with increased force of contraction in humans but decreased force in mice [16]. Whereas repolarisation of the mouse cardiomyocytes is driven primarily by  $I_{to}$ ,  $I_{K,slow1}$ ,  $I_{K,slow2}$ ,  $I_{SS}$  ion channels, this function is provided by the potassium channels,  $I_{Kr}$  and  $I_{Ks}$  in human cells [15]. There are species differences in the role of the regulatory molecule, phospholamban [15], and expression of structural genes also varies. In humans, expression of alpha and beta myosin heavy chains ( $\alpha$ -/ $\beta$ -MHC) locates to the atria and ventricles, respectively [17], but in the mouse  $\alpha$ MHC is expressed in both locations [18]. The surface marker, SIRPA, is expressed on cardiomyocytes from human but not mouse hPSCs, and so only the human cells can be enriched by fluorescence or magnetic activated cell sorting [19].

Such differences mean that extrapolation from mouse to human can be misleading. In humans, long QT syndrome (LQTS) type 1 and type 2 are caused by mutations that affect function of  $I_{Ks}$  and  $I_{Kr}$ , respectively, and can lead to palpitations, syncope (fainting), seizures and sudden cardiac death [20]. Since repolarisation of the mouse heart does not rely on these channels, this animal cannot be used to model the conditions. Outside the cardiovascular system, the survival motor neuron 2 gene (SMN2) gene is implicated in development of spinal muscular atrophy in humans, but this gene is not present in mice, flies and worms [21]. The gene sequence of  $\alpha$ -synuclein found in healthy wildtype mice and rats can confer Parkinson's disease in humans [22]. The ontology of organs affected by cystic fibrosis in humans differs markedly from that in mice [23]. Such observations have prompted development of novel in vitro human-based systems for studying human genetic disease.

## Development of hPSC-based models of human genetic disease is needed

Human pluripotent stem cells have the potential to play a major role in providing models of genetic disease. Early efforts were directed towards using hESCs, and there are about a dozen examples of where cases in which this has been achieved [24]. Lines carrying myotonic dystrophy type 1, cystic fibrosis and Huntington disease have been derived by isolating hESCs from pre-implantation genetic diagnosis (PGD) embryos [25]. However, PGD screens for only a limited number of genetic conditions, few scientists have access to these facilities and the use of embryos (even those that harbour detrimental genetic lesions) is ethically sensitive in many countries. Alternatively, gene targeting has been used to inactivate

genes, such as HPRT1 in male hESCs, to produce an in vitro model of the metabolic disorder Lesch Nyhan syndrome [26]. However, while manipulation of the hPSC genome has become more routine in the last few years [27], engineering specific polymorphisms, deletions or amplifications is time consuming, requires a reasonable level of skill, and becomes increasingly challenging proportionate with the number and complexity of modifications required, even when nuclease-based methods are used [28].

In contrast, hiPSC technology is readily accessible, and has the potential to revolutionise in vitro disease modelling (Table 1; Fig. 1). It is relatively straightforward for scientists to establish collaborations with clinicians who care for patients with a particular genetic condition, and the ethical frameworks for informed patient consent are commonplace within most universities and industrial settings. Many commercial providers of stem cell reagents now offer complete off-the-shelf kits to progress from patient sample to reasonably well characterised hiPSC lines. Consequently, less than 5 years after the first report of reprogramming somatic cells [3], 63 hiPSC models have been produced for 43 diseases affecting the heart, smooth muscle, skeletal muscle, immune system, skin, central nervous system, blood and eye, as well as imprinting, metabolic and multi-organ disorders (Table 1). It can be expected that the number of hiPSC lines available will rise exponentially over the next few years.

Nevertheless, it is noteworthy that, with the exception of the eye disorder retinitis pigmentosa, only hiPSCs models affecting the heart and central nervous system have been used to evaluate effects of drug treatment in detail (Table 1; Fig. 1). This highlights several critical factors that are often overlooked in hiPSC technology: How will the phenotype of the disease be quantified in vitro? How will benefits of different methods of therapeutic intervention be evaluated? If a disease phenotype is present, how does it relate to the patient's condition? Is the therapy tested in vitro relevant to the patient, and is there potential for clinical translation? As shown in Table 1, the level of genetic and/or pharmacological characterisation in the majority (46/63) of hiPSC models is limited, and the answers to these questions are outstanding.

## Phenotype assessment in hiPSC-derived neurons and cardiomyocytes

Most progress has been made in phenotyping and evaluating drugs in hiPSC-based models of neurological and cardiac conditions (Table 1). Motor-, cortical- and dopaminergic-neurons from hiPSC harbouring mutations associated with neurodegenerative (e.g. Alzheimer's, Parkinson's and Huntington's diseases, schizophrenia) and neurodevelopmental disorders (e.g. Rett syndrome, spinal muscular atrophy, familial dysautonomia) have been successfully generated. Quantitative phenotyping of these cells has indicated severe defects in growth, migration and function compared to healthy controls. They therefore provide platforms for drug validation (Table 1). For example, the known anti-psychotic drug, loxapine, has been shown to improve neuronal connectivity in schizophrenia models [29], while compound E, a tobacco-derived  $\gamma$ -secretase inhibitor, decreased secretion of pathogenic A $\beta$ 42 in Alzheimer's

models [30, 31]. Rett syndrome models have also been used for validation of experimental drugs such as gabazine, a GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor antagonist [32].

Genetic disorders that affect the structure, ion channel composition and functionality in the heart also provide a quantifiable phenotypic readout. One of the consequences of the multi-system disorder of LEOPARD syndrome is cardiac hypertrophy, which has been partially phenocopied using hiPSC-cardiomyocytes [33]. The techniques of patch clamping and multi-electrode array (MEA) have proved valuable in interrogating electrophysiology from single or multi-cell clusters of cardiomyocytes, respectively [34]. Alterations in calcium handling can be visualised using realtime microscopy in the presence of calcium sensitive dyes [35]. Data from hiPSC lines carrying mutations that cause LQTS and catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT) are starting to produce evidence that patient-relevant phenotypes and drug response can be recreated in vitro. In the case of LQTS2, caused by mutations in the  $I_{Kr}$  channel, hiPSC-derived cardiomyocytes developed arrhythmias when exposed to isoprenaline, a stressor used clinically to precipitate and diagnose the condition [34]. This effect could be reversed by applying the patient's own medication, nadolol, a  $\beta$ -blocker. Dantrolene and roscovitine, drugs known to be beneficial in moderating calcium flux, stabilised ion flux in hiPSC models of the calcium channel disorders, CPVT and Timothy syndrome (linked to LQT type 8), respectively [35–37].

Human induced pluripotent stem cell-cardiomyocytes are now providing novel routes to test more experimental drugs. The arrhythmias seen in the LQTS2 models were abolished by the potassium channel modulators, nicorandil and pinacidil ( $K^+$ <sub>ATP</sub> channel openers) or PD-118057 ( $I_{Kr}$  channel activator) [34, 38]. Encouragingly, it has been shown that hiPSC-cardiomyocytes can replicate relatively subtle differences between patients. hiPSCs were produced from a healthy donor as well as from a mother and daughter, wherein the mother was clinically asymptomatic (no arrhythmias) with a moderately prolonged QT interval and the daughter was symptomatic with an excessively prolonged QT interval (arrhythmias, syncope and seizure episodes). Recording action potential durations from the different hiPSC-cardiomyocytes showed that the clinical profile was reflected in vitro (i.e. action potential longest in the daughter's cells, then the mother's, then the healthy control) and only hiPSC-cardiomyocytes produced from the daughter developed spontaneous arrhythmias [34]. Establishing whether such in vitro to in vivo associations hold true for other conditions will be important for hiPSC technologies to become widely accepted.

## Assessing the need for humanised cardiotoxicity testing platforms

The ability to quantify functional responses in lineages such as hPSC-cardiomyocytes will likely find use in drug safety assessment. In recent years, high rates of drug attrition and withdrawal from market (because of unexpected cardiotoxicity) have imposed a multi-billion dollar burden on the pharmaceutical industry. More than ten drugs used to treat various

Table 1. Disease-specific human induced pluripotent stem cells: characterisation and use in drug screening

Category	Disorder	Gene	Method	Phenotype characterisation assays	Drug treatment	Effect	Ref.
Cardiac	Long QT-syndrome type 1 (LQT1)	<i>KCNQ1</i>	OSKC retrovirus	Prolonged APD in atrial and ventricular cardiomyocytes	Isoprenaline (100 nM), propranolol (200 nM)	↑ BR, caused EADs Corrected EADs	[81]
	Long QT-syndrome type 2 (LQT2)	<i>KCNH2</i>	OSNL lentivirus	Prolonged FPD and APD in atrial and ventricular cardiomyocytes, reduction in $I_{Kr}$ current	Isoprenaline (100 nM) Nadolol (10 $\mu$ M), propranolol (200 nM) E4031 (1 $\mu$ M) Nicorandil (20 $\mu$ M) PD-118057 (3 $\mu$ M) E4031 (500 nM), Cisapride (N/S)	↓ BR, caused EADs Corrected EADs ↑ FPD/APD, caused EADs ↓ FPD/APD, corrected EADs ↑ FPD/APD, caused arrhythmogenesis ↓ FPD/APD, corrected EADs	[34] [38]
	Catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia type 1 (CPVT1)	<i>RYR2</i>	JOSKC retrovirus	Asymptomatic carrier with LQT2 family history used to diagnose LQT2 as hiPSC-cardiomyocytes showed prolonged FPD/APD Elevated diastolic $Ca^{2+}$ concentrations, reduced SR $Ca^{2+}$ content, increased susceptibility to DADs and arrhythmias after catecholaminergic stimulation	Nifedipine (1 mM), Piracetil (1 mM) Ranolazine (15–50 mM) Sotalol (0.8–19.4 $\mu$ M), E4031 (1 $\mu$ M) Erythromycin (1.5–16 $\mu$ M), cisapride (40–330 nM)	Reduced arrhythmogenesis ↑ FPD/APD None	[82]
	Timothy syndrome (TS)	<i>CACNA1C</i>	OSKC retrovirus	Irregular cardiac myocyte contraction, excess $Ca^{2+}$ influx, prolonged APD, irregular electrical activity, abnormal calcium transients Abnormal expression of tyrosine hydroxylase and increased production of norepinephrine and dopamine in neurons Increased sarcomeric organisation and preferential localisation of NFATc4 in the nucleus, which correlate with potential hypertrophic state. Study of molecular insights into disease mechanism	Isoprenaline (1 $\mu$ M) Forskolin (5 $\mu$ M), 8-Br-cAMP (100 $\mu$ M) Dantrolene (N/A)	↑ BR, caused DADs ↑ Cytosolic cAMP and abolished $Ca^{2+}$ -release events after repolarisation Restored normal $Ca^{2+}$ spark properties and prevented arrhythmogenesis	[83]
	LEOPARD syndrome (includes Noonan syndrome)	<i>PTPN11</i> , <i>RAF1</i> , <i>SHOC2</i>	OSKC retrovirus	Premature senescence in smooth muscle cells. DNAPKs identified as protein target, therefore uncovering disease pathogenesis DNA damage, nuclear abnormalities and caponin-staining inclusion bodies in MSCs, smooth muscle cells and fibroblasts	Roscovitine (33.3 $\mu$ M) None Roscovitine (N/S)	None None Reversed abnormal phenotype	[36] [33] [37]
Smooth muscle	Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome (HGPS)	<i>LMNA</i>	OSKC retrovirus	Gene-corrected hiPSCs generated using a human artificial chromosome with complete genomic dystrophin sequence	Lentiviral anti-progerinshRNA	Phenotype correction	[84]
Skeletal muscle	Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD)	<i>Dystrophin</i>	OSKC retrovirus OSNL lentivirus OSK retrovirus	Genotyping Genotyping Gene-corrected hiPSCs generated using a human artificial chromosome with complete genomic dystrophin sequence	None None None	None None None	[85] [86] [87] [88]
	Becker muscular dystrophy (BMD)	<i>Dystrophin</i>	OSKC retrovirus	Genotyping	None	None	[86]
Immune	Adenosine deaminase deficiency-associated severe combined immunodeficiency (ADA-SCID) Multiple-sclerosis (MS)	<i>ADA</i> <i>MHC</i>	OSKC retrovirus OSKC retrovirus	Genotyping Differentiation to oligodendrocytes, astrocytes and functional neurons	None None	None None	[86] [89]
Imprinting	Angelman syndrome	<i>UBE3A</i>	OSKCL retrovirus	UBE3A paternal imprinting re-established during hiPSC neuronal differentiation	None	None	[90]
	Prader-Willi		OSKC retrovirus	UBE3A maternal imprinting maintained in hiPSCs, reduced expression of disease-associated RNA HBI1-85/SNORD11	None	None	[91]


Table 1. (continued)

Category	Disorder	Gene	Method	Phenotype characterisation assays	Drug treatment	Effect	Ref.
Skin	Recessive dystrophic epidermolysisbullosa (RDEB)	<i>COL7A1</i>	OSKC retrovirus	Gene-corrected RDEB hiPSCs expressed Col7 and differentiated to skin	None	None	[92]
	Spinal muscular atrophy (SMA)	<i>SMN1</i>	OSKC retrovirus	Reduced differentiation to motoneurons, abnormal neurite outgrowth. Genetic correction of phenotype by ectopic SMN over-expression	None	None	[93]
Neurological	Familial dysautonomia (FD)	<i>IKBKAP</i>	OSNL lentiviral OSKC lentivirus	Deficits in motor neurons, lack of nuclear gems Neurogenic differentiation and migration defects, decreased expression of peripheral neurogenesis and neuronal differentiation markers	Valproic acid (1 mM), tobramycin (320 mM) Kinetin (N/S)	↑ Number of nuclear gems and SMN protein expression ↓ Mutant <i>IKBKAP</i> splice variant, ↑ wild-type transcript, ↑ neuronal differentiation and neuronal marker expression	[21] [94]
	Rett syndrome (RTT)	<i>MECP2</i>	OSKC retrovirus OSKC retrovirus	Genotyping and differentiation to neurons Reduced synapses and dendritic spine density, smaller soma size, altered calcium signaling and electrophysiological defects in neurons, altered neuronal network signalling	Epigallocatechin, gallate (N/S), tocotrienol (N/S) None IGF1 (0.01 nM) Gentamicin (100 nM)	None None ↑ Glutamatergic synapses Enabled expression of full length MeCP2 protein	[95] [32]
Neurological	Schizophrenia (SCZD)	<i>CDKL5</i> <i>DISC1</i>	N/S OSNLKC + SV40L Episomal OSKGL tet-inducible lentivirus	Genotyping and differentiation to neurons	Gabazine (N/S) None None	None None None	[96] [97]
	Alzheimer's disease (AD)	<i>PS1</i> , <i>PS2</i>	OSNLK retrovirus	Reduced neuronal connectivity, soma outgrowths and PSD95 dendritic protein, altered gene expression profiles implicating Notch signalling, cell adhesion and Slt-Robo-mediated axon guidance in disease pathogenesis Increased amyloid Aβ42 secretion in neurons	Loxapine (N/S) Clozapine, olanzapine, risperidone, thioridazine (N/S)	Improved neuronal connectivity and gene expression profiles None	[29]
Neurological	Early onset Alzheimer's disease (AD) in Down syndrome patients	<i>APP</i> over-expression due to <i>Trisomy 21</i>	N/S	Differentiation to cortical neurons secreting pathogenic hyperphosphorylated tau protein and Aβ42, which formed insoluble amyloid aggregates	Compound E (γ-secretase inhibitor XX); 10–100 nM Compound W (selective Aβ42-lowering agent; 10–100 μM) γ-Secretase inhibitor (N/S)	↓ Aβ42 and Aβb40 production ↓ Aβ42:Aβb40 ratio ↓ Aβ42 and Aβb40 production	[30] [98] [31]
	Parkinson's disease (PD)	<i>PINK1</i>	OSKC retrovirus OSK Cre-excisable lentivirus OSKC retrovirus	Genotyping Genotyping and differentiation to dopaminergic neurons Dopaminergic neurons with impaired Parkin recruitment to mitochondria, increased mitochondrial copy number, upregulation of PGC-1α. Phenotype correction with PINK1 over-expression	None None None	None None None	[86] [99] [100]
Neurological	Fragile-X syndrome (FXS)	<i>FMR1</i>	OSKC retrovirus	Dopaminergic neurons with morphological alterations, reduced neurite numbers, neurite arborisation and increased autophagocytosis	None	None	[101]
	Friedreich ataxia (FRDA)	<i>FXN</i>	OSKC retrovirus	hiPSC aberrant neuronal differentiation directly related to epigenetic modification of <i>FMR1</i> and loss of <i>FMR1</i> protein expression	None	None	[102]
Neurological	Huntington's disease (HD)	<i>Huntingtin</i>	OSKC retrovirus OSKC retrovirus	Differentiation to peripheral neurons and cardiomyocytes Genotyping	None None	None None	[103] [86] [104]
	Olivopontocerebellar atrophy (OPCA) Autism spectrum disorders (ASDs)	<i>SCA7</i> <i>Multifactorial</i>	OSKC N/A	Differentiation to peripheral neurons and caspase activity Differentiation to neural cells	None None	None None	[105] [106]
Neurological	Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS)	<i>SOD1</i>	OSKC retrovirus	Differentiation to GABAergic neurons Genotyping, differentiation to motor neurons and glia	None	None	[107]

Table 1. (continued)

Category	Disorder	Gene	Method	Phenotype characterisation assays	Drug treatment	Effect	Ref.	
Metabolic	Gaucher disease type III (GBA)	GBA	OSKC retrovirus	Genotyping	None	None	[86]	
	Lesch-Nyhan syndrome	HPRT1	OSKC retrovirus	Genotyping	None	None	[86]	
	Juvenile-onset type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1D)	Multifactorial	OSKC retrovirus	Genotyping	None	None	[86]	
	Type 2 diabetes (T2D)	Multifactorial	OSK retrovirus	Differentiation to insulin-producing cells	None	None	[108]	
	Alpha1-antitrypsin deficiency (A1ATD)	A1AT	OSKC retrovirus	Differentiation to insulin-producing islet-like progeny	None	None	[109]	
	Familial hypercholesterolemia (FH)	LDLR	OSKC retrovirus	Differentiation to hepatocytes with endoplasmic reticulum aggregates of misfolded $\alpha$ 1-antitrypsin	None	None	[110]	
	Glycogen storage disease type 1a (GSD1a)	G6PC	OSKC retrovirus	Differentiation to hepatocytes with deficient LDL receptor-mediated cholesterol uptake	None	None		
	Sickle cell anaemia	$\beta$ -Globin alleles (8(s)/ $\beta$ (s))	OSKC Cre- excisable lentivirus	Differentiation to hepatocytes with elevated lipid and glycogen accumulation	None	None	[111]	
	Fanconi anaemia (FA)	Multifactorial	OSKC piggyBac transposons	Genetically corrected hiPSCs generated using zinc finger nuclease homologous recombination	None	None	[112]	
				Heterozygous $\beta$ (s)/ $\beta$ (A) gene correction in hiPSCs generated using zinc finger nuclease homologous recombination	None	None	[113]	
Haematological	Acquired myeloproliferative disorders (MPDs)	<i>JAK2-V617F</i> somatic mutation in blood cells	OSKC retrovirus or multi-cistronic lentivirus	Genetic correction of patient fibroblasts by lentiviral overexpression of FANCA or FANCD2 proteins, generation of hiPSCs and differentiation to phenotypically normal myeloid and erythroid hematopoietic progenitors	None	None	[114]	
	b-Thalassaemia major (Cooley's anaemia)	$\beta$ -globin	OSKC retrovirus	FA pathway complementation enables reprogramming of somatic cell to hiPSCs capable of hematopoietic differentiation	None	None	[115]	
				Differentiation to CD34(+)/CD45(+) hematopoietic progenitors with enhanced erythropoiesis and gene expression profiles similar to primary CD34(+) cells from the patient	None	None	[116]	
				Genotyping	None	None	[117]	
				Genetic correction of mutation by homologous recombination followed by implantation of hematopoietic progenitors into SCID mice to improve haemoglobin production	None	None		
	Eye	Retinitis pigmentosa (RP)	<i>RP1, RP9, PRPH2, RHO</i>	OSKC retrovirus	Rod photoreceptor cells recapitulated diseased phenotype of in vitro degeneration	$\alpha$ -Tocopherol (100 $\mu$ M) Ascorbic acid (200 $\mu$ M) $\beta$ -Carotene (1.6 $\mu$ M)	$\uparrow$ Rhodopsin <sup>+</sup> cells No effect No effect None	[118]
		Gyrate atrophy (GA)	OAT	OSNLKC + S-SV40L Episomal OSK lentivirus	Gene-corrected hiPSCs generated	None	None	[119]
		Age-related cataract	Multifactorial	OSK lentivirus	hiPSCs differentiated to lens progenitor-like cells expressing lens-specific markers	None	None	[120]
	Multi-organ	Down syndrome (DS)	Trisomy 21	OSKC retrovirus	Genotyping	None	None	[86]
		Shwachman-Bodian-Diamond syndrome (SBDS)	SBDS	OSKC retrovirus	Genotyping	None	None	[86]
	Dyskeratosis congenita (DC)	<i>DKC1, TERC</i>	OSKC retrovirus	Disease model use to discovered novel mechanisms of telomerase regulation	None	None	[121]	

O, OCT4; S, SOX2; K, KLF4; C, C-MYC; N, NANOG; L, LIN28; hiPSCs, human induced pluripotency stem cells; SMCs, smooth muscle cells; KD, knock-down; FPD, field potential duration; APD, action potential duration; BR, beat rate; EADs, early after-depolarisations; DADs, delayed after-depolarisations; N/S, not specified; N/A, not available. Grey areas indicate where drug treatment has been tested.



**Figure 1.** Current status and emerging technologies in disease modelling and drug screening for hiPSC-based models of human genetic disease. hiPSC-based models of human disease affecting the heart, smooth muscle, skeletal muscle, skin, central nervous system (CNS), liver, blood and eye have been generated. However, only those affecting the heart, CNS and eye have been used to evaluate the effects of drug treatment. Emerging technologies for scale-up, automation and high throughput analysis will enable use of hiPSC-disease models for drug discovery and safety evaluation in an industrial setting. Green and blue arrows show processes amenable to scale-up and automation, or high-content imaging and electrophysiology analysis.

non-cardiac conditions (e.g. inflammatory disease, psychosis, bacterial infection, pain) have been withdrawn from market because of unexpected side effects on the heart [39]. Side effects can damage the structural integrity and survival of cardiomyocytes, as is the case with the anti-inflammatory drug, Vioxx [39] and many anti-cancer drugs, such as doxorubicin [40]. Beat regularity and duration (QT prolongation or shortening) can also be affected, which can lead to polymorphic ventricular tachyarrhythmia, seizures and sudden death. Indeed, in 2010 this was the reason for the US FDA requesting withdrawal of propoxyphene, an opioid pain reliever marketed by Xanodyne Pharmaceuticals [41], and of sibutramine, a weight loss agent marketed by Abbott Laboratories [42]. With development costs of each drug averaging \$1.5 billion, high profile withdrawals are extremely damaging for the companies involved, as well as for patients taking the medication; the serotonin agonist, cisparide, caused 125 deaths before its use ceased [43].

The use of suboptimal screening and safety assessment platforms underlies the reason for which drugs with potentially lethal side effects are not eliminated from the development pipeline before they reach the clinic. Early in most

development pipelines, drugs are tested for channel modulating activity by utilising aneuploid cell lines (e.g. Chinese hamster ovary [CHO] or human embryonic kidney [HEK] cells) engineered to overexpress single ion channels. Such assays bear little relation to the complex multi-channel phenotype of functional cardiomyocytes [44]. This issue is illustrated by the *in vitro* culture responses seen with verapamil, a 'safe' drug in routine clinical use for treatment of hypertension, angina pectoris and cardiac arrhythmia. In CHO cells forced to overexpress *HERG*, verapamil blocks the potassium  $I_{Kr}$  channel, thereby predicting an association with prolonged QT interval [45]. In reality, while outward ion flux through  $I_{Kr}$  channels is blocked in functional cardiomyocytes, verapamil also blocks inward flux through L-type calcium channels ( $I_{Ca-L}$ ), and the overall effect on QT interval is cancelled out [45]. Similarly, ranolazine, a drug used to treat angina, blocks opposing sodium  $I_{Na}$  and potassium  $I_{Kr}$  channels, with limited effect on QT duration [46].

As discussed earlier, there are substantial differences in gene expression and physiology between species, which can limit the effectiveness of extrapolating toxicity from animals to humans. Indeed, data from non-rodents or rodents are respectively, 63 and 43% predictive of whether a drug will be toxic in humans. Even when data are combined from rodents (mice and rats) and non-rodents (dogs and monkeys), only 71% predictivity is achieved [47]. Notably, mice are at least  $10\times$  more tolerant to 37% of drugs than humans, while rats and dogs tolerate 4.5–100-fold the concentration of various chemotherapeutic agents as humans (e.g. ThioTEPA, Myleran, Actinomycin-D, Mitomycin C, Mithramycin, Fludarabine) [48]. Conversely, potentially valuable drugs might be eliminated during development because of overt toxicity in animals, when in fact they might be completely innocuous in humans. By way of example, chocolate and coffee can cause organ failure and death in dogs. This is because,

relative to humans, the methylxanine ingredients, theobromine and caffeine, of these foods are poorly metabolised in dogs, which leads to potentially fatal toxic build up [49].

Despite these inadequacies, regulatory guidelines (e.g. international conference on harmonisation; ICH S7B) require extensive animal use in safety assessment because predictivity of current *in vitro* assays is insufficient. This has major implications for the number of animals used, and is not in line with the developing 3Rs (replacement, refinement and reduction of animal use) policies of many countries. For example, in the UK in 2008, a total of 475,290 animal procedures were performed to supply the needs of drug safety assessment and toxicity testing [50]. New EU regulation for the registration, evaluation, authorisation and restriction of chemicals (termed REACH) will require toxicological testing of 30,000 compounds, and some reports suggest that this will require up to 54 million animals over the next 10 years in Europe alone [50, 51].

These observations lead to the conclusion that any new human-based *in vitro* assays that improve or complement existing tests would benefit 1. patients through better drug safety; 2. the 3Rs, through reduced animal use; and 3. pharmaceutical companies, through reduced preclinical costs and drug withdrawals.

### Progress towards using hPSC-cardiomyocytes in cardiac safety assessment

In the last few years, tremendous progress has been made in improving the efficiency and robustness of cardiac differentiation from hPSCs, thereby providing a renewable source of human cardiomyocytes. The three differentiation strategies employed are formation of (i) three-dimensional aggregates known as embryoid bodies, (ii) two-dimensional monolayers or (iii) co-cultures with an inducer cell line such as END-2; these methods have recently been reviewed [11]. The cardiomyocytes display many of the gene expression patterns associated with *in vivo* development of the heart, including gene expression, ion channel formation, electrophysiological responsiveness and excitation-contraction coupling [52].

These attributes suggest that hPSC-cardiomyocytes could provide a human-based *in vitro* assay system for drug testing. Indeed, the pharmacological responses of hPSC-cardiomyocytes have been quantified from nearly 60 different compounds and drugs (Table 2). While the range of agents is extensive, most studies have only used one or two concentrations of drug that are at the upper end or exceed clinically relevant doses. Nonetheless, several important points are emerging, as considered below (see also Tables 1 and 2, and references therein).

First, functionality in hPSC-cardiomyocytes has been shown for many of the key ion channels (potassium:  $I_{Ks}$ ,  $I_{Kr}$ ,  $I_f$ ,  $I_{to}$ ,  $I_{K1}$ ; sodium:  $I_{Na}$ ; calcium:  $I_{Ca-L}$ , SERCA2a) and regulator molecules (e.g. receptors: muscarinic, adrenoceptors, acetylcholine, ryanodine) found at the cell membrane or in the sarcoplasmic reticulum. Second, functional responses can be quantified by methods of relevance to the pharmaceutical industry, such as patch clamp electrophysiology and calcium

detection. Third, responses can be measured from cardiomyocytes derived from a range of healthy and disease-carrying hPSC lines. Fourth, the complex multi-ion channel phenotype of hPSC-cardiomyocytes provides an advantage over CHO cells forced to overexpress a single channel. Dual channel blocking agents such as verapamil (blocks  $I_{Kr}$  and  $I_{Ca-L}$ ) and ranolazine (blocks  $I_{Kr}$  and  $I_{Na}$ ) are QT-neutral when clinically relevant doses are applied to hPSC-cardiomyocytes. Fifth, in some cases, hPSC-cardiomyocytes can detect toxic effects at lower doses than is possible in animal systems. We have found that the  $I_{Kr}$  blocker, risperidone, causes increased field potential duration of hPSC-cardiomyocytes at 0.1  $\mu$ M [46], but data from GlaxoSmithKline indicate that prolongation occurs in guinea-pig myocytes at 1  $\mu$ M. Moreover, direct comparison between hPSC-cardiomyocytes and myocytes isolated from dogs or rabbits concluded that the human cells more accurately predicted moxifloxacin-induced cardiotoxicity [53]. Finally, a careful study examined drug effects over a 6-log dose-response range that covered the estimated unbound therapeutic plasma concentrations [54]. There was good association between clinical and hPSC-cardiomyocyte toxicity for drugs such as quinidine and D,L-sotalol known to prolong QT interval, whereas drugs with a low incidence of arrhythmogenesis (e.g. cisapride, terfenadine, sertindole, sparfloxacin) only caused prolongation of field potential duration at higher doses [54].

### Limitations and challenges to overcome in hPSC technology

The emerging data for disease modelling and drug screening are encouraging. However, this is a new field with limitations yet to be overcome. Although hESCs are often considered the gold standard, these cells are derived from spare embryos donated by couples experiencing fertility problems, hence the need for *in vitro* fertilisation (IVF) treatment. It is known that different methods of embryo culture can alter epigenetic status [55]. For hiPSC derivation, delivery of reprogramming factors can be achieved by viral (e.g. retroviruses, lentiviruses, adenoviruses, sendavirus) or non-viral (episomes, plasmids, miRNA, mRNA and protein) strategies [56]. It is notable that virtually all disease models have used the 'original' retroviral and lentiviral methods (Table 1) [2, 3], and a potential concern is random integration of the viral genome into the host genome [57]. Assessment is further complicated, because it depends on whether the reprogramming factors are contained on single or multiple vectors, and whether small molecule enhancers of hiPSC production were used [56, 58]. There is not yet a consensus on the cell type to reprogram [56], although skin and blood cells are preferred because of the ease of patient consent, minimal discomfort to the patient, and accessibility. Each of these variables has the capacity to alter the genotype, epigenome and phenotype of the hiPSCs produced, as well as the subsequently derived differentiated lineages. Therefore, it is difficult to know whether problems reported for hiPSC (e.g. transfer of epigenetic legacy from somatic cells to hiPSC, improper reprogramming/disease modelling [e.g. Fragile X] or genetic instability) [59] are inherent to the technology or are a consequence of the reprogramming method(s) used.



Table 2. Drug evaluation in hPSC-cardiomyocytes

AGENT	Mechanism of action	hPSC lines	Drug conc. (M)	Detection method	Observed effect on hPSC-CMs	Refs
2-APB	Cell permeate IP3R antagonist	hiH1-clone 1&2; hfb2-5 (hiPSC)	2 $\mu$ M	Laser confocal Ca <sup>2+</sup> imaging	Significant decrease in whole-cell (Ca <sup>2+</sup> ), transients amplitude and frequency	[38]
2,3-Butanedione monoxime	Uncompetitive ATPase inhibitor	H1 (hESC)	10 <sup>-3</sup> M	MEA	Arrested contraction	[122]
Acetylcholine	Muscarinic receptor agonist	SA002, SA121 (hESC)	10 <sup>-6</sup> -10 <sup>-9</sup> M	Microscopy	↓ Beat rate	[123]
Adrenaline	β1-Adrenoceptor agonist	KRES1 (hESC), 201B7 (hiPSC)	0.5-50 $\mu$ M	MEA	↑ Beat rate	[124]
		SA002, SA121 (hESC)	10 <sup>-9</sup> -10 <sup>-5</sup>	Microscopy	↓ Beat rate	[123]
Atenolol	β1-Adrenoceptor antagonist	SA002, SA121 (hESC)	10 <sup>-6</sup> -10 <sup>-6</sup>	Microscopy	↓ Beat rate, blocked effect of adrenaline	[124]
Amilorone	K channel blocker	KRES1 (hESC), 201B7 (hiPSC)	1-100 $\mu$ M	MEA	Blocked effect of acetylcholine	[123]
Atropine	Competitive Ach inhibitor	SA002, SA121 (hESC)	10 <sup>-6</sup>	Microscopy	No effect on APD and triangulation	[61]
ATX-II	<i>I<sub>Na,late</sub></i> enhancer	SA002 (hESC)	<1 $\mu$ mol/L	Patch	No effect on triangulation or AP prolongation	[63]
BaCl <sub>2</sub>	<i>I<sub>K1</sub></i> blocker	SA002 (hESC)	10 $\mu$ M	Patch	Increased the slope of diastolic depolarisation	[63]
Bay K8644	Calcium channel enhancer	H1 (hESC)	0.5 mM	Patch	APD <sub>50</sub> and APD <sub>90</sub> increased by 27%; no effect on triangulation	[61]
		SA002 (hESC)	1 $\mu$ M	Patch	No or little stimulation of Ca channel current amplitude.	[125]
		hiPSC (iCells, Cellular Dynamics International)	10 and 100 nM		100 nM, inhibited current. Slowed Ca channel inactivation/activation	
Caffeine	Inducer of SR Ca <sup>2+</sup> release	H1, HES2 (hESC)	10 mM	Fura-2/AM	↑ Cytosolic Ca	[126]
		hiPSC, H9.2 (hESC)	10 mM	MEA	Minor increase in diastolic [Ca <sup>2+</sup> ] <sub>i</sub> ratio	[127]
		hiH1-clone 1&2; hfb2-5 (hiPSC)	20 mM	Laser confocal Ca <sup>2+</sup> imaging	Increase in Ca induced transient amplitude-dose dependent increase	[38]
Carbamylcholine	Muscarinic receptor agonist	hFib2-iPS (hiPSC)	1 and 10 $\mu$ M	MEA	Dose-dependent ↓ in beat rate	[128]
		H2 (hESC)	0.1 mM	Patch	↓ Beat rate	[129]
		H7 (hESC)	10 $\mu$ M	Patch	Significant drop in beat rate	[130]
		H9.2 (hESC)	1 $\mu$ M	MEA	↓ In beat rate	[131]
CGP 20712A	β1-Adrenoceptor antagonist	H7 (hESC)	0.3 $\mu$ M	Patch	Reduced beating rate and further increased in conjunction with isoprenaline. No significant effect on relaxation (R <sub>50</sub> & R <sub>90</sub> )	[130]
Chromanol 293B	<i>I<sub>Ks</sub></i> block	hFib2-iPS (hiPSC)	10 and 30 $\mu$ M	MEA	Dose dependent ↓ in cFPD	[128]
		SA002 (hESC)	100 $\mu$ M	Patch	Prolonged APD <sub>90</sub> ; no EAD; no effect on triangulation	[61]
		201B7 (hiPSC)	N/S	Patch	Time and dose dependent AP prolongation	[132]
Cisapride	Serotonin 5HT agonist	UTA.00514.LQT2 (hiPSC)	40-330 nM	MEA	No ↑ in arrhythmogenicity	[82]
		LQT2-hiPSC	100 nM	MEA	↑ cFPD, ↑ arrhythmogenicity	[38]
		HES2, HES3 (hESC)	0.1 nM-1 $\mu$ M	MEA	↑ FPD only at higher concentrations	[54]
		SA002 (hESC)	0.01-1 $\mu$ M	Patch	Increase in APD <sub>90</sub> ; triangulation increased and 1/11 clusters showed EAD at 1 $\mu$ mol/L	[61]
Clenbuterol	β2-Adrenoceptor agonist	H1, H7 and H9 (hESC) and H9.1 and H9.2 (clonal)	10 <sup>-7</sup> -10 <sup>-9</sup> M	Patch	No response to contractions at day 22 and 39 of differentiations. At day 61 and 72 increase in beating frequency	[133]
Diltiazem	L-type Ca <sup>2+</sup> channel blocker	H9.2 (hESC)	1-10 $\mu$ M	MEA/patch	No effect on conduction or automaticity	[134]
		201B7 (hiPSC)	0.01 and 1 $\mu$ M	Patch	Shortened APD <sub>90</sub> and APD <sub>90</sub> ; no effect on APD <sub>90-90</sub>	[132]
		H1, H7 and H9 (hESC) and H9.1 and H9.2 (clonal)	10 <sup>-7</sup> -10 <sup>-5</sup> M	Patch	Dose dependent ↓ in beating frequency. At 10 <sup>-7</sup> mol/L frequency was significantly reduced and stopped beating at 10 <sup>-5</sup> mol/L	[133]
Digoxin	Inhibit Na <sup>+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup> -ATPase	hiPSC (iCells, Cellular Dynamics)	0.3-10 $\mu$ M	MEA	At 3 $\mu$ M, reduced Na <sup>+</sup> -spike amplitude, shortened FPD and increased Ca <sup>2+</sup> -wave amplitude	[135]
Domperidone	Multiple channel blocker	HES2, HES3 (hESC)	0.1 nM-100 $\mu$ M	MEA	Minor ↑ in FPD at ETPC unbound (5-19 nM), biphasic dose-dependent ↓ in FPD at higher concentrations	[54]
E4031	<i>I<sub>Kr</sub></i> blocker	UTA.00514.LQT2 (hiPSC)	500 nM	MEA	↑ in arrhythmogenicity (effect greater in diseased lines)	[82]
		hiPSC	3-100 nM	Patch	↑ APD50, ↑ APD90 and AP triangulation	[79]
		LQT2-hiPSC	500 nM	MEA/patch	↑ APD/cFPD, ↑ arrhythmogenicity and development of EADs	[38]
		LQT2-hiPSC	10 <sup>-1</sup> -10 <sup>-3</sup> M	MEA/patch	↑ cFPD/APD (77% ↓ in patient CMs as opposed to 50% in control CMs); EADs in 30% of LQT2-CMs vs. none in controls	[34]
		SA002 (hESC)	0.03-1 $\mu$ M	Patch	Dose-dependent ↑ APD90, ↓ AP triangulation, EADs at high concentrations	[136]

Table 2. (continued)

AGENT	Mechanism of action	hPSC lines	Drug conc. (M)	Detection method	Observed effect on hPSC-CMs	Refs
Erythromycin	$I_{Kr}$ blocker	HESC	100 nM	Patch	Prolongation of AP; greater effect on APD90 than APD50	[137]
Flecainide	Na channel blocker	HES2, HES3 (hESC)	30–300 nM	MEA	Dose dependent $\uparrow$ in FPD, $\downarrow$ in beat rate at micromolar concentrations, EADs between $1^{-3}$ $\mu$ M in 3/4 experiments	[54]
Forskolin	Adenylylacyclase stimulator	201B7 (hiPSC)	10–100 nM 0.01, 0.1 and 1 $\mu$ M	MEA Patch	$\uparrow$ FPD Prolonged APD <sub>90</sub> , APD <sub>90</sub> and APD <sub>30-90</sub> in concentration dependent manner; EAD in 2/4 cells	[138] [132]
FPL 64176	L-type $Ca^{2+}$ channel activator	hFib2-IPS (hiPSC)	500 and 1,000 nM	MEA	Dose dependent $\uparrow$ in cFPD	[128]
Heptanol	Gap junction blocker	H1 (hESC)	10 $\mu$ M	Patch	Non-reversible $\uparrow$ APD after 30 seconds. Late stage differentiation depolarised diastolic potential/ $\uparrow$ frequency of spontaneous AP	[63]
IBMX (isobutyl methylxanthine)	Phosphodiesterase inhibitor	UTA.00514.LQT2 (hiPSC) KHES1 (hESC), 201B7 (hiPSC) H9.2 (hESC)	1.5–16 $\mu$ M 0.1–10 $\mu$ M	Patch	AP $\uparrow$ in both atrial and ventricular like-CMs but APD 90 and APD50 response dependent on subtype	[139]
ICI 118,551	$\beta_2$ -Adrenoceptor antagonist	H9.2 (hESC) H1, H7 and H9 (hESC) and H9.1 and H9.2 (clonal) H7 (hESC)	0.4 mM 10 $\mu$ M 50 nM	MEA MEA patch	No $\uparrow$ in arrhythmogenicity No effect on beat rate $\uparrow$ beat rate	[82] [124] [131] [123]
Isoprenaline	$\beta_1/\beta_2$ -Adrenoceptor agonist	L-type $Ca^{2+}$ channel activator HESC (Geron)	$10^{-12}$ – $10^{-7}$ M 100–1,000 nM	Microscopy Patch	Increase in beat rate Variable $\uparrow$ in Ca channel current amplitude. Slowed Ca channel activation, inactivation and tail current kinetics uncoupling of cardiomyocytes	[125] [122]
		H1 (hESC) H9.2 (hESC) H1, H7 and H9 (hESC) and H9.1 and H9.2 (clonal) H7 (hESC)	0.4 mM 10 $\mu$ M 50 nM	MEA MEA patch	$\uparrow$ beat rate Dose dependent increase in contraction rate	[131] [133]
		H7 (hESC)	50 nM	Patch	In presence of ICI, increase in beating rate with isoprenaline reduced. Significant acceleration of relaxation ( $R_{90}$ )	[130]
		UTA.00514.LQT2 (hiPSC) H7 (hESC)	80 nM 0.1 $\mu$ M 0.001–10 $\mu$ M	MEA Patch	$\uparrow$ Chronotropy (both diseased and control lines) Increase in beat rate; R50 and R90, were reduced	[82] [130]
		LQT2 hiPSC	$10^{-1}$ – $10^{-3}$	MEA/patch	Dose dependent increase in beat rate; EC <sub>50</sub> of 12.9 nM	[34]
		IMR90 C1, IMR90 C4 (hiPSC), H1, H9 (hESC) HUES7, NOTT1 (hESC) H2 (hESC) IPSC, H9.2hESC(hESC) CBPSC6.2 (hiPSC)	1 $\mu$ M 1 $\mu$ M 1 $\mu$ M $10^{-9}$ – $10^{-7}$ M 20 $\mu$ M	Patch MEA Patch MEA Optical voltage maps	$\downarrow$ In APD, $\uparrow$ in beat rate $\uparrow$ Beat rate, $\downarrow$ FPD $\uparrow$ Beat rate Concentration dependent positive inotropic effect $\downarrow$ AP, $\uparrow$ conduction velocity	[140] [8] [129] [127] [141]
		SA002 (hESC)	0.1 $\mu$ M	Patch	$\uparrow$ Beating frequency, $\downarrow$ APD; suppresses E4031-induced EADs	[136]
		hFib2-IPS (hiPSC) LQTI-hiPSC LQT2-hiPSC KHES1 (hESC), 201B7 (hiPSC) 201B7 (hiPSC) H1 (hESC) H9.2 (hESC)	1 and 10 $\mu$ M 100 nM 10 $\mu$ M 0.01–1 $\mu$ M 200–500 nM 1 $\mu$ M 1 $\mu$ M	MEA Patch MEA MEA MEA MEA MEA	Dose-dependent $\uparrow$ in beat rate 15% $\uparrow$ in APD90/AP, $\uparrow$ risk of arrhythmias, EADs $\uparrow$ Chronotropy Dose-dependent $\uparrow$ in beat rate $\uparrow$ Beat rate, $\downarrow$ FPD $\uparrow$ Beating frequency	[128] [81] [38] [124] [138] [122] [131]
Ketoconazole	Cyp34a inhibitor	H1, H7 and H9 (hESC) and H9.1 and H9.2 (clonal) H1, H7, H9, H14 (hESC) HES2, HES3 (hESC)	1 $\mu$ M/L 0.3 nM–30 $\mu$ M 10 $\mu$ M	Patch MEA	Enhanced the contraction rate in dose dependent manner, at differentiation day 15–20 Increase in magnitude of contraction	[139]
Lactipine	L-type $Ca^{2+}$ channel blocker	H1 (hESC)	0.3 nM–30 $\mu$ M 10 $\mu$ M	Patch MEA	No effect on FPD Reduction in plateau duration and height of AP profile recorded from 40 day old beating cluster	[54] [63]

Table 2. (continued)

AGENT	Mechanism of action	hPSC lines	Drug conc. (M)	Detection method	Observed effect on hPSC-CMs	Refs
Lidocaine	Voltage-gated Na <sup>+</sup> channel inhibitor	HES2, HES3 (hESC)	0 pM–100 μM	MEA	Cessation of beating in the 30–100 μM range	[54]
Mexiletine	Na <sup>+</sup> channel blocker	H1 (hESC)	100 μM	MEA	Conduction rate	[122]
Nadolol	β-Adrenoceptor antagonist	201B7 (hiPSC)	100, 1,000 μM	Patch	Concentration dependent inhibition of I <sub>Na</sub>	[131]
Nicorandil	I <sub>KATP</sub> opener	KHES1 (hESC), 201B7 (hiPSC)	0.1–10 μM	MEA	No effect on beat rate	[124]
Nifedipine	L-type Ca <sup>2+</sup> channel blocker	LOT2 hiPSC	10 <sup>-1</sup> –10 <sup>-3</sup> M	Patch	Attenuation of isoprenaline-induced arrhythmias	[34]
		hiPSC	10 <sup>-7</sup> –10 <sup>-9</sup> M	Patch	↓ APD, abolishment of spontaneously occurring EADs	[34]
		LOT2-hiPSC	3–100 nM	Patch	↓ APD10, ↓ APD50, ↓ APD90	[79]
			1 μM	MEA/patch	↓ cFPD, ↓ APD and ↓ APD90; eliminated EADs and triggered beats	[38]
		HES2, HES3 (hESC)	10 nM–1 μM	MEA	Dose dependent ↓ in FPD, ↑ in beat rate, but no arrhythmic activity, loss of spontaneous activity between 300 nM and 1 μM	[54]
		H9.2 (hESC)	0.1–1 μM	MEA/patch	No effect on conduction or automaticity	[134]
		SA002 (hESC)	10 nM	Patch	Shortened AP; negated effect of BAY K8644	[61]
		hiPSC (iCells, Cellular Dynamics)	0.01–3 μM	MEA	Accelerated beat rate; shortened FPDcf; reduced Ca wave amplitude; reduction of Na spike amplitude by 20% at 3 μM	[135]
		hiPSC (iCells, Cellular Dynamics); hESC(Geron)	6 nM(hESC); 3 nM(hiPSC)	Patch	Inhibit Ca <sup>2+</sup> channel currents	[125]
		hiH1-clone 1&2; hiH2-5 (hiPSC)	1 μM	Laser confocal Ca <sup>2+</sup> imaging	Elimination of whole cell (Ca <sup>2+</sup> ); transients; decrease in (Ca <sup>2+</sup> ); transients amplitude at lower nifedipine concentration	[142]
Oubain	Inhibit Na <sup>+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup> -ATPase	hiPSC (iCells, Cellular Dynamics)	0.3–10 μM	MEA	Time and dose dependent-reduced Na <sup>+</sup> -spike amplitude, shortened FPDcf and increased Ca <sup>2+</sup> -wave amplitude	[135]
PD-118057	Type 2 I <sub>Kr</sub> channel enhancer	LOT2 hiPSC	10 <sup>-1</sup> –10 <sup>-3</sup> M	Patch	↓ APD	[34]
Phenoxybenzamine	α1-α2-Adrenoceptor antagonist	SA 002 and SA 121 (hESC)	10 <sup>-7</sup> –10 <sup>-5</sup> M	Microscopy	Reduces beat rate	[123]
Phenylephrine	α1-Adrenoceptor antagonist	HES2 (hESC)	0.1 mM	Patch	↑ Beat rate	[129]
		H1, H7 and H9 (hESC) and H9.1 and H9.2 (clonal)	10 <sup>-4</sup> –10 <sup>-8</sup> M	Patch	↑ Contraction rate in dose dependent manner, at differentiation day 15–20	[133]
Pinacidil	I <sub>KATP</sub> opener	SA 002 and SA 121 (hESC)	10 <sup>-7</sup> –10 <sup>-11</sup> M	Patch	Dose dependent increase in contractile activity	[123]
		CBiPSC6.2 (hiPSC)	100 μM	Optical voltage maps	↓ AP, ↑ conduction velocity	[141]
		LOT2-hiPSC	1 μM	MEA/patch	↓ cFPD, ↓ APD and ↓ APD90, eliminated EADs/triggered beats	[38]
Procainamide	Na <sup>+</sup> channel blocker	KHES1 (hESC), 201B7 (hiPSC)	10–1,000 μM	MEA	No effect on beat rate	[124]
Propranolol	β-Adrenoceptor antagonist	LOT1-hiPSC	200 nM	Patch	Attenuation of catecholamine-induced tachyarrhythmias	[81]
		LOT2 hiPSC	10 <sup>-1</sup> –10 <sup>-3</sup> M	MEA/patch	Attenuation of isoprenaline-induced arrhythmias	[34]
		KHES1 (hESC), 201B7 (hiPSC)	0.3–30 μM	MEA	No effect on beat rate, blocked effect of isoprenaline	[123]
Quinidine	Multiple ion channel blocker (I <sub>to</sub> , I <sub>KAP1</sub> , I <sub>K1</sub> , I <sub>Kr</sub> , I <sub>Ks</sub> , I <sub>Ca</sub> , I <sub>NaL</sub> )	hiH2-IPS (hiPSC)	100 μM	MEA	↑ in cFPD, variable effect on the amplitude of the 1st negative peak of the FP, variable effect on chronotropy	[128]
		HES2, HES3 (hESC)	0.1 nM–100 μM	MEA	Dose dependent ↑ in FPD and OTI (i.e. prolonged FPD at physiologically relevant plasma concentrations)	[54]
Ranolazine	Multiple ion channel blocker (I <sub>Kr</sub> , I <sub>Ca</sub> , I <sub>NaL</sub> )	201B7 (hiPSC)	100 μM	MEA	↑ FP amplitude	[138]
		HES2 (hESC)	4–50 μM	MEA	↑ APD	[143]
		LOT2-hiPSC	15–50 μM	MEA/patch	No change in cFPD/APD, pronounced anti-arrhythmic effect	[38]
Ryanodine	Ryanodine receptor inhibitor	H1, HES2 (hESC)	10 μM	Fura-2/MEA	↓ Ca current amplitude	[126]
		hiH1-clone 1&2; hiH2-5 (hiPSC)	10 μM	Laser confocal Ca <sup>2+</sup> imaging	Significant reduction in Ca <sub>2+</sub> release. Increasing doses of ryanodine led to increase in % decrease in (Ca <sup>2+</sup> ).	[142]
		H9.2 (hESC)	10 μM	Fura-2/MEA	No effect on contraction	[144]
		hiPSC, H9.2 (hESC)	10 μM	MEA	↓ in contraction in iPSC-CMs, No effect on contractions in hESC-CMs	[127]
Sertindole	Multiple ion channel blocker (I <sub>Kr</sub> , I <sub>Ca</sub> , I <sub>NaL</sub> )	HES2, HES3 (hESC)	0.01 nM–100 μM	MEA	No effect on FPD at ETPC unbound (0.02–1.59 nM), relatively weak ↓ in FPD at higher concentrations	[54]

Table 2. (continued)

AGENT	Mechanism of action	hPSC lines	Drug conc. (M)	Detection method	Observed effect on hPSC-CMs	Refs
Sotalol	$I_{Kr}$ blocker	UTA.00514.LQT2hiPSC	19 $\mu$ M	MEA	$\uparrow$ In arrhythmogenicity (only in diseased lines)	[82]
		HES2, HES3 (hESC)	0.1 nM–100 $\mu$ M	MEA	Dose dependent $\uparrow$ in FPD and QTc (i.e. prolong FPD at physiologically relevant plasma concentrations)	[54]
		H1 (hESC)	300 $\mu$ M	MEA	$\uparrow$ FP duration; time dependent $\uparrow$ of repolarisation phase; no significant change in beating rate	[145]
Sparfloxacin	$I_{Kr}$ blocker	HES2, HES3 (hESC)	0.1 nM–100 $\mu$ M	MEA	No effect on FPD at ETPC unbound (0.19–1.76 $\mu$ M), $\uparrow$ FPD at higher concentrations	[54]
Sunitinib malate	$I_{Kr}$ blocker	iCells, Cellular Dynamics	1–30 $\mu$ M	MEA	$\uparrow$ cFPD, dose-dependent $\downarrow$ in beat rate, arrhythmic beats at 10 $\mu$ M, with altered amplitude and beat duration at 30 $\mu$ M	[146]
Tetradotoxin	Voltage-gated $Na^+$ channel inhibitor	hiPSC	3–30 $\mu$ M	Patch	Delay in upstroke, $\downarrow$ dI/dI <sub>max</sub>	[79]
		hFib2-iPS (hiPSC)	10 $\mu$ M	MEA	$\downarrow$ In conduction time	[128]
		H9.2 (hESC) Miz-hES2 and HSF-6 (hESC)	10–100 $\mu$ M 200 nM	MEA Patch	$\downarrow$ Conduction rate and beat rate, local conduction blocks Complete depletion of action potential	[134] [147]
Terfenadine	Multiple ion channel blocker ( $I_{Kr}$ , $I_{Ca}$ , $I_{NaL}$ )	HES2, HES3 (hESC)	0.1 nM–100 $\mu$ M	MEA	No effect on FPD at ETPC unbound (0.1–0.29 nM), $\uparrow$ FPD at higher concentrations but $\downarrow$ FPD at micromolar concentrations	[54]
Thapsigargin	SERCA2A inhibitor	H1, HES2 (hESC) H9.2 (hESC)	0.01, 0.1 and 1 $\mu$ M	Patch	Prolonged APD <sub>50</sub> , APD <sub>90</sub> and APD <sub>30-90</sub>	[132]
U73122	Phospholipase C inhibitor	hiH-1-clone 1&2; hFib2-5 (hiPSC)	0.1–1 $\mu$ M 10 nM	Fura-2/AM Fura-2/MEA	$\downarrow$ Amplitude of Ca transients No effect on contraction	[126] [144]
Verapamil	Multiple ion channel blocker ( $I_{Kr}$ , $I_{Ca}$ )	hFib2-iPS (hiPSC)	2 $\mu$ M	Confocal $Ca^{2+}$ imaging MEA	Significant $\downarrow$ in $Ca^{2+}$ release. Increasing doses of ryanodine led to increase in % decrease in $Ca^{2+}$ .	[142]
		hiH-1-clone 1&2; hFib2-5 (hiPSC)	1 and 5 $\mu$ M	MEA	Dose dependent $\downarrow$ in cFPD and beating frequency (complete arrest of spontaneous beating frequency at 5 $\mu$ M/L)	[128]
		KhES1 (hESC), 201B7 (hiPSC) HES2, HES3 (hESC)	10 $\mu$ M 0.1–10 $\mu$ M 25–81 nM	Confocal $Ca^{2+}$ imaging MEA MEA	Dose dependent $\downarrow$ in whole cell ( $Ca^{2+}$ ), transients amplitude in hiH-1 and hFib2-5 Dose-dependent $\downarrow$ in beat rate Minor FPD shortening at ETPC unbound (25–81 nM), greater $\downarrow$ in FPD at higher concentrations	[142] [124] [152]
Veratridine	Na channel modulator	201B7 (hiPSC)	10–1,000 nM 0.01, 0.1 and 1 $\mu$ M	MEA Patch	$\downarrow$ FPD Shortening of APD <sub>30</sub> , APD <sub>90</sub> ; prolongation of APD <sub>30-90</sub>	[138] [132]
		HES2 (hESC) SA002, SA121 (hESC)	5 $\mu$ M $10^{-12}$ – $10^{-9}$ M	Fura-2/patch Microscopy	$\downarrow$ Beat rate Reduced or stopped contractile activity	[129] [123]
		HESC SA002 (hESC)	10 nM	Patch	Prolonged AP/increased triangulation; reversible	[137]
Zatebradine	$I_{Kr}$ blocker	H1 (hESC) H1 (hESC)	0.1, 1 and 10 $\mu$ M 10 $\mu$ M	Patch Patch	Increasing concentration caused slowing of beating and changes APD and triangulation. EADs	[61]
ZD7288	$I_f$ blocker	H1 (hESC)	10 $\mu$ M NA	Patch MEA	$\downarrow$ Depolarisation rate and spontaneous rhythm $\downarrow$ Beating frequency	[63] [122]

hiPSC, human pluripotent stem cells; hESC, human embryonic stem cells; hiPSC, human induced pluripotent stem cells; N/S, not specified; patch, patch clamp electrophysiology; MEA, multi-electrode array; APD, action potential duration; FPD, field potential duration; EADs, early after depolarisations; QTc, QT interval; CM, cardiomyocytes; ETPC, estimated unbound therapeutic plasma concentrations.

Detailed studies to resolve these issues are required, as is a consensus of the best cell type to reprogram and how.

In addition to the careful consideration of how disease presentation will be phenotyped *in vitro* (discussed earlier), there is also an issue of whether hPSC derivatives mature sufficiently in culture to make them fit for their intended purpose. To date, drug treatment and phenotypic studies in hiPSC-derived neurons have been more successful for neurodevelopmental disorders than late-onset neurodegenerative disorders, likely because of the foetal-like properties of the cells [60]. The absence of functional potassium channels ( $I_{K1}$ ) and shifted activation of sodium channels ( $I_{Na}$ ) indicates an immature status of hPSC-cardiomyocytes, and has raised concerns about their suitability in drug screening [61]. Therefore it is encouraging that maturation of hPSC-cardiomyocytes can be facilitated by prolonged time culture [62, 63], transgenic overexpression of calsequestrin [64], formation of 3D aggregates [62], tissue-engineered constructs and mechanical stress [65, 66].

It is unlikely that hiPSC technology will successfully model all disorders. The epigenetic status that underlies some diseases will be erased during somatic cell reprogramming, while for other conditions a suitable phenotype may not be present in an *in vitro* setting [59]. Although several studies have now demonstrated robust association with the phenotypes and drug responses seen in hiPSCs models with known patient pathologies (e.g. LQTS), similar validation is required for a broad range of conditions (Table 1). The timing of some late onset conditions may exceed the lifespan of hiPSC-derivatives in culture, and innovative strategies are required. For example, the dopaminergic neurons differentiated from hiPSCs carrying a mutation in the PINK1 gene (causes Parkinson's disease) only showed altered patterns of survival when additionally treated with a mitochondrial stressor [67]. Finally, differentiation of the hiPSC into relevant cell types is necessary. So far, hiPSC modelling has been restricted to about 10 tissue or organ systems (Table 1) and future work will be needed to expand this range.

## Industrial scalability of hPSC technologies

For hPSC derivatives to be used for disease modelling and drug screening at an industrial level (Fig. 1), sufficient numbers of cells need to be produced in a cost-effective manner. Undifferentiated hPSCs have been produced using stirred bioreactors in suspension [68] and using fully automated robotic platforms such as the Compact SelecT, which cultures adherent cells in up to 90 T175 flasks [69]. However, the cost of the reagents for hPSC culture is prohibitive because of the reliance of expensive culture media that contain various growth factors. To this end, high throughput screening has sought to identify putative chemicals that maintain pluripotency in the absence of growth factors or that improve cell survival after passage [70–72]. Such approaches have identified a series of inhibitors of the Rho kinase pathway and pro-survival compounds such as Y27632 that are now used by many labs during routine hPSC culture. The same degree of success has not been achieved in replacing basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF), which remains the gold standard for maintaining hPSC pluripotency in many labs.

Similar to the undifferentiated state, scaled production of differentiated lineages has been achieved, but also tends to rely on costly growth factors; in the case of hPSC-cardiomyocytes these typically include bFGF, bone morphogenetic protein (BMP4) and activin A [11]. Commercial production of hPSC-cardiomyocytes is now in progress, with GE-healthcare, Cellular Dynamics International and Cellartis/Cellectis charging approximately \$2000–3000 per vial of  $\sim 1$  million cells. It is encouraging that small molecules that promote cardiac differentiation are being identified from high throughput screens and from rational compound selection (Table 3). Time- and concentration-dependent application of the BMP inhibitor, dorsomorphin, has proved to be highly effective in improving cardiomyocyte differentiation efficiencies [73]. In time, it is hoped that such strategies will allow hPSC-cardiomyocytes to be produced to short time scales, in large quantities at low cost. This goal has been achieved for production of  $>3 \times 10^9$  mPSC-cardiomyocytes in stirred bioreactors [74]. Elegant work has also shown pipeline conversion of mouse fibroblasts into iPSCs and then into iPSC-cardiomyocytes in a single suspension bioreactor [75]; the challenge now is to translate the high efficiency 'inducible secondary' iPSC reprogramming into a technology that is compatible with human cells.

## Progress towards high throughput analysis

In an industrial setting, drug discovery and safety evaluation relies on high content imaging of many thousands of wells in 96-, 384- and 1,536-well plates (Fig. 1). Various manufacturers offer fully automated platforms [76] such as BD pathway (BD Biosciences), In Cell Analyser 2000 (GE-healthcare), ImageXpress (Molecular Devices), Opera (Perkin Elmer) and Cellomics Arrayscan (ThermoFisher). These deliver a vast array of information on cell physiology and function, including cell number, cell shape/size, proliferation, viability, membrane integrity, phagocytosis, apoptosis, cell migration, cell-cell contacts and organelle health (e.g. numbers, size, shape, activity of nucleus, mitochondria, lysosomes) [77]. Fluorescent assays are also used to readout on G-protein coupled receptor (GPCR) activity, calcium handling and transgenic reporter expression [77]. As discussed above, such platforms have been used to evaluate molecules that help maintain pluripotency or promote differentiation of hPSCs but they are starting to find use in phenotypic evaluation of differentiated cells. The Cellomics Arrayscan platform was used to evaluate the effect of various modulators of hypertrophy (e.g. angiotensin II, phenylephrine, p38-MAPK) on cell morphology of hPSC-cardiomyocytes by examining 1,000–1,500 cells per well in 96-well plate formats [78]. Data have been presented by Cellular Dynamics International on quantification of the cardiotoxic effect of valinomycin, etoposide and rotenone in hPSC-cardiomyocytes using high content imaging of changes in mitochondrial and lysosomal physiology, DNA damage and oxidative stress. At a recent Predictive Toxicology Meeting in London (February 2012), data from GE-healthcare showed how 26 anti-cancer agents changed 19 different cell morphological and functional parameters in hPSC-cardiomyo-

**Table 3. Agents that influence cardiomyocyte differentiation of human pluripotent stem cells**

Agent	Cells	When added	Conc.	Observations	Refs	
Small molecules	Ascorbic acid	Throughout differentiation	50 µg/mL	Improved cardiac differentiation and maturation	[148]	
	5-Azacytidine	Day 6-8 of differentiation	1 or 10 µM	Increased aMHC expression	[133]	
	DMSO	EBs in suspension and 24-48 hours postplating	0.01%	Upregulation of mesoderm markers	[149]	
	Retinoic acid	Postplating of EBs	1 µM	Activate ectodermal and mesodermal markers	[150]	
	ITS	Day 0-2 and 4 onwards	1 ×	Insulin from d2-d4 inhibited cardiac specification	[141]	
	Cyclosporin-A	d8 of END2 co-culture (hiPSC)	3 µg/mL	Number of beating colonies increased	[151]	
	Inhibitors	H9 hESC	Day 4-6 of EB differentiation	5-10 µM	2.1-fold increase in cardiomyocytes	[152]
		HES2, 3, 4 hESC	Day 0 of EB differentiation	10 µM	One-time addition increased percentage of beating EBs	[153]
		HES2, hPSC	Day 3-5 of EB differentiation	5.4 µM	aMHC RNA increased by 70%	[73]
		HES3, H9, MEL1 hESC	Day 3-15 monolayer differentiation	5 µM	IWP-4 induced expression of cardiac markers	[154]
hESC		Day 4-5 on plating of EBs	2 µM	Promoted cardiogenesis by about 40 times compared to DKK1	[155]	
hESC		Day 4-5 on plating of EBs	4 µM	Maximal cardiac induction by IWR-1 corresponds from day 4-5		
hESC		Day 4-5 on plating of EBs	1 µM	Promoted cardiogenesis by about 40-fold compared to DKK1		
hESC		Day 4-5 on plating of EBs	2.5 µM	Promoted cardiogenesis by about 40-fold compared to DKK1		
XAV939 (inhibitor of tankyrase)		Day 5-11 monolayer differentiation	200 ng/mL	Increased cardiomyocyte generation	[156]	
DKK1 (Wnt inhibitor)		4 or 6 days in culture	1 µM	Synergy between BMP2, Wnt3a and SU5402 (FGF receptor inhibitor) facilitate precardiac mesoderm	[157]	
SU5402 (FGF receptor inhibitor)						
Growth factors	Noggin (BMP4 inhibitor)	Day 4-5 in differentiation media	250 ng/mL	Timed inhibition increased cardiac differentiation efficiency	[158]	
	Dorsomorphin (BMP inhibitor)	Day 3-5 of EB differentiation	0.25 µM	In presence of SB431542 and dorsomorphin, cTnT positive cells increased fourfold	[73]	
	BMS-189453 (RA receptor antagonist)	Day 6-9 in differentiation media	1 µM	Timed inhibition of RA signalling promotes cardiac differentiation	[158]	
	WNT3a	Day 1-4 of differentiation	25 ng/mL	Wnt3a and BMP4 are prominent cytokines in the posterior primitive streak and direct cells toward mesoderm	[159]	
	TGFbeta1	Pre-differentiation culture	0.5 ng/mL	Used in culture and pre-treatment of undifferentiated hPSCs	[160]	
	FGF-2	Day 0-2 of EB differentiation	5 ng/mL	Combination of BMP4 and FGF2 was determined to be necessary for efficient cardiac differentiation	[141]	
	EGF	Postplating of EBs	100 ng/mL	Factors (EGF, RA, BMP4, and bFGF) activate ectodermal and mesodermal markers	[150]	
	Activin-A	Day 0-3 of differentiation	6 ng/mL	Cardiomyocyte induction in RPM1/B27 media supplemented with activin A and BMP4	[154]	
	BMP4	Day 0-2 of EB differentiation	25 ng/mL	Combination of BMP4 and FGF2 was determined to be necessary for efficient cardiac differentiation	[141]	
	BMP2	4 Days in EB suspension 4 or 6 days in culture	25 ng/mL 10 ng/mL	BMP4 treatment promotes cardiac induction from hESCs Synergy between BMP2, Wnt3a and SU5402 (FGF receptor inhibitor) facilitate precardiac mesoderm	[161] [157]	

DMSO, dimethyl sulphoxide; ITS, insulin-transferrin-selenium; IWP, inhibitor of WNT production; DKK1, Dickkopf-related protein 1; EGF, epidermal growth factor; WNT, wingless-int; BMP, bone morphogenetic protein; RA, retinoic acid; FGF, fibroblast growth factor; TGF-beta, transforming growth factor beta; cTnT, cardiac troponin-T; EBs, embryoid bodies; hiPSCs, human induced pluripotent stem cells; hESCs, human embryonic stem cells; aMHC, alpha myosin heavy chain.

cytes. The analysis was carried out on three replicates, two timepoints and seven doses in a 384-well plate format using the In Cell 2000 platform. This analysis produced graphical profile sets that were associated with high, moderate, low or no drug-induced cellular toxicity.

High throughput electrophysiology provides a route to recording functional readouts from viable cells. The pharmaceutical industry uses PatchXpress, IonWorks and QT-screen to assess the effect of channel modulators on transgenic CHO cells overexpressing  $I_{Kr}$  potassium channel. Recently, it was demonstrated that high purity hPSC-cardiomyocytes could be adapted to the PatchXpress platform [79]. This allowed simultaneous recording from 16 channels and the authors quantified the effect of tetrodotoxin, nifedipine and E4031 on  $I_{Na}$ ,  $I_{Ca-L}$  and  $I_{Kr}$ , respectively [79]. Further integration of hPSC-derivatives into high throughput platforms will help accelerate the use of these cells by the pharmaceutical industry.

## Conclusions and future perspectives

Recent developments have boosted the likelihood of widespread use of hPSC-derivatives in disease modelling and drug development. Reprogramming somatic cells with four genetic factors has allowed rapid derivation of many hiPSC disease models. Differentiation efficiencies have radically improved, while clinical pathologies have been demonstrably replicated in cardiac and neural hiPSC-based models. Such models respond appropriately to pharmacological challenge, particularly for LQTS and potassium or calcium channel blockers. Nevertheless, hPSC technology requires improvements. Standardised methods that stabilise the genotype, epigenome and phenotype of hPSCs and their derivatives are paramount, as are methods to quantify phenotypic responses in lineages other than hPSC-cardiomyocytes and neurons. Current differentiation methods yield heterogeneous populations of immature cells; for cardiomyocytes, this includes ventricular, atrial and pacemaker subtypes [34], but mature ventricular cells are most relevant to drug safety assessment. Although hPSCs and their derivatives are adaptable to high throughput screening, current methods are not cost effective. These are surmountable issues, especially when driven by the needs of the pharmaceutical industry, where industry figures show that 98% of sales are based on products of >5 years old. 110,000 jobs have recently been lost in the US, and patent expiry will cost the industry USD\$130 during 2011–2014. Not surprisingly, most major pharmaceutical companies now have in-house stem cell programmes, and collaborate with academic groups or purchase hPSC products from commercial suppliers [39]. Just as new bioinformatics approaches are being applied to predict adverse drug interactions [80], so too will hPSC technologies in order to further understand disease and develop new drugs. Estimates indicate that even if an assay improves predictability of toxicity in humans by just 1%, up to \$100 million will be saved by the pharmaceutical industry. Therefore, even small, incremental, improvements can be extremely worthwhile pursuing.

## Acknowledgments

Financial support is from British Heart Foundation, Medical Research Council, Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council and Engineering and Physical Research Council.

*The authors have declared no conflict of interest.*

## References

1. Thomson JA, Itskovitz-Eldor J, Shapiro SS, Waknitz MA, et al. 1998. Embryonic stem cell lines derived from human blastocysts. *Science* **282**: 1145–7.
2. Yu J, Vodyanik MA, Smuga-Otto K, Antosiewicz-Bourget J, et al. 2007. Induced pluripotent stem cell lines derived from human somatic cells. *Science* **318**: 1917–20.
3. Takahashi K, Tanabe K, Ohnuki M, Narita M, et al. 2007. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from adult human fibroblasts by defined factors. *Cell* **131**: 861–72.
4. Vazin T, Freed WJ. 2010. Human embryonic stem cells: derivation, culture, and differentiation: a review. *Restor Neurol Neurosci* **28**: 589–603.
5. Denning C, Allegrucci C, Priddle H, Barbadillo-Munoz MD, et al. 2006. Common culture conditions for maintenance and cardiomyocyte differentiation of the human embryonic stem cell lines, BG01 and HUES-7. *Int J Dev Biol* **50**: 27–37.
6. Ludwig TE, Bergendahl V, Levenstein ME, Yu J, et al. 2006. Feeder-independent culture of human embryonic stem cells. *Nat Methods* **3**: 637–46.
7. Wang L, Schulz TC, Sherrer ES, Dauphin DS, et al. 2007. Self-renewal of human embryonic stem cells requires insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor and ERBB2 receptor signaling. *Blood* **110**: 4111–9.
8. Mahlstedt MM, Anderson D, Sharp JS, McGilvray R, et al. 2009. Maintenance of pluripotency in human embryonic stem cells cultured on a synthetic substrate in conditioned medium. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **105**: 130–40.
9. Harb N, Archer TK, Sato N. 2008. The Rho-Rock-Myosin signaling axis determines cell-cell integrity of self-renewing pluripotent stem cells. *PLoS One* **3**: e3001.
10. Melkounian Z, Weber JL, Weber DM, Fadeev AG, et al. 2010. Synthetic peptide-acrylate surfaces for long-term self-renewal and cardiomyocyte differentiation of human embryonic stem cells. *Nat Biotechnol* **28**: 606–10.
11. Burridge PW, Keller G, Gold JD, Wu JC. 2012. Production of de novo cardiomyocytes: human pluripotent stem cell differentiation and direct reprogramming. *Cell Stem Cell* **10**: 16–28.
12. Wirth E, III, Lebkowski JS, Lebacqz K, Response to Frederic Bretzner et al. 2011. Target populations for first-in-human embryonic stem cell research in spinal cord injury. *Cell Stem Cell* **8**: 476–8.
13. Medina RJ, Archer DB, Stitt AW, 2011. Eyes open to stem cells: safety trial may pave the way for cell therapy to treat retinal disease in patients. *Stem Cell Res Ther* **2**: 47.
14. Skarnes WC, Rosen B, West AP, Koutourakis M, et al. 2011. A conditional knockout resource for the genome-wide study of mouse gene function. *Nature* **474**: 337–42.
15. Davis RP, van den Berg CW, Casini S, Braam SR, et al. 2011. Pluripotent stem cell models of cardiac disease and their implication for drug discovery and development. *Trends Mol Med* **17**: 475–84.
16. Doevendans PA, Daemen MJ, de Muinck ED, Smits JF, 1998. Cardiovascular phenotyping in mice. *Cardiovasc Res* **39**: 34–49.
17. Morano I, 1999. Tuning the human heart molecular motors by myosin light chains. *J Mol Med (Berl)* **77**: 544–55.
18. Lyons GE, Schiaffino S, Sassoon D, Barton P, et al. 1990. Developmental regulation of myosin gene expression in mouse cardiac muscle. *J Cell Biol* **111**: 2427–36.
19. Dubois NC, Craft AM, Sharma P, Elliott DA, et al. 2011. SIRPA is a specific cell-surface marker for isolating cardiomyocytes derived from human pluripotent stem cells. *Nat Biotechnol* **29**: 1011–8.
20. Bokil NJ, Baisden JM, Radford DJ, Summers KM, 2010. Molecular genetics of long QT syndrome. *Mol Genet Metab* **101**: 1–8.
21. Ebert AD, Yu J, Rose FF, Mattis VB, et al. 2009. Induced pluripotent stem cells from a spinal muscular atrophy patient. *Nature* **457**: 277–80.

22. Devine MJ, Ryten M, Vodicka P, Thomson AJ, et al. 2011. Parkinson's disease induced pluripotent stem cells with triplication of the alpha-synuclein locus. *Nat Commun* 2: 440–49.
23. Harris A, 1997. Towards an ovine model of cystic fibrosis. *Hum Mol Genet* 6: 2191–4.
24. Grskovic M, Javaherian A, Strulovici B, Daley GQ, 2011. Induced pluripotent stem cells – opportunities for disease modelling and drug discovery. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 10: 915–29.
25. Mateizel I, De Temmerman N, Ullmann U, Cauffman G, et al. 2006. Derivation of human embryonic stem cell lines from embryos obtained after IVF and after PGD for monogenic disorders. *Hum Reprod* 21: 503–11.
26. Urbach A, Schuldiner M, Benvenisty N. 2004. Modeling for Lesch-Nyhan disease by gene targeting in human embryonic stem cells. *Stem Cells* 22: 635–41.
27. Braam SR, Denning C, Matsa E, Young LE, et al. 2008. Feeder-free culture of human embryonic stem cells in conditioned medium for efficient genetic modification. *Nat Protoc* 3: 1435–43.
28. Hockemeyer D, Wang H, Kiani S, Lai CS, et al. 2011. Genetic engineering of human pluripotent cells using TALE nucleases. *Nat Biotechnol* 29: 731–4.
29. Brennan KJ, Simone A, Jou J, Gelboin-Burkhardt C, et al. 2011. Modelling schizophrenia using human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Nature* 473: 221–5.
30. Yagi T, Ito D, Okada Y, Akamatsu W, et al. 2011. Modeling familial Alzheimer's disease with induced pluripotent stem cells. *Hum Mol Genet* 20: 4530–9.
31. Shi Y, Kirwan P, Smith J, Maclean G, et al. 2012. A human stem cell model of early Alzheimer's disease pathology in Down syndrome. *Sci Transl Med* 4: 124ra29.
32. Marchetto MC, Carroumeu C, Acab A, Yu D, et al. 2010. A model for neural development and treatment of Rett syndrome using human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Cell* 143: 527–39.
33. Carvajal-Vergara X, Sevilla A, D'Souza SL, Ang YS, et al. 2010. Patient-specific induced pluripotent stem-cell-derived models of LEOPARD syndrome. *Nature* 465: 808–12.
34. Matsa E, Rajamohan D, Dick E, Young L, et al. 2011. Drug evaluation in cardiomyocytes derived from human induced pluripotent stem cells carrying a long QT syndrome type 2 mutation. *Eur Heart J* 32: 952–62.
35. Jung CB, Moretti A, Schnitzler MM, Iop L, et al. 2011. Dantrolene rescues arrhythmogenic RYR2 defect in a patient-specific stem cell model of catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia. *EMBO Mol Med* 4: 180–91.
36. Yazawa M, Hsueh B, Jia X, Pasca AM, et al. 2011. Using induced pluripotent stem cells to investigate cardiac phenotypes in Timothy syndrome. *Nature* 471: 230–4.
37. Pasca SP, Portmann T, Voineagu I, Yazawa M, et al. 2011. Using iPSC-derived neurons to uncover cellular phenotypes associated with Timothy syndrome. *Nat Med* 17: 1657–62.
38. Itzhaki I, Maizels L, Huber I, Zwi-Dantsis L, et al. 2011. Modelling the long QT syndrome with induced pluripotent stem cells. *Nature* 471: 225–9.
39. Braam SR, Passier R, Mummery CL. 2009. Cardiomyocytes from human pluripotent stem cells in regenerative medicine and drug discovery. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 30: 536–45.
40. Pereira GC, Silva AM, Diogo CV, Carvalho FS, et al. 2011. Drug-induced cardiac mitochondrial toxicity and protection: from doxorubicin to carvedilol. *Curr Pharm Des* 17: 2113–29.
41. Hawton K, Bergen H, Waters K, Murphy E, et al. 2011. Impact of withdrawal of the analgesic Co-proxamol on nonfatal self-poisoning in the UK. *Crisis* 32: 81–7.
42. James WP, Caterson ID, Coutinho W, Finer N, et al. 2010. Effect of sibutramine on cardiovascular outcomes in overweight and obese subjects. *N Engl J Med* 363: 905–17.
43. Quigley EM. 2011. Cisapride: what can we learn from the rise and fall of a prokinetic? *J Dig Dis* 12: 147–56.
44. Pouton CW, Haynes JM. 2007. Embryonic stem cells as a source of models for drug discovery. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 6: 605–16.
45. Meyer T, Leisgen C, Gonser B, Gunther E. 2004. QT-screen: high-throughput cardiac safety pharmacology by extracellular electrophysiology on primary cardiac myocytes. *Assay Drug Dev Technol* 2: 507–14.
46. Dick E, Rajamohan D, Ronksley J, Denning C. 2010. Evaluating the utility of cardiomyocytes from human pluripotent stem cells for drug screening. *Biochem Soc Trans* 38: 1037–45.
47. May JE, Xu J, Morse HR, Avent ND, et al. 2009. Toxicity testing: the search for an in vitro alternative to animal testing. *Br J Biomed Sci* 66: 160–5.
48. Price PS, Keenan RE, Swartout JC. 2008. Characterizing interspecies uncertainty using data from studies of anti-neoplastic agents in animals and humans. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 233: 64–70.
49. Walton K, Dorne JL, Renwick AG. 2001. Uncertainty factors for chemical risk assessment: interspecies differences in the in vivo pharmacokinetics and metabolism of human CYP1A2 substrates. *Food Chem Toxicol* 39: 667–80.
50. Holmes AM, Creton S, Chapman K. 2010. Working in partnership to advance the 3Rs in toxicity testing. *Toxicology* 267: 14–9.
51. Ukelis U, Kramer PJ, Olejniczak K, Mueller SO. 2008. Replacement of in vivo acute oral toxicity studies by in vitro cytotoxicity methods: opportunities, limits and regulatory status. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol* 51: 108–18.
52. Zeevi-Levin N, Itskovitz-Eldor J, Binah O. 2012. Cardiomyocytes derived from human pluripotent stem cells for drug screening. *Pharmacol Ther* 134: 180–8.
53. Nalos L, Varkevisser R, Jonsson MK, Houtman MJ, et al. 2012. Comparison of the IKr blockers moxifloxacin, dofetilide and E-4031 in five screening models of pro-arrhythmia reveals lack of specificity of isolated cardiomyocytes. *Br J Pharmacol* 165: 467–78.
54. Braam SR, Tertoolen L, van de Stolpe A, Meyer T, et al. 2010. Prediction of drug-induced cardiotoxicity using human embryonic stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes. *Stem Cell Res* 4: 107–16.
55. Young LE, Fernandes K, McEvoy TG, Butterwith SC, et al. 2001. Epigenetic change in IGF2R is associated with fetal overgrowth after sheep embryo culture. *Nat Genet* 27: 153–4.
56. Stadtfeld M, Hochedlinger K. 2010. Induced pluripotency: history, mechanisms, and applications. *Genes Dev* 24: 2239–63.
57. Howe SJ, Mansour MR, Schwarzwaelder K, Bartholomae C, et al. 2008. Insertional mutagenesis combined with acquired somatic mutations causes leukemogenesis following gene therapy of SCID-X1 patients. *J Clin Invest* 118: 3143–50.
58. Li Y, Zhang Q, Yin X, Yang W, et al. 2011. Generation of iPSCs from mouse fibroblasts with a single gene, Oct4, and small molecules. *Cell Res* 21: 196–204.
59. Maury Y, Gauthier M, Peschanski M, Martinat C. 2011. Human pluripotent stem cells for disease modelling and drug screening. *BioEssays* 34: 61–71.
60. Juopperi TA, Song H, Ming GL. 2011. Modeling neurological diseases using patient-derived induced pluripotent stem cells. *Future Neurol* 6: 363–73.
61. Jonsson MK, Vos MA, Mirams GR, Duker G, et al. 2012. Application of human stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes in safety pharmacology requires caution beyond hERG. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* 52: 998–1008.
62. Otsuji TG, Minami I, Kurose Y, Yamauchi K, et al. 2010. Progressive maturation in contracting cardiomyocytes derived from human embryonic stem cells: qualitative effects on electrophysiological responses to drugs. *Stem Cell Res* 4: 201–13.
63. Sartiani L, Bettiol E, Stillitano F, Mugelli A, et al. 2007. Developmental changes in cardiomyocytes differentiated from human embryonic stem cells: a molecular and electrophysiological approach. *Stem Cells* 25: 1136–44.
64. Liu J, Fu JD, Siu CW, Li RA. 2007. Functional sarcoplasmic reticulum for calcium handling of human embryonic stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes: insights for driven maturation. *Stem Cells* 25: 3038–44.
65. SchAAF S, Shibamiya A, Mewe M, Eder A, et al. 2011. Human engineered heart tissue as a versatile tool in basic research and preclinical toxicology. *PLoS One* 6: e26397.
66. Dengler J, Song H, Thavandiran N, Masse S, et al. 2011. Engineered heart tissue enables study of residual undifferentiated embryonic stem cell activity in a cardiac environment. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 108: 704–19.
67. Wood-Kaczmar A, Gandhi S, Yao Z, Abramov AY, et al. 2008. PINK1 is necessary for long term survival and mitochondrial function in human dopaminergic neurons. *PLoS One* 3: e2455.
68. Steiner D, Khaner H, Cohen M, Even-Ram S, et al. 2010. Derivation, propagation and controlled differentiation of human embryonic stem cells in suspension. *Nat Biotechnol* 28: 361–4.
69. Thomas RJ, Anderson D, Chandra A, Smith NM, et al. 2009. Automated, scalable culture of human embryonic stem cells in feeder-free conditions. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 102: 1636–44.
70. Desbordes SC, Placantonakis DG, Ciro A, Succi ND, et al. 2008. High-throughput screening assay for the identification of compounds regulating self-renewal and differentiation in human embryonic stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* 2: 602–12.
71. Barbaric I, Gokhale PJ, Andrews PW. 2010. High-content screening of small compounds on human embryonic stem cells. *Biochem Soc Trans* 38: 1046–50.



72. **Andrews PD.** 2011. Discovering small molecules to control stem cell fate. *Future Med Chem* **3**: 1539–49.
73. **Kattman SJ, Witty AD, Gagliardi M, Dubois NC,** et al. 2011. Stage-specific optimization of activin/nodal and BMP signaling promotes cardiac differentiation of mouse and human pluripotent stem cell lines. *Cell Stem Cell* **8**: 228–40.
74. **Schroeder M, Niebruegge S, Werner A, Willbold E,** et al. 2005. Differentiation and lineage selection of mouse embryonic stem cells in a stirred bench scale bioreactor with automated process control. *Biotechnol Bioeng* **92**: 920–33.
75. **Fluri DA, Tonge PD, Song H, Baptista RP,** et al. 2012. Derivation, expansion and differentiation of induced pluripotent stem cells in continuous suspension cultures. *Nat Meth* **9**: 509–16.
76. **Zanella F, Lorens JB, Link W.** 2010. High content screening: seeing is believing. *Trends Biotechnol* **28**: 237–45.
77. **Zock JM.** 2009. Applications of high content screening in life science research. *Comb Chem High Throughput Screen* **12**: 870–76.
78. **Foldes G, Mioulane M, Wright JS, Liu AQ,** et al. 2010. Modulation of human embryonic stem cell-derived cardiomyocyte growth: a testbed for studying human cardiac hypertrophy? *J Mol Cell Cardiol* **50**: 367–76.
79. **Ma J, Guo L, Fiene SJ, Anson BD,** et al. 2011. High purity human-induced pluripotent stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes: electrophysiological properties of action potentials and ionic currents. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* **301**: H2006–17.
80. **Tatonetti NP, Ye PP, Daneshjoo R, Altman RB.** 2012. Data-driven prediction of drug effects and interactions. *Sci Transl Med* **4**: 125ra31.
81. **Moretti A, Bellin M, Welling A, Jung CB,** et al. 2010. Patient-specific induced pluripotent stem-cell models for long-QT syndrome. *N Engl J Med* **363**: 1397–409.
82. **Lahti AL, Kujala VJ, Chapman H, Koivisto AP,** et al. 2011. Human disease model for long QT syndrome type 2 using iPSC cells demonstrates arrhythmogenic characteristics in cell culture. *Dis Model Mech* **5**: 220–30.
83. **Fatima A, Xu G, Shao K, Papadopoulos S,** et al. 2011. In vitro modeling of ryanodine receptor 2 dysfunction using human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Cell Physiol Biochem* **28**: 579–92.
84. **Liu GH, Barkho BZ, Ruiz S, Diep D,** et al. 2011. Recapitulation of premature ageing with iPSCs from Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome. *Nature* **472**: 221–5.
85. **Zhang J, Lian Q, Zhu G, Zhou F,** et al. 2010. A human iPSC model of Hutchinson Gilford Progeria reveals vascular smooth muscle and mesenchymal stem cell defects. *Cell Stem Cell* **8**: 31–45.
86. **Park IH, Arora N, Huo H, Maherali N,** et al. 2008. Disease-specific induced pluripotent stem cells. *Cell* **134**: 877–86.
87. **Dick E, Matsa E, Bispham J, Reza M,** et al. 2011. Two new protocols to enhance the production and isolation of human induced pluripotent stem cell lines. *Stem Cell Res* **6**: 158–67.
88. **Kazuki Y, Hiratsuka M, Takiguchi M, Osaki M,** et al. 2010. Complete genetic correction of ips cells from Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *Mol Ther* **18**: 386–93.
89. **Song B, Sun G, Herszfeld D, Sylvain A,** et al. 2012. Neural differentiation of patient specific iPSC cells as a novel approach to study the pathophysiology of multiple sclerosis. *Stem Cell Res* **8**: 259–73.
90. **Chamberlain SJ, Chen P-F, Ng KY, Bourgeois-Rocha F,** et al. 2010. Induced pluripotent stem cell models of the genomic imprinting disorders Angelman and Prader-Willi syndromes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **107**: 17668–73.
91. **Yang J, Cai J, Zhang Y, Wang X,** et al. 2010. Induced pluripotent stem cells can be used to model the genomic imprinting disorder Prader-Willi syndrome. *J Biol Chem* **285**: 40303–11.
92. **Tolar J, Xia L, Riddle MJ, Lees CJ,** et al. 2010. Induced pluripotent stem cells from individuals with recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa. *J Invest Dermatol* **131**: 848–56.
93. **Chang T, Zheng W, Tsark W, Bates SE,** et al. 2011. Phenotypic rescue of induced pluripotent stem cell-derived motoneurons of a spinal muscular atrophy patient. *Stem Cells* **29**: 2090–3.
94. **Lee G, Papapetrou EP, Kim H, Chambers SM,** et al. 2009. Modelling pathogenesis and treatment of familial dysautonomia using patient-specific iPSCs. *Nature* **461**: 402–6.
95. **Cheung AY, Horvath LM, Grafodatskaya D, Pasceri P,** et al. 2010. Isolation of MECP2-null Rett Syndrome patient hiPS cells and isogenic controls through X-chromosome inactivation. *Hum Mol Genet* **20**: 2103–15.
96. **Amenduni M, De Filippis R, Cheung AY, Disciglio V,** et al. 2011. iPSC cells to model CDKL5-related disorders. *Eur J Hum Genet* **19**: 1246–55.
97. **Chiang CH, Su Y, Wen Z, Yoritomo N,** et al. 2011. Integration-free induced pluripotent stem cells derived from schizophrenia patients with a DISC1 mutation. *Mol Psychiatry* **16**: 358–60.
98. **Yahata N, Asai M, Kitaoka S, Takahashi K,** et al. 2011. Anti-abeta drug screening platform using human iPSC cell-derived neurons for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. *PLoS One* **6**: e25788.
99. **Soldner F, Hockemeyer D, Beard C, Gao Q,** et al. 2009. Parkinson's disease patient-derived induced pluripotent stem cells free of viral reprogramming factors. *Cell* **136**: 964–77.
100. **Seibler P, Graziotto J, Jeong H, Simunovic F,** et al. 2011. Mitochondrial Parkin recruitment is impaired in neurons derived from mutant PINK1 induced pluripotent stem cells. *J Neurosci* **31**: 5970–6.
101. **Sanchez-Danes A, Richaud-Patin Y, Carballo-Carbajal I, Jimenez-Delgado S,** et al. 2012. Disease-specific phenotypes in dopamine neurons from human iPSC-based models of genetic and sporadic Parkinson's disease. *EMBO Mol Med* **4**: 380–95.
102. **Sheridan SD, Theriault KM, Reis SA, Zhou F,** et al. 2012. Epigenetic characterization of the FMR1 gene and aberrant neurodevelopment in human induced pluripotent stem cell models of fragile X syndrome. *PLoS One* **6**: e26203.
103. **Liu J, Verma PJ, Evans-Galea MV, Delatycki MB,** et al. 2011. Generation of induced pluripotent stem cell lines from Friedreich ataxia patients. *Stem Cell Rev* **7**: 703–13.
104. **Zhang N, An MC, Montoro D, Ellerby LM.** 2010. Characterization of human Huntington's disease cell model from induced pluripotent stem cells. *PLoS Curr* **2**: RRN1193.
105. **Luo Y, Fan Y, Zhou B, Xu Z,** et al. 2012. Generation of induced pluripotent stem cells from skin fibroblasts of a patient with olivopontocerebellar atrophy. *Tohoku J Exp Med* **226**: 151–9.
106. **Derosa BA, Van Baaren JM, Dubey GK, Vance JM,** et al. 2012. Derivation of autism spectrum disorder-specific induced pluripotent stem cells from peripheral blood mononuclear cells. *Neurosci Lett* **516**: 9–14.
107. **Dimos JT, Rodolfa KT, Niakan KK, Weisenthal LM,** et al. 2008. Induced pluripotent stem cells generated from patients with ALS can be differentiated into motor neurons. *Science* **321**: 1218–21.
108. **Maehr R, Chen S, Snitow M, Ludwig T,** et al. 2009. Generation of pluripotent stem cells from patients with type 1 diabetes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **106**: 15768–73.
109. **Ohmine S, Squillace KA, Hartjes KA, Deeds MC,** et al. 2012. Reprogrammed keratinocytes from elderly type 2 diabetes patients suppress senescence genes to acquire induced pluripotency. *Aging (Albany NY)* **4**: 60–73.
110. **Rashid ST, Corbinau S, Hannan N, Marciniak SJ,** et al. 2010. Modeling inherited metabolic disorders of the liver using human induced pluripotent stem cells. *J Clin Invest* **120**: 3127–36.
111. **Sebastiano V, Maeder ML, Angstman JF, Haddad B,** et al. 2011. In situ genetic correction of the sickle cell anemia mutation in human induced pluripotent stem cells using engineered zinc finger nucleases. *Stem Cells* **29**: 1717–26.
112. **Zou J, Mali P, Huang X, Dowe SN,** et al. 2011. Site-specific gene correction of a point mutation in human iPSC cells derived from an adult patient with sickle cell disease. *Blood* **118**: 4599–608.
113. **Raya A, Rodriguez-Piza I, Guenechea G, Vassena R,** et al. 2009. Disease-corrected haematopoietic progenitors from Fanconi anaemia induced pluripotent stem cells. *Nature* **460**: 53–9.
114. **Muller LU, Milsom MD, Harris CE, Vyas R,** et al. 2012. Overcoming resistance of Fanconi anemia cells. *Blood* **119**: 5449–57.
115. **Ye Z, Zhan H, Mali P, Dowe S,** et al. 2009. Human-induced pluripotent stem cells from blood cells of healthy donors and patients with acquired blood disorders. *Blood* **114**: 5473–80.
116. **Wang Y, Jiang Y, Liu S, Sun X,** et al. 2009. Generation of induced pluripotent stem cells from human beta-thalassemia fibroblast cells. *Cell Res* **19**: 1120–3.
117. **Wang Y, Zheng CG, Jiang Y, Zhang J,** et al. 2012. Genetic correction of beta-thalassemia patient-specific iPSC cells and its use in improving hemoglobin production in irradiated SCID mice. *Cell Res* **22**: 637–48.
118. **Jin ZB, Okamoto S, Osakada F, Homma K,** et al. 2011. Modeling retinal degeneration using patient-specific induced pluripotent stem cells. *PLoS One* **6**: e17084.
119. **Howden SE, Gore A, Li Z, Fung HL,** et al. 2011. Genetic correction and analysis of induced pluripotent stem cells from a patient with gyrate atrophy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **108**: 6537–42.
120. **Qiu X, Yang J, Liu T, Jiang Y,** et al. 2012. Efficient generation of lens progenitor cells from cataract patient-specific induced pluripotent stem cells. *PLoS One* **7**: e32612.

121. Agarwal S, Loh YH, McLoughlin EM, Huang J, et al. 2010. Telomere elongation in induced pluripotent stem cells from dyskeratosis congenita patients. *Nature* **464**: 292–6.
122. Xue T, Cho HC, Akar FG, Tsang SY, et al. 2005. Functional integration of electrically active cardiac derivatives from genetically engineered human embryonic stem cells with quiescent recipient ventricular cardiomyocytes. *Circulation* **111**: 11–20.
123. Norstrom A, Akesson K, Hardarson T, Hamberger L, et al. 2006. Molecular and pharmacological properties of human embryonic stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood)* **231**: 1753–62.
124. Yokoo N, Baba S, Kaichi S, Niwa A, et al. 2009. The effects of cardioactive drugs on cardiomyocytes derived from human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **387**: 482–8.
125. Kang J, Chen XL, Ji J, Lei Q, et al. 2012. Ca<sup>2+</sup> channel activators reveal differential L-type Ca<sup>2+</sup> channel pharmacology between native and stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* **341**: 510–7.
126. Liu J, Lieu DK, Siu CW, Fu JD, et al. 2009. Facilitated maturation of Ca<sup>2+</sup> handling properties of human embryonic stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes by calsequestrin expression. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* **297**: C152–9.
127. Gernganz I, Sedan O, Zeevi-Levin N, Shtreichman R, et al. 2009. Molecular characterization and functional properties of cardiomyocytes derived from human inducible pluripotent stem cells. *J Cell Mol Med* **15**: 38–51.
128. Zwi L, Caspi O, Arbel G, Huber I, et al. 2009. Cardiomyocyte differentiation of human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Circulation* **120**: 1513–23.
129. Mummery C, Ward-van Oostwaard D, Doevendans P, Spijker R, et al. 2003. Differentiation of human embryonic stem cells to cardiomyocytes: role of coculture with visceral endoderm-like cells. *Circulation* **107**: 2733–40.
130. Brito Martins M, Harding S, Ali N. 2008.  $\beta$ 1- and  $\beta$ 2-Adrenoceptor responses in cardiomyocytes derived from human embryonic stem cells: comparison with failing and non-failing adult human heart. *Br J Pharmacol* **153**: 751–9.
131. Kehat I, Kenyagin-Karsenti D, Snir M, Segev H, et al. 2001. Human embryonic stem cells can differentiate into myocytes with structural and functional properties of cardiomyocytes. *J Clin Invest* **108**: 407–93.
132. Honda M, Kiyokawa J, Tabo M, Inoue T. 2011. Electrophysiological characterization of cardiomyocytes derived from human induced pluripotent stem cells. *J Pharmacol Sci* **117**: 149–59.
133. Xu C, Police S, Rao N, Carpenter MK. 2002. Characterization and enrichment of cardiomyocytes derived from human embryonic stem cells. *Circ Res* **91**: 501–8.
134. Satin J, Kehat I, Caspi O, Huber I, et al. 2004. Mechanism of spontaneous excitability in human embryonic stem cell derived cardiomyocytes. *J Physiol* **559**: 479–96.
135. Guo L, Qian JY, Abrams R, Tang HM, et al. 2011. The electrophysiological effects of cardiac glycosides in human iPSC-derived cardiomyocytes and in guinea pig isolated hearts. *Cell Physiol Biochem* **27**: 453–62.
136. Jonsson MK, Duker G, Tropp C, Andersson B, et al. 2010. Quantified proarrhythmic potential of selected human embryonic stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes. *Stem Cell Res* **4**: 189–200.
137. Pekkanen-Mattila M, Chapman H, Kerkela E, Suuronen R, et al. 2010. Human embryonic stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes: demonstration of a portion of cardiac cells with fairly mature electrical phenotype. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood)* **235**: 522–30.
138. Tanaka T, Tohyama S, Murata M, Nomura F, et al. 2009. In vitro pharmacologic testing using human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **385**: 497–502.
139. He JQ, Ma Y, Lee Y, Thomson JA, et al. 2003. Human embryonic stem cells develop into multiple types of cardiac myocytes. *Circ Res* **93**: 32–9.
140. Zhang J, Wilson GF, Soerens AG, Koonce CH, et al. 2009. Functional cardiomyocytes derived from human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Circ Res* **104**: e30–41.
141. Burridge PW, Thompson S, Millrod MA, Weinberg S, et al. 2011. A universal system for highly efficient cardiac differentiation of human induced pluripotent stem cells that eliminates interline variability. *PLoS One* **6**: e18293.
142. Itzhaki I, Rapoport S, Huber I, Mizrahi I, et al. 2011. Calcium handling in human induced pluripotent stem cell derived cardiomyocytes. *PLoS One* **6**: e18037.
143. Yang L, Soonpaa MH, Adler ED, Roepke TK, et al. 2008. Human cardiovascular progenitor cells develop from a KDR+ embryonic-stem-cell-derived population. *Nature* **453**: 524–8.
144. Dolnikov K, Shilkrut M, Zeevi-Levin N, Danon A, et al. 2005. Functional properties of human embryonic stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **1047**: 66–75.
145. Reppel M, Pillekamp F, Brockmeier K, Matzkies M, et al. 2005. The electrocardiogram of human embryonic stem cell-derived cardiomyocytes. *J Electrocardiol* **38**: 166–70.
146. Cohen J, Babiarz J, Abrams R, Guo L, et al. 2011. Use of human stem cell derived cardiomyocytes to examine sunitinib mediated cardiotoxicity and electrophysiological alterations. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* **257**: 74–83.
147. Yoon BS, Yoo SJ, Lee JE, You S, et al. 2006. Enhanced differentiation of human embryonic stem cells into cardiomyocytes by combining hanging drop culture and 5-azacytidine treatment. *Differentiation* **74**: 149–59.
148. Cao N, Liu Z, Chen Z, Wang J, et al. 2012. Ascorbic acid enhances the cardiac differentiation of induced pluripotent stem cells through promoting the proliferation of cardiac progenitor cells. *Cell Res* **22**: 219–36.
149. Pal R, Mamidi MK, Das AK, Bhonde R. 2012. Diverse effects of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) on the differentiation potential of human embryonic stem cells. *Arch Toxicol* **86**: 651–61.
150. Schuldiner M, Yanuka O, Itskovitz-Eldor J, Melton DA, et al. 2000. Effects of eight growth factors on the differentiation of cells derived from human embryonic stem cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **97**: 11307–12.
151. Fujiwara M, Yan P, Otsuji TG, Narazaki G, et al. 2011. Induction and enhancement of cardiac cell differentiation from mouse and human induced pluripotent stem cells with cyclosporin-A. *PLoS One* **6**: e16734.
152. Gaur M, Ritner C, Sievers R, Pedersen A, et al. 2010. Timed inhibition of p38MAPK directs accelerated differentiation of human embryonic stem cells into cardiomyocytes. *Cytotherapy* **12**: 807–17.
153. Graichen R, Xu X, Braam SR, Balakrishnan T, et al. 2008. Enhanced cardiomyogenesis of human embryonic stem cells by a small molecular inhibitor of p38 MAPK. *Differentiation* **76**: 357–70.
154. Hudson JE, Zimmermann WH. 2011. Tuning Wnt-signaling to enhance cardiomyogenesis in human embryonic and induced pluripotent stem cells. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* **51**: 277–9.
155. Willems E, Spiering S, Davidovics H, Lanier M, et al. 2011. Small-molecule inhibitors of the Wnt pathway potentially promote cardiomyocytes from human embryonic stem cell-derived mesoderm. *Circ Res* **109**: 360–4.
156. Paige SL, Osugi T, Afanasiev OK, Pabon L, et al. 2010. Endogenous Wnt/beta-catenin signaling is required for cardiac differentiation in human embryonic stem cells. *PLoS One* **5**: e11134.
157. Blin G, Nury D, Stefanovic S, Neri T, et al. 2010. A purified population of multipotent cardiovascular progenitors derived from primate pluripotent stem cells engrafts in postmyocardial infarcted nonhuman primates. *J Clin Invest* **120**: 1125–39.
158. Zhang Q, Jiang J, Han P, Yuan Q, et al. 2011. Direct differentiation of atrial and ventricular myocytes from human embryonic stem cells by alternating retinoid signals. *Cell Res* **21**: 579–87.
159. Oldershaw RA, Baxter MA, Lowe ET, Bates N, et al. 2010. Directed differentiation of human embryonic stem cells toward chondrocytes. *Nat Biotechnol* **28**: 1187–94.
160. Xu C, Police S, Hassanipour M, Li Y, et al. 2011. Efficient generation and cryopreservation of cardiomyocytes derived from human embryonic stem cells. *Regen Med* **6**: 53–66.
161. Takei S, Ichikawa H, Johkura K, Mogi A, et al. 2009. Bone morphogenetic protein-4 promotes induction of cardiomyocytes from human embryonic stem cells in serum-based embryoid body development. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* **296**: H1793–803.